The Tournament Player's Collection

Tactical Chess Endings

John Nunn



First published 1981 by George Allen & Unwin © John Nunn 1981 First paperback edition 1988 by B.T. Batsford ISBN 07134 5937 9 (limp)

Printed in Great Britain by Billings Ltd, Worcester for the publishers B.T. Batsford Ltd, 4 Fitzhardinge Street, London W1H 0AH

A BATSFORD CHESS BOOK Adviser! R.D. Keene GM, OBE .

Contents

×...

			page
	Preface		ix
	Introduction		1
1.	Mate		3
2.	Stalemate		24
3.	Promotion		41
4.	Positional draw		68
5.	Breakthrough		74
6.	Zugzwang		86
7.	Manoeuvring		100
8.	Perpetual check		123
9.	Passed pawns		130
10.	Rook endings		138
11.	Pawn endings		162
12.	Studies		175
13.	Practical examples		185
	Bibliography		202
	Index of players and composers	in .	204
	Index of positions by material		207

Preface to the Reprint

Whenever a game is played containing an important opening innovation, it is immediately published all over the world. But if a game contains an interesting ending it may appear in one magazine and then sink into obscurity. The reasons are manifold. Chess columnists and to a lesser extent magazine editors are usually short of space, so they prefer short games to long ones. Secondly, there is a great demand for opening theory and so there are specialist publications dealing exclusively with it, whereas there is no magazine devoted to over-the-board endgames and precious few which have a regular endgame feature. Finally, there is a general impression that endgames are boring. Of course many are, but there are also plenty of exciting endgames are loope to demonstrate in this book.

I am delighted that B.T. Batsford Ltd. have decided to reprint Tactical Chess Endings. This has given me the opportunity to correct a number of mistakes which have been pointed out to me over the years. I am very grateful to all those who spoke to or wrote to me with analytical contributions; their efforts have not gone to waste. Particular thanks are due to C.D. Meyer, who translated Tactical Chess Endings into German and found a number of errors in the process. I would also like to thank L. Barden, N. Davies, H. Hurme, W. Proskurowski, J. Speelman, J. Timman, R.G. Wade, Dr. Allitsch and C. van Wijgerden for bringing various points to my attention.

I am sure that errors remain and as before I am happy for them to be pointed out so that corrections may be included in any future editions.

Introduction

When the word 'tactics' is mentioned, most people think of the vast array of possible middle-game combinations. Everyone would agree that forks, pins, discovered attacks and skewers are tactical and most would accept that almost any forcing sequence of moves in the middle-game is tactical. But I feel that tactics is something far more general than the above examples, which are more properly described as combinations, would indicate.

Chess ideas are often classified as 'tactical' or 'strategical'. Strategy is concerned with the creation of plans. When a player decides to give his opponent doubled pawns, it is not usually because he has calculated specific variations leading to the capture of one of the weak pawns, but because he knows from experience that sooner or later the doubled pawns will be indefensible. This sums up the difference between strategy and tacits. We can say that an ideal is tactical if it is necessary to calculate specific variations to ensure its correctness, whereas it is strategical if it is based on general considerations rather than concrete calculation. With this definition, it is clear that tactics can occur at any stage of the game. Indeed, simplified endgames are especially prone to be tactical, since in such positions it is possible to calculate every worthwile variation and hence to be absolutely certain which is the best move. Consider, for example, the following position:



N. D. Grigoriev, 1st Pr., Shakhmaty v SSSR 1937

w

1

The reader is invited to find out for himself how White can win this position before the solution is revealed in Chapter 11. I think the reader will agree that tactics predominate in the solution, since White cannot possibly play the right moves unless he has calculated up to the final zugzwang position.

This book is about such tactical endgames. It is surprising how frequently the smooth positional flow of endgames is interrupted by the intervention of an unexpected tactic and it is clear from many of the examples in this book that players miss their chances in this respect. People don't expect tactics to break out in the ending and so they don't look for them.

The positions in this book were selected for their interest and, in many cases, their entertainment value. The reader will not find a logical progression from start to finish, since a series of positions with similar ideas is rather dull. I have grouped the positions in chapters, but the classification is so broad that there is enormous diversity within each chapter. Whilst the primary purpose of this book is to entertain, playing through the analysis of the positions cannot help but educate at the same time. I should mention that I have assumed the reader to have a basic knowledge of endgame theory when writing this book, but no more than would be possessed by any club player.

The reader will find that there are a number of studies (i.e. composed positions in which White to play must either win or draw—the stipulation is placed below the diagram in this book in amongst the game positions. I have chosen these both for the elegance of their solutions and because (with the exception of position 128, perhaps) they have natural positions of considerable relevance to over-theboard play. The reader may wish to solve them himself or he may prefer just to play over the solutions. Conversely, study composers will find many stimulating ideas contained in the over-the-board examples!

Happy endings!

1 Mate

Although mate is the object of the game, it might seem a strange topic to find in a book on the endgame. It is true that maning ideas appear relatively infrequently in the endgame, but one consequence of this is that players are not looking for them and this can lead to dreadful oversights. We shall see some of these later in the chapter. But mate can also occur in the normal course of an ending, as in the following example.



Smyslov-Benko, Monte Carlo 1969

Black suffers from two problems. First, his knight is temporarily out of play and secondly the 17 square is very weak. Smyslov, a noted expert on endgame play, uses these factors to launch an attack on Black's king.

1 Nc6!

The threat is 2 e4, followed by 3 Ne5, while 1...Nc3 is impossible owing to 2 Ne7. Since the rook is going to be driven away from d5 anyway, Benko decides on an immediate counter-attack against White's e-pawn.

1	Rd2
2 Ne5	R×e2
3 N×f7	h5

Played in order to avoid the loss of the h-pawn after Ng5+.

This allows a mating combination, but after 4...Kf8 5 Kf1 Re5 6 f4 Re3 7 Kf2, winning the e-pawn, Black is still losing.

5 Kf1! R×f2+

If the rook moves away then 5...Rb2 6 f4 Kf5 7 h3! forces mate by Rf7. After 5...R×12+ 5myslov finished by 6 K×12 K×g5 7 Ke3 Kg4 8 b5 Kh3 9 Rc4 Nb2 (9...Nc5 10 R×c5) 10 Rc2 1–0 since 10...Na4 11 Kf4 h4 12 g×h4 K×h4 13 Ke5 wine sasily.

The mating attack in the next position is as sudden as a bolt of lightning.



Simagin-Bronstein, Moscow 1947

With a second Black queen about to arrive it appears that White will have to be content with perpetual check. But one move changes the picture completely.

1 Bg5! h1=Q

Black challenges White to prove that a mate does exist, but there was little choice since $1...1 \times g5$ 2 f6 is certainly mate, while after $1...Q \times g5$ 2 Qd8+ Kh7 3 Qc7+ and 4 Q×h2 White's material advantage is decisive.

2 Qe8+		Kg7
3 Qg6+		Kf8
4 Q×f6+		Kg8
5 Qd8+	ця.	Kg7
6 Qe7+		Kg8
7 Oe8+		0
-	1-0	

All Black's moves were forced except for 5...Kg7, but any other fifth move led to the same thing. Now White mates in three by 7...Kg7 (7...Kh7 8 Qg6+ Kh8 9 Bf6 mate) 8 f6+ Kh7 9 Qf7+ and 10 Qg7 mate. Even when the material has been greatly reduced, one should never forget the possibility of mate:



White's material advantage would normally be enough to win easily, but if White simply plays his knight to the queenside to block the a-pawn it would be unable to take any further part in the action on the other side of the board. Even with the infinite supply of tempi provided by the knight this would leave a drawn king and pawn ending, e.g. 1 NdS? ad 2 Nb4 a3 3 Kt7 Kh7 4 g6+ Kh8 5 Kt8 a2 with stalemate. But a little finesse makes all the difference.

1 Kf7! a4

If 1...Kh7 2 g6+ Kh8 3 Kf8 and 4 Ne6-d8-f7 mate.

2	Ng6+!	Kh7
3	Ne5	a3

Thanks to the position of the king, White gains a tempo with his pawn.

Or 4...Kh8 5 Kf8 and mates.

5	Ng4+	Kg5
6	Ne3	a2
7	Nc2	

1-0

Once Black has committed his king to h6 rather than h8 blocking the a-pawn is enough to win, since 7...Kh6 8 Na1 picks up the g-pawn.



P. Sobolevsky, Shakhmaty v SSSR 1951

This study has a surprising mating finale after several moves of tactical interchanges. The advantage of one piece is not enough to win without pawns, but two extra pieces are enough to win, except for two knights against king. So White must move his knight on g6 and hope to pick up a piece from the king fork.

1 Nh8+

1 Nf8 allows Black to avoid loss of material with 1...Bd6, so this is the only reasonable move.

...Kg8

Black must continue attacking a piece. Now it seems that White can win with either capture, but 2 K×h2 (2 Ng5 Ne3! is similar) Ne3! draws as Black has the twin threats of $3...K \times h7$ and 3...Ng4+.

2 K×g2 Bf4

Forced, or else White simply defends all his pieces by 3 Ng5.

3 Ng6 Bh6!

A subtle defence. Black prevents 4 Nhf8 and prepares a stalemate trap!

4 Ng5 Bg7!

White must avoid the exchange of his bishop, but 5 Be7 allows 5... Bf61 giving White the unpleasant choice between stalemate, an exchange of bishops, or the loss of a piece. Also 5 Bd8 Bf6! 6 Ne7+ Kf8 7 Nh7+ Ke8 leads to a draw.

5 Ne7+

The only remaining move, but a good one. The top right corner is reflected about the diagonal al-h8, so the Black king can be forced to approach the White one! If Black replies 5...Kf8 then 6 Ne6+ wins.

	5	Kh8
	6 Nf7+	Kh7
	7 Bh4!	
Now this does win!		
	7	Bf6!
	8 Ng5+	Kh6
	9 Ng8+	Kh5
	10 N×f6+!	K×h4
	11 Nf3 mate	

A mate which one is unlikely to see in practice!

Since players don't expect mate to occur in the ending it sometimes happens that a golden opportunity for a brilliancy is missed, as in the next two positions.



Zilber-Seirawan, Hastings 1979-80

Materially White does not have sufficient advantage to win, since Black can quite easily exchange White's last pawn by...Rg3 followed by...h5, for example. But Black's king is in an unfortunate predicament. In the game White chose 1 Be3, but after 1...g5 2 Rb8+ Kg6 3 Rg8+ Kh7 4 Rg7+ Kb8 5 Be5 (surprisingly White cannot profit very much from his battery. e.g. 5 Bf6 R13 6 R×17+ Kg8 7 Rg7+ Kf8 8 Be5 R419 B×14 K×g7, followed by ...Kg6 and...h5 drawing) Rc3 6 R×g5+ Kh7 7 Rf5 (7 Rg7+ Kh8 8 Bd4 Re4 gives White nothing) Kg6 8 Kc5 Re4 9 Rf6+ Kg5 10 Kd5 R×g4 11 R×77, and the game ended in a draw after another 41 moves. But White could have won by plaving a more drastic move.

1 g5!

Hard to see, because one normally doesn't voluntarily give away

one's last pawn, but by preventing ...g5 Black's king is imprisoned and he is forced to give up his rook.

1	Rb3+
2 Ka6	h×g5

2...Kg7 3 Bc3+ f6 4 $g \times f6+$ is hopeless and 2...Ra3 3 Kb5 $h \times g5$ is worse than the main line, as the White king is nearer the kingside.

3 Bc3	R×c3
4 R×c3	g4
5 Kb5	Kh6

6 Kc4 Kc5 7 Kc4 15 (however Black plays White can always bring his king in front of the pawns) 8 Kc2 f4 9 Rc4 f3+ (9...Kf5 10 Rc5+ and both 10...Kf6 11 Kc3 and 10...Kc4 11 Rc5 are easy wins) 10 KT2 Kh4 11 Rc8 and Black must allow the king in to g3, with a simple win.

In the next position one of the world's top grandmasters overlooks an unusual mating chance and was very lucky to win the game.



Gufeld-Andersson, Camaguey 1974

Black must have been feeling confident in this position, since he has a decisive material advantage and $1 \text{ R} \times e^3 + (\times e^3 2 \text{ R} \times g^2 + \text{ K} f^3)$ wins easily after 3 Rg8 Ra1+ 4 Kh2 e2 5 Rf8+ Kg4 etc. But Gufeld found a surprising move which put Andersson off his stride.

1 Rb3! - Ra2?

Of course if $1...R \times b3? 2 R \times c3+$ is stalemate however Black recaptures, but Black could have forced mate by $1...f3! 2 Rb \times c3 (2 Rb 2 Rab is similar, while 2 Re \times c3 Ral + mates at once) Ra8 is an Winte is so paralysed by the threat of ...f2 mate that he cannot prevent ...Rh8 and ...Rh1 mate (3 Rlc2 Ral+ 4 Rcl R \times c1+ 5 R \times c1 f2 mate or 3 R3c2 f Xc2 wins).$

2...f3 no longer works because of 3 Rb3 Ra8 4 Rb2 Rh8 5 $R \times g2 + f \times g2$ 6 Re3 + Kf4 7 Ra3 with a draw.

3 R×e3+ Bf3

This position, despite the bad position of White's king, is a theoretical draw. However, in the continuation Guffel lost his way (and the game): 4 Kf1 Rd2 5 Re% Rd7 6 Rg8+ Kf4 7 Re8 Rd2 8 Ke1 Rd1+ 9 Kf2 Rd2+10 Ke1 Rh2 11 Re7?? (11 Rb8 was correct, when after 11...Be4 12 Rb3 prevents the king from advancing to the sixth rank) Be4: (now Black is winning—the bishop can interpose on d3 or f3, so the Black king is assured a quiet life on e3) 12 Kd1 (loses quickly, but even the best defence wouldn't last much longer: 12 Ra7 Ke3 13 R77 Rg2 14 Rd8 Bg61 15 Rf6 Bd3 16 Re6+ Be4 17 Rf6 Re2+ 18 Kf1 Re2 19 Kg1 Rg2+ 20 Kf1 Rg5! 21 Ke1 Bf5! and mate can no longer be avoided—a line which dates back to Philidor) Ke3 13 Ke1 (forced) Rc2+ 14 Kd1 Rc8 15 Re5 (White can only move the rook up and down the e-file) Rb8 16 K Rb8 7 Rb5 Rx b5 0-1.

In the following pair of positions White allows a mating attack which could have been avoided. In the first position the oversight amounts to a blunder, but in the second both the mate and especially the defensive move could easily be overlooked.



Hamann-Bednarski, Aarhus 1971

Black clearly has a draw by perpetual check with ...N×h2 and ...Nf3+, but in view of the proximity of the a-pawn to the queening square it is hard to believe that Black can do more.

1	N×h2
2 a6	Nf3+
3 Kf1	g5

Black has his draw in reserve, so this winning attempt involves no risk.

Overlooking the threat! 4 N×c6! forces the draw, since 4...h45 g×h4 g×h4 6 Nd4 halts Black's attack and wins.

...h4!

So that if 5 a8=Q h×g3 threatens 6...g2 mate and 6...Rf2 mate.

5 g×h4 g4

With the same idea. White manages to avert mate, but only by giving up the exchange.

6	Ra5	g3
7	Rg5+	N×g5

and Black should win; but the further course of the game was erratic: 8 hxg5 (8 a8=Q g2+ and 9..Nf3 matc) Ra2 9 Nxe6 61 lo 6 Ra6? (a move which threatens nothing and serves only to lose the gpawm-10...Kg6 followed by the advance of the king won easily) II Kg2 Kg6 12 Ne5+? (incomprehensible. Simply 12 K×g3 K×g5 13 Kf3 51 4 Kc2 e5 and now, not 15 Kd3? [4 lo ex+14 ex41 17 Kc4 13 IK Kb5 Ra1 19 Na5 12 20 a8=Q f1=Q+...when Black should win, but 15 K×Sc R xa7 16 Kf3 is a draw even without the c-pawm-but note that if Black prepares ...e5 by 14...Kf6 then 15 Kd3? is ven good for White) K×g5 13 Nx77+ Kh6 14 Kf3 R×a7 0-1. Rather a dismal end to an imaginative game.



Jovcic-Rajkovic, Belgrade 1977

Black's attack is very dangerous, but with accurate play White could have drawn.

2 R×f3 N×f3+ 3 Kg2 Kg4 leads to a quick mate, so White has no

choice but to press on and hope the pawn queens in time.

2 ...Rc2 3 b8=Q?!

One can hardly blame White for playing such a natural move, since many players would use the logic that if 3 b 8 = 0 fails then White must be lost. However 3 Rtl! would have put up a much stiffer fight. The win can only be achieved by a remarkable idea: 3 Rtl! Rg2 + (3...g2) is met by 4 Rbb!! and White wins, rather than 4 b 8 = 07 t 2t+ with the reverse result) 4 Kh1 Re2!! (4...f2 S R×g3 R×g3 6 b 8 = Q Rh3 + 7 Qh2 draws while 4...Rh2 + S Kgl g2 6 Rtb!! Rh1 + 7 Kt2 is another win for White) and now:

1) 5 Rb×f3 (5 Kg1 g2 will transpose after 6 Rbb1, while 5 Rf×f3 Rel+ loses at once) g2+ 6 Kg1 g×f1=Q+7 K×f1 Rb2 wins on material.

2) 5 Rfb1 g2+ 6 Kh2 f2 and White has nothing better than 7 b8=Q, when Black wins as in the game.

3) 5 b8=Q g2+ 6 Kg1 f2+ 7 Kh2 g1=Q+ as in line 2.

(4) 5 Rbb1 g2+ 6 Kg1 g×11=Q+7 K×11 N151 8 b8=Q Ne3+ 9 Kg1 f2+ 10 Kh1 Re1+ (this move explains why Black had to transfer his rook from c2 to c2) 11 Kh2 Ng4+ 12 Kg3 f1=Q and White has only one check, whereupon Black either mates or (after Qe8+ for example) wins on material.

3	f2+
4 Kh1	g2+
5 Kh2	g1=Q+
6 R×g1	Nf3+!

Perhaps White had missed this move. 6...fl=Q+7 Kh1 is no good for Black.

0-1

because of the three lines 7×78 ft = O + and mates fn three more moves at most, $7 \text{ Kg3} f \times gl = O + 8 \text{ K} \times 73 \text{ Og}4 + 9 \text{ Ke3} \text{ Re}2 + 10 \text{ Kd3} Og + 9 \text{ Ke3} \text{ Re}2 + 10 \text{ Kd3} Og + 8 \text{ K} \times gd + 1 \text{ = O} + 9 \text{ Kg3} Og 2 + 10 \text{ Kf4} O \times gd + 11 \text{ Ke3} Od + 12 \text{ K} \times 73 \text{ Rf2} + 13 \text{ Kg3} Oh - 3 \text{ Mate}$

The next position reduces to an interesting ending of R+N v R.

White's material advantage is enough to win, but his pieces are badly tied up. In order to free himself it is necessary to sacrifice the h-pawn and try for a mating attack.

I ...f3?

Black's rook is not well placed on cl if the position reduces to R+N v R, since it is within the range of influence of the White knight. Black could have drawn by withdrawing his rook as far as possible by 1...Ral! and now: Pedersen-Hecht, Denmark-W. Germany 1972

(A) 2 Kg2 f3+ 3 Kf1 (3 KZ Ra2+ 4 Kg1 Ra1 5 Rd4+ transposes to B) Kh3 4 Rd2 (4 Rh8+ Kg4 5 Ke1 f2+ draws) f2! and the position reduces to a R+N v R ending which Black can draw fairly comfortably (B) 2 Rd3 f3 Rd4+ Kh3 is similar to the game, but with the rook on a1, which as we shall see would have made a big difference.

(C) 2 Kf1 f3 3 Ke1 Kh3 4 Rd2 Rb1 5 Rá2 Rc1 6 Kd2 Rb1 7 Kc2 Rb8 8 Kc3 Rc8+ with a comfortable draw, since the White king cannot move to the second rank or to the d-file (due to ...Rd8+).

> 2 Rd4+ Kh3 3 Kf2!

The only move, for if White hesitates Black will play ...Ra1 and draw.

3 ...Rc2+

Black must play to win the pawn, or else White improves his position by Ne3.

4	K×f3	K×h2
5	Ne3!	Rc3?

Allows a straightforward win. The main line is 5...Rc1 (5...Ra2/b2 6 Ng4+ Kh3 7 NC2+ Kh2 8 Rh4+ mates) 6 Rh4+ (6 Rd8 Ral or 6 Rd2+ Kh3 7 Rd8 Kh4 only leads to a draw) Kg1 7 Rg4+ Kh2 (7... Kh1 8 Kt2 mates) 8 Rg3! (threatening mate in three, starting with 9 Ng4+1 Rc3 (8...Kh1 9 Kt2 Kh2 10 Ng4+) 9 Kt2 (threatens 10 Ng4/f1 + and 11 Rg1 mate) Rc1 10 Ng4+ Kh1 11 Rh5 mate.

6	Rh4+	Kgl
7	Rg4+	Kh2
8	Kf2	Kh3

If the Black rook were on a3 rather than c3 he would be able to hold the draw by 8...Ra2+.

9 Rg3+ 1-0

as Black loses his rook after 9...Kh4 10 Nf5+.



G. M. Kasparian, 1st Pr., Shakhmaty v SSSR 1939

This study is one of my favourites, mainly because of its witty finish. Black threatens 1...Rgl+, so the lines 1 f7 Rf6 2 Rd7 Rf1+ and 3...b3+ or 1 Rf5 Rg1+ 2 Kc2 b3+ 3 Kc3 (3 Kd3 allows Black to promote with check) b2 4 f7 Rc1+ 5 Kd4 Rc8 are not good enough.

1 Bg5

Black's rook is immobilised and White threatens 2 f7. Black presses ahead with his counterplay.

1	b3
2 Rd2+	Kal
3 f7!	

The position of the Black king in the corner might tempt White to try 3 Be3, but 3...b2+ 4 R×b2 R×f6 5 Bd4 Rf1+ 6 Kc2 a3! leaves White with nothing better than 7 Rb1+ Ka28 R×f1, with stalemate. 3 f7 looks very strong since the reply 3...a3 loses after 4 Rd1! Rd6 (the only chance) 5 f8= 0 b2+ 6 Kc2+ R×d1 7 0×a3 mate.

3	R×g5!
4 f8=Q	Rg1+
5 Rd1	Rg2!

If 5...b2+6 Kc2+ R×d1 7 Qa3 mate, but the surprising defence in the main line threatens 6...Rc2 mate and 6...b2 mate. What can

White play? If $6 \text{ 0.a3} + (6 \text{ Rd2} \text{ is just a draw by repetition) Ra2 7 Qc5, for example, then not 7...b2+ 8 Kd2+ bl=Q+ 9 Kel and wins, but simply 7...Rb2! threatening ...b2 mate again and leaving White with nothing better than a repetition. But there is a win hidden in this line!$

6	Qa3+	Ra2
7	Rd2!	R×a3

Or 7...b2+ 8 Q×b2+ R×b2 9 R×b2 a3 10 Rb1+ Ka2 11 Rb8 Ka1 12 Kc2 a2 13 Kb3 Kb1 14 Ka3+ Ka1 15 Rb8 Kb1 16 Rb1+ winning.

8 Rb2 Ra2

A novel zugzwang!

9 Rb1 mate

The next position ends with mate, but the main interest lies in the intricate knight and pawn ending leading up to it.



Yanofsky-Golombek, Hastings 1951-2

1 c5?!

White should not have given up his b-pawn. Simply 1Nd4! Kh3 2c5 g4 3b4 Nb7 4Kd5 Nd8 5c6 wins easily.

1N×b3

White can still win, but only with very accurate play.

2 c6	Na5
3 c7	Nc4
4 Kd5	Nb6+
5 Kc6	Nc8

More or less forced up to here, but now White must decide whether to try Kb7 or Kd7.

6 Kd7 Nb6+

The only move because 6...Na7 allows 7 Nd4, followed by Nc6 promoting the pawn.

7 Kc6

White decides to go back, since after 7 Kd8 Kh4! (not 7...Kf5? 8 Nf8 g4 9 Nd7 g3 10 N+b6 and White promotes with check) 8 Nf8 g4 9 Nd7 g3 10 N+b6 g2 11 c8=Q g1=Q the knight is too far away for White to have any winning chances.

7		Nc8
8	Kb7	Ne7!

This time the other move is correct! If 8...Nd6+ 9 Kb8 Kf5 (9...Kh4 10 Nd4 g4 11 Nf5+ and 9...Kh5 10 Ng7+-e8 are just as bad) 10 Nd4+ and 11 Nb5 and White wins.

9 Nd4 Kf4!

The only square. If 9...Kh4 (after 9...Kh3 White promotes with check) 10 Nc6 Nf5 11 Kb8 Nd6 12 Nd4 and the threat of 13 Nf5+ gains a decisive tempo.

10 Nc6 Nf5 11 Kb8?

This move leads to an ending of $Q + N \times Q$ which should be drawn with correct play. While could have won with the paradoxical move 11 Ke81 blocking the pawn; the threat of Kd7 forces 11...Nd6 + 12 Kd7 Ne4 13 Ne7 Ke5 (there is nothing better as While threatened 14 Ke6), but now 14 Nf5! wins. Whether Black takes the knight or not While will play Ke6, and even 14...Nb6+ 15 Ke6 Ne8 16 Kb7 is no help. Notice the curious way the White King performs a complete circuit $D - c^2 d - c - b T around the pawn. The alternative idea 11 Nb4 (intending 12 Nd5+ and 13 Ke6) fails to 11...Nd6+ 12 Ke6 Ne8 13 Kd7 (13 Nd5+ Kf3 H Nb6 g leads to a drawn Q+N v Q position) Nb6+ 14 Kd8 Ke4 (stopping Nd5) with a draw.$

11	Nd6
12 Nd4	g4
13 Nb5	g3
14 N×d6	g2
15 c8=0	g1=0

Black needed just a few more accurate moves to be sure of a draw, but he blundered and White was granted a half-point he didn't really deserve after his mistakes at moves 1 and 11.

16 Qf5+	Kg3
17 Ne4+	Kg2
18 Og4+	Kh2??

After 18...Kf1! White cannot make progress with checks (19 Qf3+ Ke1 20 Qc3+ Ke2) and his king is exposed to too many checks to allow a quiet move.

19	Qh4+	Kg2
20	Qg3+	Kh1

White avoids 21 Nf2+ $Q \times f2$ 22 $Q \times f2$ stalemate and delivers mate instead.

21 Qh3+ Qh2+ 22 Ng3+ 1-0

From the diagram it is hard to see how the following study can end in mate, but the solution is surprisingly clear-cut.



V. Korolkov, 1st Pr., Lelo 1951

1 f7 Ra6+

Forced, as 1...Rf6 2 Bb2 and 1...Rg8 2 f×g8=Q+ K×g8 3 Ne7+ lose at once. But after 1...Ra6+ White has a problem, since 2 Kb2 allows 2...Rf6, while 2 Kb1 drops the knight with check.

2 Ba3!	R×a3+
3 Kb2	Ra2+!

Not 3...Rb3+ 4 Ka2, stopping the checks. Where should White head with his king to escape the barrage of checks? Going up the board doesn't work: 4 Kc3 Rc2+ 5 Kb4 (5 Kd4 Rd2+ and 6...Rd8) Rb2+ 6 Kc5 Rc2+ and after 7 Kb6 Rb2+ or 7 Kd6 Rd2+ White cannot advance to the seventh rank without allowing a check on b7 or d7, followed by ...R×f7. The right plan is to head for the kingside and shelter behind the knight.

4 Kc1! Ra1+

Not 4...Rc2+ 5 Kd1.

5 Kd2	Ra2+
6 Ke3	Ra3+
7 Kf4	Ra4+
8 Kg5	

8 Kg3? Rg4+ and 9... Rg8 really would draw.

...Rg4+!

Now the lines 9 Kh5 (9 K×g4 B×f5+ 10 K×f5 Kg7 draws) Rg8 and 9 Kf6 Rg8 10 Ne7 Rd8 only give White half a point.

9 Kh6! Rg8

Or 9... Rg6+ 10 K×g6 B×f5+ 11 Kh6 winning.

10 Ne7 Be6

Black had no choice as the rook must cover f8 and g6.

11 f×g8=Q+ B×g8 12 Ng6 mate!

The rest of the chapter is in the nature of light entertainment. The next three positions show mate simplifying a technical task.



Moldojarov-Samocanov, USSR 1974

Bearing in mind the principle that one should never win positionally when mate is available, White continued

1 Rg6!

Probably not the only move to win, but by far the simplest.

...a4

If 1...Be2 (to free the king by ...Bg4) 2 Ke3 Bg4 3 Kf4 a4 4 Rd6 (with the idea of 5 Rd3 and 6 Rh3+1) Be2 5 Rd2 and mate occurs after all upon 5...Bf1 6 Rf2 Bc4 7 Rf3 Be6 8 Rh3+1.

2 Ke3!

The most accurate, preventing ... Be2.

2	a3
3 Kf4	a2
4 Rg3	Be6
5 Rh3+	B×h3
6 g3 mate	



Novak-Ryc, C\$SR-1978

...Kg6

White was threatening 2 R×h6, for example 1...45 2 R×h6 a4 3 h4 a3 4 h5 a2 5 Rg6, forcing mate. If 1...Rb7 (intending ...Rb3+) 2 Rf8+ Kg6 3 Rf6+ and 4 R×e6 wins comfortably.

2	Re8	Kf5
3	h4!	

White correctly decides to play for mate. Black cannot reply 3...h5 owing to 4 Rg8.

3	a5
4 h5	a4
5 Rh8	a3

Poor Black can only move his a-pawn.

1



Shamkovich-Visier, Palma de Mallorca 1967

Black has sacrificed a rook but in return has a very dangerous threat of mate on h2. But it is White to move and he strikes first with his own mating attack!

1 g5+!

1 K×h4 allows mate in two, while 1 Rf2 R×f2 2 Bg2 is a clear draw, so this is the only move. If Black retreats the mating threat disappears so the reply is forced.

> 1 ...Kh5 2 Rg3! 1-0

2 Rf2 still only drew, but this is instantly decisive due to the unavoidable check(mate) on f3.

We finish the chapter with a selection of unfortunate accidents which have befallen various people over the years. It is likely that many of these disasters were the result of 'chess blindness'—simply not bothering to look for a mate in the endgame. With his two bishops and active king White must have been feeling happy and his next move carries the dual threats of 2 Bf5 and 2 B×h7.



Donner-Spanjaard, Holland 1961

White is a clear piece up and might well have been annoyed that Black hadn't already resigned. It is true that after 1 Rf7+ followed by 2 h6 Black would have more than a little trouble continuing the game. But Donner decided on a different plan.

1 Rha7

Mopping up the a-pawn, Black's only potential counterplay . . .

White is on the defensive, but 1 Qd8+ Kf7 2 g4 would have offered some drawing chances.

1 g4? Nd5!

With a threat which White doesn't notice. But there were no good



Bellon-S. Garcia, Capablanca Memorial, Cuba 1976

moves: 2 Qel Nf4+ 3 Kg3 Rg2+ 4 Kf3 f×g4+ 5 Ke3 Re2+ or 2 Kg3 Rg2+! 3 Kf3 f×g4+, winning the queen, or 2 Qd4 Nf4+ 3 Kg3 Ne2+. The only move not to lose at once is 2 Qa1! but after 2...Nf4+ 3 Kg3 Rg2+ 4 Kf3 f×g4+ 5 Ke4 Re2+ 6 Kd4 R×h2 Black would not have much trouble winning.

2 g×f5 Rg2! 0-1

If 3 Q×d5 $R \times h2 + 4 K \times h2 e \times d5$ wins. 2...Rg2! would also have been the answer to 2 Qf1.



Prokes-Balogh, The Hague Olympiad 1928

Of course this position is quite drawn and by simply playing sensible moves Black can hold it easily. Black's defeat in this game was a direct result of indulging in unnecessary tactics.

1	Rb1+
2 Kc3	Rc1+
3 Kb2	Kb5?

3...Rg1 or any sensible move would have drawn. Black's 3...Kb5 was intended to force 4Ra8, when 4...Rc4 wins the pawn. But Black has forgotten something.

> 4 Ra5+! K×b4 5 Rha3! 1-0

The twin threats of 6 K×c1 and 6 R3a4 mate win a rook.

'Some you win, and some you lose . . .' as Simagin might have said after producing the following pair of games.



Borisenko-Simagin, Moscow 1955

1

...Qf1+

White has an extra outside passed pawn, but his king is very exposed and this factor enables Black to hold the draw. For example, after 1...OfI+2 Kh2 (2 Kh4 Oe2! threatens mate and forces a repetition) Oe2+3 Kg1 Oe1+4 Kg2 Oe2+5 Og2 Oe4+6 Kh2 Oe4! there is no way White can improve his position. White chooses another plan to try and get his king over to the queenside to support the passed pawn, but three is a majrove defect.

Or 3 Kh4 Qh1 mate.



Batuyev-Simagin, Riga 1954

Black is winning, for example 1...Kl2 2 Qf6+ Qf3 3 Qd4 (or 3 Qh4+ Kl1, followed by ...c2) Kf1, when White has no more checks and the pawn advances. The game continuation was rather more abrupt:

1	e2??
2 Qg1+	Kd2
3 Qc1+	Kd3
4 Qc3 mate	

2 Stalemate

One might imagine that stalemate would be far more common in endgames than mate. After all, stalemate plays a large part in the ordinary theory of endings ($K + \nu \ K$, for example, or $O \ \nu P$ on the seventh) and stalemate is only likely to occur in endgames, when there are few pieces on the board. Yet in fact examples of stalemate (other than the theoretical variety mentioned above) are rare. This chapter, like the last one, ends with a selection of blunders which can only be explained by one player forgetting that stalemate was possible.

But to start with we have some positions in which both sides play accurately and stalemate comes in as a legitimate device for saving what would otherwise have been a hopeless position.



Titenko-Murei, Moscow 1963

White has two passed pawns, so passive defence is hopeless, e.g. 1...Rc8 2 Rc6 Kd5 3 Rc1 Ke4 4 Rc5, followed by the advance of the h-pawn. Black must try to make something of his advanced d-pawns.

1Rf2+

Black just repeats moves after 2 Kd1 Rf1+, so White must go to e1.

2 Ke1 d2+!

If 2...Rc2 3 Re6+! Kf3 4 Re7 and Black cannot promote a d-pawn, so White is free to push his h-pawn.

3 K×f2

Certainly not 3 Kd1? Ke3! 4 Re6+ Kd3 and Black wins.

3 ...d1=Q 4 Re6+

Black delivers perpetual check if White promotes at once. If now 4...Kf4 5 c8=0 Qf3+ White escapes the checks by 6 Ke1 Qg3+ 7 Kd2 and 8 Re2.

6 Kf3/g3 Qf4+ 7 Kg2 Q×g4+ is perpetual, but why not 6 Kg1 Qd1+ 7 Kh2 Qd2+ 8 Kh3 and wins?

The next position is one of the most famous examples of stalemate, so I apologise to all those who have seen it before!



Keres-Fischer, Curacao 1962

Black has a clear plus, since White's passed pawn is firmly restrained while Black's pieces can co-operate in an attack on White's king. The immediate threat is $1..R \times d7$.

1 Kg2! R×d7

The fork 1...Qb2+ 2 Kh3 Qf2 looks strong, but after 3 Be4! Q×f1+ 4 Bg2 Qf2 (preventing 5 B×d5) 5 Qb4+! White is at least drawing, because Black cannot allow his rook to be taken with check.

2 B×d7	Qf2+
3 Kh3	Q×f1+
4 K×h4	g2

White has no perpetual check, but there is a surprising stalemate defence.

5...Kg7 6 Qc7+ Qf7 7 Qg5+ Qg6 8 Qc7+ is a draw, but after 5... Kf7 White again has just one check.

Of course 7 Qb2/c3+ loses to 7 ... Qf6+.

...Kh7

Now White has no checks, since 8 Bf5+ $Q \times f5$ 9 $Q \times g2$ Qf4+ 10 Qg4 (10 Kh3 Qh6+ and 11...Qg6+ exchanges queens and wins since the Black king reaches the fourth rank) $Q \times g4$ + 11 K×g4 Kg6 gains the opposition and wins.

8 Qe5! Qh1+

After 8...Qf2+ 9 Kh3 g1=Q (9...g1=N + 10 Kg4 is harmless) 10 Bf5+ Kh6 (10...Q×f5 11 Q×f5+ Qg6 12 Q×g6+ K×g6 13 Kg4 and now White has the opposition, enabling him to draw) 11 Qf6+ Kh5 12 Bg6+ Q×g6 13 Qg5+ both recaptures are stalemate.

9 Bh3 Q×h3+

9...g1=Q 10 Qh5+ Kg7 11 Qg6+! Kf8 12 Qf6+ Ke8 13 Qe6+ is perpetual check.

10 K×h3	g1=Q
11 Qe7+	Kh8
12 Qf8+	Kh7
13 Qf7+	
	1.1

After 13...Qg7 14 $Q \times g7 + K \times g7$ 15 Kg3 White can gain the opposition whenever the Black king advances to the fourth rank.



Kluger-Sandor, Hungary 1955

White threatens to play a7 and then check with his rook. Black has two possible plans to counter this. He can either defend passively, bringing his king back to the 'safe' zone consisting of the g7 and h7 squares, or he can play actively and hide the king behind the White pawn on 14. The first plan loses: 1...Kt6? 2 a7 Kg7 3 f5 h4 4 f6+ Kf7 5 Rh8, winning the rook.

1Kg4! 2 a7

Black also draws after 2 f5 Ra2+ 3 Kf1 Kf3 4 Ke1 Re2+ 5 Kd1 Re7! 6 Rh8 (or 6 f6 Rf7) Ra7 7 Rh6 Kg4, winning one of the pawns.

2		Ra2+
3	Kg1	Kf3!

Not 3...Kh3? 4 Kf1! (preventing ...Rg2+-g7), when the Black king dare not emerge from its shelter and White can just push the f-pawn.

4 Kh1

4 f5 still only draws after 4...Rg2+ 5 Kh1 Rg7 6 f6 Rf7 7 Kh2 h4 8 Kh3 Kf4 9 Kg2 Kf5, followed by ...K×f6. But after 4 Kh1 White threatens to push his f-pawn.

4 ...h4!

Preparing the stalemate.

5 f5 Kg3

Only now does this move become possible. White's reply is forced.

6 Rg8+ Kh3

Now 7 a8=Q Ral+ 8 Q×a1 (8 Rgl R×a8) is stalemate.

7 Kg1 Rg2+ 8 R×g2 stalemate

although 7... $R \times a7$ would also have drawn, so the second stalemate was not really necessary.



Gil-Erlandsson, corr., 1976

White's position looks very bad, since there is no obvious way he can bring his king in front of the b-pawn. The immediate threat is 1...b2 2 Kc2 Ka2, so the first move is forced.

1 Bd5 b2

After 1...Kb4 (1...Kb2 2 Be6 and Black can only return to a3) 2 Be6 b2 3 Ba2 Ka3 4 Bb1 Kb3 5 Kd2 Kc4 6 Ba2+ White draws comfortably.

2 Kc2 d3+

Not 2...e4 3 B×e4 Ka2 4 Kd2, with an immediate draw.

3	Kb1	d2
4	Bb3!	

The only move to draw. 4 Bf3? loses after 4...e4 5 Be2 (or 5 Bd1 e3 6 Be2 Kb3 7 Bd1 + Kc4! 8 K×b2 Kd3, followed by 9...e2 and Black wins—note that 7...Kc3 8 Be2 is not so good, as it is essential for Black to triangulate to lose a tempo) Kb3 (not 5...e3? 6 Bd1, winning a pawn and drawing) 6 Bd1 + Kc3 7 Be2 e3 and White is in zugzwang, hence must allow 8...Kd3.

> 4 ...e4 5 Bc2!

Not 5 Bd1? e3 and White loses, as in the last note. But after 5 Bc2! e3 6 Bd1 Black is to move and must give up a pawn.

5	Kb4
6 K×b2	Kc4
7 Ba4!	Kd3

Or else 8 Kc2 draws.

28

Of course stalemate is fairly close to mate and when setting up a stalemate one should always be careful that it is not possible for the opponent to cover that one extra square. . .



Sallay-Honfi, Hungary 1973

Black's position might look hopeless, since as soon as he runs out of tempo moves he loses the f-pawn. Nor does ...h5-h4 help, as White has the right bishop for the h-pawn.



A neat idea: $3 \text{ K} \times \text{fS} al = 0.4 \text{ B} \times al stalemate, while otherwise Black just oscillates with his king, but after 3 Ke5 Kg5 4 Bal Kg6 5 Ke6 Kg5 6 Be5 (of course 6 Kf7 14 is quite drawn) Kg6 7 Bf6 h5! (if Black persists with his stalemate idea by 7... hK5? he loses after 8 Kf7 14 g av14 g 3 10 h×g3 Kg4 11 Kg6 K×g3 12 f5 Kg4 13 Be5 h5 14 f6 and promotes first) 8 Bb2 (Black threatens to make a passed pawn by ...h4, ...h×g3 and ...f4 so White must prepare to bring his king hack to es) hg5 9 Kef 0 Bc1 + only repeats) h4 10 Bd4 h×g3 11 h×g3 14 12 g×14 + Kh4! 13 f5 g3 14 f6 g2 157 g1 = 0 16 R=0 the result is only a draw. Since White cannot win by normal means he sets a trap.$

3 h3 g×h3??

Disaster! Black could have drawn by 3...Kg6! 4 h×g4 (4 h4 Kh5 5 Ke5 Kg6 is a draw, as White can never advance to the sixth rank with his king for fear of \sim [4] /5×g4 5 K×g4 h5+ 6 Kf4 Kh6 7 Kf5 (the only way to prevent Black's king from moving between g6 and h6) h4! 8 g×h4 Kh5, picking off White's last pawn.

4 K×f5

No longer stalemate!

In the next position White's stalemate trap succeeds, but only with some help from his opponent.



Honfi-Lengyel, Hungary 1963

White's problem is the terrible position of his rook. It is very hard to find any playable moves at all for White, e.g., 1 Ra5 Rb2+2 Ka3 Rb3+3 Ka2 Kx3, when 42 Rg3 is inpossible and White has made no progress towards freeing his rook, or 1 a5 Beb and there is no defence to the threat of 2. Rb2+3 Ka3 Rb3+4 Ka2 Kx65 (impossible in the original position, as White would promote his pawn at the end) or 1 Bb1 Rb2+ or 1 Bb3 R×g6. The move White chooses is the best practical chance.

1 Bc8! Rb2+?

The winning line was $1...a5 + ! 2 \text{ Kb5 } \text{Rb2} + 3 \text{ K} \times a5 \text{ K} \times c5 4 \text{ g7 } \text{Rb6}!$ (threatening mate) 5 B×b7 R×b7 and the mate threat enables Black to win the pawn.

2 Ka5!

Not 2 Ka3? K×c5 3 K×b2 B×c8 and wins.

2 - ...K×c5

Even 2...Rg2 doesn't help, due to 3 Kb6! and White avoids the losing variation given above completely. Now we have the same position as in that variation, only Black has an extra pawn on a6, which unfortunately prevents the mate!

3	g7	Rg2
4	Bg4!	R×g4
5	g8=Q	R×g8 stalemate

In the following example we see a stalemate which does occur from time to time in practice.



Znosko-Borovsky - Salwe, Ostend 1907

1 Rh8

This draws, but the simplest line was 1 Rg7! Rh2 (1...Rb2 2 Ra7 is also drawn) 2 h7 f2+ 3 Kf1 Kf34 h8=Q R×h85 Rh7, with a perpetual attack on the Black rook—an idea which has come up in several games.

1Ra2

Allows an immediate draw, but even 1...Rb2 (which would prevent the draw that actually occurred in the game) 2 Ra8 Rh2 (2...f2+3Kf1 is also a draw) 3 Ra6 would lead to a position in which Black can make no progress (3...Kg3 4 Rg6+).

2	h7	f2+	
3	Kf1	Kf3	
4	Ra8!	R×a8	
5	h8=0	R×h8	stalemate

although had Black played 1., Rb2 this line would have allowed 5., Rb1 mate.

Time for a study! The harmless-looking initial position disguises the complications to come.



H. Mattison, Rigaer Tageblatt 1914

1 Rg8!

Not 1Ke4° E2 2 Rf1 (2 Ra1+ transposes) Rf3 3 Ra1+ (3 Kd5 Kb54 Ke4 Rf7 and now both 5 Ke3 $B\times$ d6 and 5 d7 Be7 6 Kd3 Rf8 7 Ke2Be5 win for Black Ra3 4 Rf1 Ra2 5 d7 Be7 6 Kd3 Kb5 7 Ke3 Bh4and as 8 d8=Q $B\times$ d8 9 $R\times$ 12 loses to 9...Bb6+ Black can follow up with 8...Ke6 and consolidate his material advantage.

1B×d6+

Or 1...Rc3+ (1...Bh6? 2 Kc4 threatens mate and the rook) 2 Kd5! (2 Kd4? Rc8! wins after both 3 d7 Bc5+ and 3 Rg3 f2 4 Rf3 $B \times d6$) Rc8 (2...Rd3+ 3 Kc4) 3 Rg3 f2 4 Rf3, winning the pawn.

2 Kc4 Rc3+!

The only way to play for a win, as 2...Rd4+ 3 K×d4 f2 allows 4 Ra8+ and 5 Ra1.

3 K×c3 f2 4 Rg4+

Avoiding 4 Ra8+ Kb5 5 Ra1 Be5+ 6 Kd3 B×a1 7 Ke2 Bd4 and wins.

...Ka3

If the Black king moves to the fifth rank then 5 Rg5+ and 6 Rf5. But now White has no checks and 5 Rg8 loses to 5...Bb4+ 6 Kc2 fl=Q 7 Ra8+ Ba5.

5	Rg5!	Bb4+
6	Kc2	f1=0

Or else 7 Rf5, but 6...f1=R would be a better practical chance!

7 Ra5+ B×a5 stalemate
A mid-board stalemate in a practical game must be a very unusual event, the Titenko-Murei example at the start of this chapter being the only one I know of from a master game.

The type of ending which gives rise to most stalemates is undoubtedly the queen and pawn ending. In the next four positions we can see most of the typical tricks available.



Lehmann-Pfeiffer, W. Germany 1958

1 g6

White has a clearly winning position, so there is no real need to play a risky move like this, but if followed up correctly there is nothing wrong with it.

> 1 ...Qd3+ 2 Kg4? Q×g6+ 3 Qg5

White has assumed that this forced the exchange of queens, but alas. . .

3 ...Kh8!

and White is left playing $O + fP \times O$ in a drawn position with the opposing king nearly in front of the pawn. The game did indeed end in a draw. White should have played 2 Kh4! when after $2...O \times g6$ 3 Qg5 Kh8! 4 Oh5+! the exchange really is forced and White wins. In the game, of course, this was impossible as the queen was pluned.

White's mistake in the next position was rather more subtle.



Podgajets-Klovan, USSR 1969

1 Qc7+?

White is two pawns up, but he is not clearly winning since it is hard to hold on to the pawns while at the same time preventing perpetual check. The best line seems to be 1 Qa6+ Kb4 2 b6 Kc5! (there is no point in checking at the moment, as the White king hides on a7. enabling the pawn to advance to b7) 3 a5 (3 Ob5+ Kd6 4 b7 Of3+ 5 Ko6 Oo4+ is a draw, since Black can exchange queens if White interposes) Kd6 (now the White king's escape route to the queenside is cut off, so Black is threatening perpetual check) 4 Oc4! (4 b7+ Kc7 5 Ob5 Of3+ and 6... O×b7 draws) and Black cannot give perpetual, e.g. 4...Qf3+ 5 Kg6 Qg3+ 6 Kf5 Qh3+ (6...Qe5+ 7 Kg4 Q×a5 8 b7 Ob6 9 b8=O+! O×b8 10 Of4+ wins) 7 Kg5 Qg3+ 8 Qg4 Oe5+ 9 Of5 Og3+ 10 Kh5 Oh2+ 11 Kg6 Og2+ 12 Og5 Oc4+ 13 Ko7 Ob7+ 14 Kh6 Oh1+ 15 Oh5 Oc1+ 16 Kh7 and the checks come to an end. This is not conclusive proof that White wins after 1 Oa6+. but this move offers much better chances than 1 Qc7+?, when Black can force the draw immediately.

The surprising point! Instead of giving a series of futile checks which would only drive the king over to support the pawn, Black encourages White to promote.

White had to advance his pawn since otherwise he would just lose it, but 4 b8=Q Qe6+ 5 Kg7 Qh6+ 6 Kg8 Qh8+ 7 Kf7 Qf6+ is perpetual check or stalemate; hence the knight, but White still has no winning chances. The stalemate is very well hidden in the next example and one would have to be very astute to avoid falling into it.



L. D. Evans-Haik, London 1978



Amazingly this natural move throws away the win. The correct continuation was 2 Qe7+! and then:

(A) 2...Ka8/b8/c8 3 Qe8+ Kc7 4 h8=Q Q×h8+ 5 Q×h8 b1=Q 6 Qe5+1 Kd7 (or 6...Kb7 7 Qe7+ Kb6 8 Qd6+ Ka5 9 Qc7+ Ka6 10 d6 and the pawn advances to the seventh 7 Qe6+ K48 Qd6+ Ke8 (8...Kc8 9 Qc6+ and if 9...Kb8 then 10 Qe8+ Kc7 11 Qe7+ and 12 d6) 9 Qc6+ Kd8 (0...Kt7 10 Qe7+) 10 Qa8+, picking up the a-pawn with check.

(B) 2...Ka6 3 Qd6+ Kb7 (3...Kb5 4 Ob8+ Ka6 5 h8=Q Q×h8+ 6 Q×h8 b1=O 7 d6 and 3...Ka5 4 Qd8+ Ka4 5 h8=Q lead to winning queen endings) 4 Qd7+ Ka6 (4...Kb6 5 Qd8+) 4 Qc6+ Q×c6 5 d×c6 b1=Q 6 h8=Q and once again White should win.

2 ...Ka6!

Setting up the stalemate.

3 d7	b1=Q!
4 Q×b1	Qe5+

Surprisingly Black can now deliver perpetual check or force stalemate; however, he must be careful to check from the correct square or White escapes. The following chart of corresponding squares gives the appropriate responses:

WK position	BQ checks from	
h6, f1, f2	f8	

g1, e3, c3, h5	c5
g3, g6, d1	d6
d2, g4	b4
a2. a3	a4
e1. h4	b4 or e7
e2. g5	e7
hl	h5
h2	e5
h3	e6
f5	d7
d4	f6
cl	f4
~	c5 or e4
h2	d4
b2	45
00	u5 -2 69
13	C5 OF 18

The only way to avoid the checks given above is to play g3 or g4 at some point, but both of these moves allow a straightforward perpetual check. In the game White did not put Black completely to the test as he overlooked the stalemate!

5 Kh1	Qh5+
6 Kg1	Qc5+
7 Kft	0c4+?!

The simplest was 7...Qf8+! as given above.

8 Ke1?

8 Kf2! was a much better move, as it is hard for Black to regain the correspondence.

8 ...Qb4+ 9 Q×b4 stalemate

The next position is a draw even without the stalemate idea, but it did avoid playing a few extra sessions!



Sigurjonsson-Miles, Hastings 1975/6

The last pawn move or capture was 32 moves ago, so both sides must have been getting rather bored by now. If White genuinely wanted to play on he should have tried 1 Kd7 or 1 Kb7, but he probably wasn't too dismayed to allow a quick draw!

1 Qd6?!	Qc4+!
2 Qc6+	

Or 2 Kd8 (2 Kb6/b7/b8 Qb5+ or 2 Kd7 Qg4+) Qh4+ and the pawn is lost.

2 ...Ka5

Now White's reply is forced as 3 Kb7 (3 Kd7 Qf7+) Qb3+ 4 Ka8 (4 Kc7/a7 Qf7+ or 4Kc8 Qh3+) Qg8+ 5 Kb7 Qb3+ is an immediate perpetual check.

3	h6	Qf7+
4	Od7	

Or 4 Kb8 Of8+ 5 Kb7 Ob4+ 6 Kc7 Oc7+ 7 Od7 Oc5+ 8 Oc6 (otherwise Black wins the pawn with 8...Ob6+ or 8...Of8+) Oc7+, with a draw.

> 4 ...Qc4+ 5 Kb8

5 Kd8 Oh4+ or 5 Kb7 Qa6+.

5		Qb4+
6	Kc8	Qc5+!
7	Oc7+	Ka6

This repeat of the previous manoeuvre forces the reply, as 8 Kb8 Qb4+ 9 Kc8 Qf8+ wins the pawn.

8 h7 Of8+

White can only avoid the perpetual at the cost of losing his h-pawn. We end with a small group of positions featuring gross swindles.



Goldstein-Shamkovich, Moscow 1946

Black is guite lost, since White threatens 2 c7+ and 3 Bf5+, while if 1...Rc1 White wins the rook with check and then takes the g-pawn.

....Rd1+

Looks like a spite check, but actually sets a neat trap!

2 Ke5?

After 2 Ke3! g×f3 (2...Re1+ 3 Kf2) 3 c7+ Kc8 4 Bf5+ Rd7 5 K×f3 White wins, but now Black is able to draw,

...g×f3!

Not 2...g3? 3 c7+ Kc8 4 Bf5+ Rd7 5 Bh3 g2 6 B×g2 Rh7 (or else Bh3) 7 Bf1 and wins

3 B×f3

3 c7+ Kc8 4 Bf5+ Rd7 5 Bh3 f2, followed by 6...f1=Q 7 B×f1 R×c7, is also just a draw.

> 3Rd7!

But not 3... Rc1? 4 Kd6 and White wins

4 Rd5 Δ

White intends 4... Rg7 (for example) 5 Kd6 Rg6+ 6 Be6 and wins.

$$... Rb7!$$

 $\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}$

since 5 Kd6 R×b6 pins the pawn.



Reshevsky-Geller, Zurich 1953

A famous example. Reshevsky, two pawns up, was probably expecting Geller to resign, but a careless move made the win difficult and then two more made it impossible!

1 Kg3?

Now the pawns become blockaded. The obvious 1 g4 was much better.

> 1 ...Kg6 2 Ra3 f5 3 Ra6+?!

Rather unnecessary. At once 3 Ra8! intending 4 Rg8+-g5 was correct.

3 ...Kh5 4 Rf6?

Now the position is a draw. White could still have won by 4 Ra8! Re3+ 5 Kf2 Rb3 6 g3 Kg4 (or else 7 Rg8 and 8 Rg5+).7 Rg8+ Kh3 8 h5.

4	Re3+!
5 Kf2	Ra3
6.23	

After 6 R×f5+ K×h4 7 Rb5 Kg4 8 f5 Kg5 White is tied to the defence of his pawns and can make no progress.

6		Rf3+!
7	Ke2	

Or 7 Kg2 R×g3+.

7 ...R×g3

and the game concluded 8 R×f5+ K×h4 9 Kf2 Ra3 10 Rg5 Rb3 11 Rg1 Kh5 12 Ke2 Ra3 13 f5 Ra5 1-1. The next position must take first prize, however!



Menas-Braunstein, Bucharest 1960

Black could well resign with a clear conscience, but he cheekily continues to play.

1	b7	.Ne3
2	b8=Q	Nfi+
3	Kgl	

3 Kh1 Ng3+ 4 Kg1 Ne2+ 5 Kh2 was quite good, too.

3 ...Kg3

Black isn't actually threatening anything, but why not take some more material?

4 K×f1 h2

Actually White has to be a bit clever here, mainly as a result of his last move—5 Q×f4+! K×f4 6 Kg2 winning.

5 Qh8?? h1=Q+

Unfortunate.

H

3 Promotion

Promoting a pawn is the ultimate objective in most endings, but this is usually a gradual process of piloting a passed pawn through all sorts of obstructions and blockades until it finally reaches the eighth rank. However, the amount of material gained when the pawn reaches the other side of the board is so great that the sacrifice of a piece or rook is entirely justified if it enables the pawn to surmount the last barrier separating it from promotion.



Piasetski-Rajkovic, Stip 1977

Both sides have dangerous passed pawns and White's advantage lies in the unfortunate position of Black's king, which allows him to promote with check.

1 Be6!

38

w

After 1 Bc6, threatening 2 e8=Q+, Black simply plays 1...Kf6.

1 ...R×e6 2 Rb6! h1=Q

Loses instantly, but even 2...K15 (2...R×b3 e8-0+Kg7/h74 Qe7+ followed by 5 Oh4 picks up the h-pawn, as does 2...K173 R×6 Ke8 4 Rh6) 3 R×c6 h1=Q 4 e8=Q (Black only has two checks) Qb1+ 5 Ke3 Qa1+ 6 Kb4 Qa7 (6...b2 also leads to mate after 7 Qg6+ Qg6+ K×14 8 R16+ Ke5 Qg5+ Ke4 10 Qg4+ (amongst others) Kd3 11 Rd6+ Ke3 12 Re6+ Kd2 13 Qf4+ Kd1 14 Qf1+ Kd2 15 Qe1+, followed by 16 Oc2+ and 17 Oc3 mate, lasts itile longer.

$$3 e8=Q+ Kf5$$

 $4 Q\times e6+ K\times f4$
 $5 Qh6+ 1-0$

White just swops queens and pushes his pawn, the Black king being cut off by the rook's control of the sixth rank.

In the following position White makes an even heavier sacrifice to force his pawn through.



Ermenkov-Sax, Warsaw 1969

White has an unstoppable passed pawn and Black's only chance is to play for a back-rank mate.

1 d7 d3

1...Qe7 loses to 2 Q×a5 attacking d8 and d2, but now 2...Q×f1+ is threatened. Now 2 Qb1 Qe7 3 Qe1 Re2 4 Qc3+ Kh7 5 Q×d3 Qd8 6 Rd1 should win, but White chooses another good line.

2 Qb3 Rc2

The only way to renew the threat, since 2...Ra2/b2 3 $Q \times a2/b2$ wins and 2...Qe7 drops the rook after 3 Qc3+.

3 Qa3

Not 3 Qb1? Qe7, but now 3...d2 loses to 4 Qa1+ Kh7 5 d8=Q Q×f1+ 6 Q×f1. However, Black has one last chance, which almost comes off.

> 3 ...Q×f1+ 4 K×f1 d2

Looks dangerous, but White has a surprising refutation.

Since after 6...R×d1+ 7 Ke2 Rb1 8 d8=Q d1=Q+ 9 Q×d1 R×d1 10 K×d1 White has an easily winning king and pawn ending. It would be interesting to know how far in advance White saw the queen sacrifice, for without it he would only draw after 5 Qf8+.

The finish of the above game bears a certain resemblance to the following combination:



van Riemsdiik-Grunfeld, Riga 1979

Again White has a dangerous pawn, but Black has some extra material. In the game Black lost through overlooking a promotion combination

1

Black should have tried 1...R×d7! 2 R×d7 Rb1+ 3 Kg2 f4, with a very unclear position. For the moment Black is effectively just an exchange down, as the knight takes a long time to come back into play, so I feel that Black should not be worse. White may be able to draw, however, by giving up a rook for the Black pawns. With 1...Bh5? Black hoped for 2 Rd4, say, when he removes his rook from attack with gain of tempo by 2...Rb1+.

2 Rc8! B×d1

Now 3 N×b5? Ke7 or 3 R×d8+ Ke7 4 Nc6+ Kd6 5 Nd4 Rb1 6 N×f5+ Kc7 would win for Black.

3 Nc6!

Depriving the Black king of the e7 square. ٦

Rd5

3... Rb8 4 N×b8 Ke7 5 Nc6+ K×d7 6 R×d8+ or 3... Rb1 4 R×d8+ Kg7 5 Rb8 (5 Rg8+ is also good) lose just as quickly.

4 R×d8+ Kg7 5 Rg8+ 1-0

The final unkind blow! After 5...K×g8 6 Nc7+ Kf8 7 N×d5 Black still cannot go to e7!

Even when there is very little material on the board surprises are still possible:



Hindle-Mohring, Tel-Aviv Olympiad 1964

The obvious $1...a2 2 B \times a2 K \times a2 3 K \times h6$ leads to a draw, while the attempt to defend the h-pawn by 1...g4 fails to 2 Kf5. Knowing that a win exists enables one to find the right move by a process of elimination, but it would not be difficult to overlook it in a game.

1 ...Be3!

Threatening 2... $B \times f2$, and 2 f3 a2 3 $B \times a2$ $K \times a2$ 4 $K \times h6$ loses to 4...g4+ and 5... $g \times f3$.

2 K×h6 g4+ 3 f×e3

Also after 3 Kg6 $B \times f2$ the two passed pawns would be too much for White's bishop.

3 ...g3

and the game concluded 4 Kh7 g2 5 h6 g1=Q 6 Kh8 a2 7 B×a2 K×a2 8 h7 (White loses due to the e-pawn) Qg6 9 e4 Qf7 0-1.

The next example demonstrates a rather more sophisticated promotion combination.



Nenarokov-Grigoriev, Moscow Ch. 1923

The usual result with this material is a draw, but here both Black pawns are dangerously advanced. White should still hold the halfpoint, but he needs to play very carefully.

1 ...Bg5+ 2 Kc3

Giving up the exchange doesn't draw, since Black can perform an outflanking manoeuvre on the queenside, e.g. $2 \text{ R} \times \text{g5} \times \text{K} \times \text{g5} 3 \text{ Ke3}$ Kf5 4 bB1 Ke6 5 Bb8 K64 (hereating) ...Kc3 / 7 Kd2 Bh7 (intending 8...Kd4, followed by ...Ke4 and ...Kf3) 8 Bg1 Bg6 (now White is in zugzwang and must either allow ...Ke3 or permit the king to reach g2) 9 Bh2 Kd4 and wins.

2Be7

Not 2...d2? 3 R×g5+. The move played sets a fiendish trap . . .

3 Kd2?

... into which White falls. The best line was 3 Bh2! Bc5 (with the plan of ...Bb6 and ...Ba5+, to set the d-pawn in motion) 4 Bc7 (not 4 Rg3 BC! 5 Rx43 Bx43 6 Kx43 Kg47 Kc2 Bg3 8 Bg1 Bb8 9 KC Bc7 10 Kc2 Kg3 11 Kf1 Kf3 and 12...Kg2, or 5 R×h3 Be1+ and 6...d2) Be3 (otherwise it is hard to see a constructive plan for Black) 5 Rh8! (Grigoriev only gave 5 Rg7 Kc6 6 Rg8 Kd7 7 Rg7+ Kc6, followed by 8...d2 winning) Kg4 (5...Bd4+ 6 K×d4 d2 fails to 7 RR7+ kd8 Rf1, while 5...d2 6 Rd8 and 7 R×d2 is a clear draw) 6 Rg8+ Kf3 7 Rg3+ Kf2 8 R×h3 d2 9 Rh2+ Bg2 10 R×g2+ K×g2 11 Kc2 and 12 Ba5 drawing.

3	Bb4+
4 Ke3	d2
5 Rd8	

White's moves were forced. Now not 5...Ba5, due to 6 B×a5 h2 7 Rt8+ and 8 Rf1. But Black has another move to exploit the placement of White's pieces.

5 ...Bd6!

At a stroke, White's position becomes completely hopeless since he must allow one of the pawns to promote.

$$6 \text{ R} \times d6$$
 h2
7 R×d2 h1=Q
0-1

In the next position, there is no problem promoting the pawn-the difficulty lies in ensuring that the resulting position is a win.



Forintos-Roessel, Munich Olympiad 1958

The game actually concluded 1... Ke3? 2 h6 f3 3 h7 f2 (3...Bd4 4 a7 f2 5 a8=Q is also drawn) 4 h8=Q f1=Q+ 5 Kh2 and after a number of checks the players agreed a draw, since Black is unable to force White's king away from the squares h1 and h2 by checking.

Black's mistake was in committing his king too early (1...Kd3 2 Kg4 would also have been bad).

2 Kg3 Ke3 3 h6 f2 4 h7 f1=Q 5 h8=Q Qg1+ and 6...Qh1+ wins.

In this variation the king is better placed on d3 to allow the bishop to check on f2 or e3.

Or 4 Kg2 Ke2 5 h8=Q f1=Q+ 6 Kg3 (6 Kh2 Bg1+ 7 Kg3 Qf3+ 8

Kh4 Oh1+ wins) Qf3+! (and not the carcless 6...Bf2+7 Kg4 or 6...Qg1+7 Kf4, when in both cases the White king escapes) 7 Kh2 Qf2+8 Kh3 Qe3+1 (forcing the White king out) 9 Kg4h4 (9 Kg2)L2 Qg1+) Qe4+ 10 Kg3 (10 Kg5 Be3+, followed by ...Qh1+ or ...Bd4+) Bf2+ 11 Kh2 Qf4+ 12 Kh1 Qf3+ and mates in two more moves.

The lines 5 Kg3 Bf2+ 6 Kg4 Qg2+ 7 Kf4/f5 Qe4+ and 5 Kh2 Qg1+ equally result in the loss of White's queen.

6 Kt5 Oe4+ 7 Kg5 Be3+ is similar.

6		Be3+
7	Kf5	Qe4+

picking up the queen with a skewer.

The following study also features an interesting duel after both sides promote, which ends in a surprising finale.



Win

G. N. Zakhodyakin, 1/2 Pr., 64 1939/40

1 g7 h2

White wins more easily after 1...Rg8 (1...Rc8 is even worse, since 2 K×h3 threatens Bf8 and so forces 2...Rg8) 2 K×h3 K×c7 3 Bf6 Kd7 4 Kh4 Ke6 5 Kh5 Kf7 6 Kh6 Re8 7 K×h7 Ra8 8 Bb2, followed by 9 get+ and 10 g8=0.

3 Kg4? Qe4+ 4 Kh5 Qg6+ 5 Kg4 Qe4+ 6 Kg3 Qe3+ is immediate

perpetual check.

3 ...Qg1+

Somehow White has to evade the barrage of checks. Can this be done by marching over to the queenside? Atter A K13 O(1+ 5 Ke3 Ocl+ 6 Kd3 Qd1+ 7 Kc4 (White must avoid c3 and d4) Qd5+ 8 Kb4 Qe4+ 9 Ka3 Qt3+ 10 Ka2 Qd5+ Black just keeps checking along the d5ht diagonal and White can never interpose his queen. The only other possibility for avoiding the perpetual is to advance the king up the board.

4 Kf4 Qf1+ 5 Kg4

After 5 Ke4 Qb1+ White would have to go back with 6 Kf4.

5Qg2+

5...Qe2+ transposes. Now it seems that White's scheme has come to naught, for 6 Kf5 Qf3+ 7 Ke6 Qd5+ leads to the loss of White's queen. However, it is precisely in this variation that victory lies hidden!

6 Kf5!! Qf3+

6...Qh3+7 Kf6 transposes. Now 7 Ke5 loses after 7...Qc3+8 Ke6 $Q \times h8$ 9 Bd8 Qe8+ and 10...Kd7. But White can give up his queen in another way.

7 Ke6	Qd5+
8 Kf6	Qd4+
9 Kf7	Q×h8
10 Bd8	1.56

This and the next move are designed to lure the Black king to c8, in order to complete the incarceration of Black's queen. The reply is forced.

10	Kd7/b7
11 c8 = Q +	K×c8
12 Bf6	h6

The last chance, aiming to exchange White's only pawn.

13 g6 and wins

This study forms a good introduction to the next group of positions, which feature a newly-created queen of little value to the side possessing it. It is very easy to imagine that promotion is the ultimate objective of the endgame and simply to stop analysing when one comes to the move P=Q. But there are some situations in which the extra queen doesn't help. In the next position it is a mating attack which renders her majesty impotent.



Pfleger-Toth, Rome 1977

White's pieces are of little help in halting the Black a-pawn, but he does have some threats of his own. White's chances lie not so much in promoting the e-pawn but in using the squares it controls to launch an attack on Black's king.

...a3

After 1...Re8 2 Ra7 Na5 3 Bg3 a3 4 Be5 a2 (preventing 4 by 4...64 loses after 5 R×g7+ Kt8 6 R×h7 a2 7 Bf6i a1=Q+ 8 Kt2 Qa2/b2+ 9 Kg3 and Back is mated) 5 c4 N×c4 6 R×g7+ Kt87 Bf6 White threatens 8 R×h7 mating and 7...Ra8 fails to 8 e7+ Ke8 9 Rg8+ and 10 R×a8. The move played is more natural because 2 e7 Re8 3 Rd8 Kt7 is no good for White.

2 f6 g×f6

If 2...a2 3 f7+ Kh8 4 Rd1, and the connected passed pawns triumph.

3 B×f6 a2

Both 3...Re8 4 Rg7+ Kf8 5 e7+ and 3...Rf8 4 Rg7+ Kh8 5 Rg6+ R×f6 6 R×f6 a2 7 Rf1 are hopeless for Black.

4 Rg7+

If now 4 Ra7 then 4...Re8! exchanges the a-pawn for the e-pawn, with a likely draw.

> 4Kf8 5 R×h7!

Much better than 5 c7+ Ke8 6 Rg8+ Kf7 7 R×b8 a1=Q+ 8 Kf2 Qb2+9 Kg3 K×f6 10 c8=Q O×c3+ 11 Kf2 Qd4+ and Black delivers perpetual check. The move played sets up a mating threat which forces Black to give up his extra queen immediately.

5	a1=Q+
6 Kf2	Qb2+
7 Kg3	Q×c3+

Forced, but White retains his initiative and his extra passed pawn!

8 B×c3 Re8

and the game ended 9 Rh8+ Ke7 10 R×e8+ (10 Bf6+ was even stronger) K×e8 11 h4 Ke7 12 h5 1-0, since after 12...Ne3 13 Kf4! N×e2+ 14 Kg5 K×c6 15 h6 White promotes his h-pawn.

The following game is a much more complex case, but it has the same basic idea of mating threats reducing the value of an extra queen.



Lazarev-Sakharov. Kiev 1962

Black has a significant material advantage, but at the moment his pieces are hadly tied up. The rook defends the a-pawn, while the necessity of defending the rook immobilises the chain of knights. Black decides to give up the a-pawn in order to go directly for the White king, a decision which is probably right, since the alternative L..K18 (intending to support the pieces with his king) 2 Re1! (2 b4 Ke7 3 b5 Kd6 4 b6 e2 followed by S...N×b6 is good for Black) intending 3 R×c7 is rather drawish.

1	Rc2!?
2 R×a7	Nf4
3 Ra8+	Kh7
4 a7	$R \times g2 +$
5 Kh1	e2

All forced up to here. Now White plays the most flexible move, seeing if Black will take the took on h8 before deciding what to do with the rook on d1.

6 Rh8+ Kg6

This move leads to a clear-cut draw, whereas 6... K×h8 gives rise to great complications: 6... K×h8 7 a8=O+ Kh7 8 Re1 (the attack on the e-pawn is useful in some variations and there is no positive advantage to other rook moves, so this must be best) Rf2 9 Qa6 (forced, as 9 Kg1 Nh3+ 10 Kh1 Rf1+ 11 Kg2 Nf4+ 12 Kg3 N6h5+ wins for Black) N6d51 and now:

(A) 10 kg1 (10 Ob5 fails to cope with the threat of 10...Nc3 and 11...R(1+) Nh3+ 11 Kb1 N5f4 (intending 12...Rg2 and 13...N12 mate) 12 Ob5 (White's only defence is to aim for perpetual check with O(5+) h51 (giving the flight square h6, where the king would be relatively safe from checks) 13 Ra1 Rg2 14 Of5+ Kh6 and wins. (B) 10 h4 Nc3 11 Qa5 (or else 11...R(1+ wins) Rf1+ 12 Kh2 R×e1 13 Qxe1 Nc2 pushes the e-pawn through.

(C) 10 Qc4 (bc4) (51 (cutting out the annoving check on e4. Other tempting lines fail, e.g. 10, mR1+11 R+11 Ne3 12 Qc21 N×c21 N×c2 14 Rel wins; or 10, ..Ne3 11 Qc4+ f5 12 Q×c3 Rf1+13 Qg1 R×g1+ 14 K×g1, with very good chances for White in the ending, or 10, ... Nb4-d3, when White should not try 11 Qc4+ Kg8 12 Qc8+ Kg7 13 Qc5+ f6, when Black wins, but simply 11 b4, when White has whatever mining chances there are in this position) 11 h4 (11, ..Ne3 was again a threat and 11 Kg1 Nh3+ 12 Kh1 Ne3 and 13, ..Rf1+1 loses) Rf1+ (Black has no other constructive plan) 12 R×f1 Ne3 13 Q×c2 N×c2 14 Re1 Ng3+ 15 Kh2 f4, with a rather unclear ending in which a draw is the most likely outcome.

7 Re1 Rf2 8 Rg1+

Forced. Now Black could have drawn comfortably by 8...Re2, when White must either repeat moves or go in for 9 $R \times g2 + N \times g2$ 10 $a8 = Q = 1 = Q + 11 K \times g2 Q = 2 +$, when Black delivers perpetual check. Instead he plays a more imaginative move, but this does not change the result.

8 ...Ng4!? 9 R×g4+

9 f×g4 Rf1 10 Re8 Ne6 11 a8=Q e1=Q (11...R×g1+ 12 K×g1 e1=Q+13 Kg2 Nf4+? 14 Kf3 Qf1+ 15 Ke4 is rather risky for Black, but 13...Qe2+ is again a draw) 12 Qg2 R×g1+ 13 Q×g1 Qe4+, with perpetual check once more.

9	Kh5
10 Re8	Rf1+
11 Rg1	Ne6
12 R×e6	

Certainly not 12 a8=Q R×g1+ 13 K×g1 e1=Q+ 14 Kg2 Nf4 mate!

After 13...e1=Q? 14 Qe8+ Kh4 15 Qa4+ Black is mated.

$$4 \text{ K} \times g1 \qquad e1 = Q + \frac{1}{2-\frac{1}{2}}$$

since 15 Kg2 Qe2+ 16 Kg3 Qe1+ is an immediate draw (17 Kf4? g5 mate).

The next position is remarkable because, although the Black king is completely open, White cannot profit from the multitude of checks available to his queen.



Rittner-Bruntrup, Berlin Ch. 1962

Although White's passed pawn cannot be stopped his king is in a dreadful position. Since the line actually chosen by White does not lead to a win, he would have done better to play 1 Rg3! R×g3+ (1...f4 2 R×g2 Bf5+ 3 Rg4 B×e6 4 Nd6 wjms for White. while 1... Rc2 2 Nd6 and 1...g4+ 2 K×R4 R×h2+ 3 Kg5 bott give White good winning chances) 2 K×g3 or 2 h×g3 and White will win Black's bishop, although he still may not be able to win the game.

1 e7 Rg4

At first sight this forces a draw by 2 Rc2 (2 Rg3? Rh4 mate) Rh4+ 3 Kg3 f4+ 4 Kf2 R×h2+ with perpetual check, for White may never move to the c-file in view of $...R\times$ c2+ and $...R\times$ c8. But White succeeds in promoting his pawn with check.

> 2 Ra3+ Kb4 3 Ra4+

After 3 Ra2 Rh4+ 4 Kg3 f4+ 5 Kf2 $R \times h2+$ White's king has absolutely no shelter from the rain of checks.

This is necessary or else 4 R×e4 wins.

At some point White will have to make a quiet move with his queen to cover the mate on g2. Where can the queen stand? If on the second rank (except for f2), Black plays ...Rh4+, ...f4+ and ...R×h2+, while on f1 the same manoeuver with ...Rh1 at the end is effective. This only leaves f2, but if 5 Qb5+ Kc3 6 Qc5+ Kd3 7 Q2, Black draws by 7...Rh4+ 8 Q×h4 g×h4 9 K×h4 (9 e6 f4 10 e7 Bc6 is also safe) f41 0 Nd6 Bd5 and the f-pawn is too dangerous to allow White to make progress. So to hold the draw Black need only ensure that White can never cover g2 with check.

5 Qb5+	Kc3
6 Qc5+	Kd3
7 Qb5+	

If 7 Qa3+ Ke2 8 Qb2+ Kf3, the threat of ... Rh4 mate forces White to continue checking.

The game finished 7...Ke3 8 Qc5+ Kf3 9 Qc3+ Kf2 10 Qd2+ Kf3 11 Qd1+ Kf4 12 Qd2+ Kf3 13 Qc1 1-4, in anticipation of 13...Rh4+ 14 Q×h4 gd+ 15 Q×gd+ f×g4+ 16 Kh4 Bf5 17 Nd6 Kf4 18 Nf7 Be6, picking up the e-pawn.

Needless to say, study composers have explored the theme of the helpless queen. Here is one of the most dramatic examples:



L. Olmoutski, 1st Pr., Shakhmaty 1964

White starts by checking, to get the rooks behind the pawns with gain of tempo.

1 Ra3+ Kb4 2 Rab3+

2 Rhb3+? throws away the win, e.g. 2...Kc4 3 Rc3+ Kb4 4 Rab3+ Ka4 5 Rd3 (or else White can only repeat moves) d1=Q 6 R×d1 $K \times b3$, with a simple draw.

2 ...Kc4

After 2...Ka4 the king is sufficiently far away to justify 3 Rbg3! b1=Q(3...d1=Q 4 Rh4+ Kb5 5 Rg54 wins) 4 Rh4+1 (not 4 Rg4+ Kb5 5 Rh5+ Kc6 and 6 Rg6+ is impossible) Kb5 (or 4...Qb4 5 R×b4+ K×b4 6 Rd3) 5 Rg5+ Kc6 6 Rh6+ and mates.

3 Rhc3+

Again White has to be careful which rook to use, for 3 Rbc3+? Kd4 4 Rhd3+ (4 Rcd3+ Kc4 only repeats) Ke4 5 Rb3 (5 Re3+ Kd4) b1=O 6 R×b1 K×d3 only leads to a draw.

3	Kd4
4 Rd3+	Kc4

Black threatens to draw by promoting either pawn, so White must find something constructive to do.

5 Rbc3+! Kb4

If 5...Kb5 6 Rd8 Ka4 (the reply to 6...Kb4 is the same) 7 Rc7 bl=Q 8 Ra7+, followed by 9 Rb7+ and 10 R×b1 wins.

6 Rc7!!

Surprising, since 6...b1=Q 7 Rb7+ Kc4 8 R×b1 K×d3 is a draw, but White has in mind a second quiet move.

> 6 ...b1=Q 7 Rd8!

Threatening mate in two. White's sixth move is explained by the need to defend h7. Note that 6 Rc8? b1=Q 7 Rd7 fails to 7...Qc4! stopping the mate.

7 ...Qe4

There is no defence, e.g. 7.. d1=Q 8 Rb8+ Ka3 9 Ra7+ followed by 10 R×a4+ and 11 R×b1 or 7...Qf1 8 Rb8+ Qb5 9 R×b5+ and 10 Rd7.

8 Rb8+ 🧋 Ka3 9 Ra7+

and wins after 9...Qa4 10 R×a4+ K×a4 11 Rd8. The position after White's 7th move is a remarkable example of a queen (or two!) unable to check or to stop the mate.

A second reason why a new queen may be of no value is that the opponent is also threatening to promote (usually with check) and the queen cannot usefully cover the promotion square.



Sandro-Weider, Cagres sur Mer, 1977

Once again both sides have passed pawns, but Black's looks less dangerous since White can play RdI preventing the pawn's advance, followed by Kd4 and if ...Ba6 then b5. depriving the pawn of its defence. This threat implies that Black must act quickly if he is to avoid defeat.

1 ...d2!

A precisely calculated move. Black intends removing the blockade of his passed pawn, even if it allows White to promote in the meantime.

2 Rd1 Re2+

A necessary corollary to the last move, or else Black just loses his pawn. If now 3 Kf3 Rh2 forces a repetition, so . . .

> 3 Kd4 Bb3 4 h7

If 4 R×d2 R×d2 + 5 Kc3 Rg2 6 K×b3 R×g3+ 7 Kc4 (7 Kc2 Rh3 8 h7 Kl8 followed by ...Kg7 frees the rook to attack the f-pawn or the queenside pawns) Rl3 followed by ...Kr4 and ...R4, procuring a useful passed g-pawn. Black would have whatever winning chances there are.

4	B×d1
5 h8=Q	B×a4

Covering the mate on e8. Now 6 Ng8+? loses to 6...Kd7 7 Nf6+ Kc7 8 Ne8+ B×e8, so White's reply is the only move to draw.

6 Nd5+ e×d5

Or else 7 Nc3 wins.

7 Qf6+ Kf8

Not 7...Ke8? 8 Kc5! (8 Qh8+? Kd7 9 e6+ Kc7 wins) Kf8 (8...d1=Q

9 Kd6 mates) 9 Kd6 (threat 10 Qh8 mate) Kg8 10 Ke7 d1=Q 11 Q×f7+ Kh8 12 Kf8 and White mates. However. 7...Kd7 8 Q×f7+ Kc8 9 Qf8+ Kc7 10 Qd6+ is a draw by perpetual check.

> 8 Qh8+ Ke7 9 Qf6+

In the above game both sides played accurately, but the next position features an excellent swindle.



Rodriguez-Larsen, Riga 1979

As in the previous position, White has a powerful passed pawn on h6. Like many of the positions in this chapter White's mistake lies in advancing the pawn too quickly, instead of taking time out too nullify the opponent's counterplay. Simply 1 Rf1! should win, e.g. 1..b2 2 Kc2 Ra1 3 Rb1 and now Black cannot further delay the advance of the h-pawn.

White is blundering at the rate of half a point per movel: He could have drawn by 2R12 (Z & CR el + 3 KIZ Rh1 4 h8=0 R × h8 5 N×h8 b2 6 Rb3 Bc3 7 Ng6 Kc4 8 R×b2 B×b2 9 N×e7 B×d4+ may also be a draw, but White has to work a little) Rd1+ 3 Kc3 Re1+ 4 Kd3 (4 Kf3 Rh1 5 h8=0 R×h8 6 N×h8 K×d4 is hard to asses, but Black should have no trouble drawing and may be better) Rd1+, with a draw by repetition.

2 ...b2

Black threatens to start a mating attack by promoting with check and although White is a whole queen up there is nothing he can do about it.

3 Qd8+		Bd6
4 Ke3		b1=Q
5 N×d6		Qc1+
	0-1	

as 6 Kf2 (6 Ke2 Ra2+ or 6 Kd3 Ra3+ mate quickly) Qg1+ 7 Ke2 Ra2+ 8 Kd3 Od1+ mates in two moves.

For some reason the finale of the following study is particularly hard to see. I have shown strong players the position two moves from the end and some have taken a couple of minutes to spot the solution!



Draw

D. Gurgenidze, 1-3 Pr., Komunisti 1973

1 Ng3+

If 1 Rf1 Rc1 2 Nf2+ (2 Ng3+ Ke5 3 Rf5+ Ke6 wins) Kd4 3 Nd1 a2 wins.

1 ...Kd4

As it turns out, this is not a very good square for the king, but there is no choice, since 1. ..Ke3 2 Nf1+ Ke4 3 N×d2+ K×t5 4 K×a3 and 1...Kd3 2 K×a3! Rc3+ 3 Kb2 (3 Kb4? Rc4+ and 4...d1=Q) Rc2+ (3...Rb3+ 4 Ka2) 4 Kb3 are completely drawn.

2 Rf1

If 2 Ne2+ Ke3 (2...Kd3? 3 K×a3 d1=Q 4 Rd5+ K×e2 5 R×d1 K×d1 and now, not 6 a5 Re41 winning, but 6 Kb4 and White draws) 3 Re5+ (3 Rd5 a2) Kf2 4 Nc3 R×c3 and Black wins, or 2 Rf7 a2. After 2 Rf1 Black cannot play 2...Rc1. due to the fork at e2.

> 2 ...a2 3 Rd1!

Black had renewed the threat of ...Rcl and 3 Ne2+ failed to 3...Ke3 4 Nc3 Rb8+ 5 Kc4 (5 Nb5 Ke2) Rb1 6 N×b1 (6 Nd5+ Ke2) a1=Q $7\ N{\times}d2\ Q{\times}a4+8\ Kc3\ Qa5+$ picking up the knight, so 3 Rd1 is the only move.

3 ...Ke5

Since 3...Kd3 4 Nf1 Rc2 5 Kb3 is an easy draw, Black must move his king off the dangerous d-file.

4 Ne2

The only way to stop 4...Rc1, which now loses to 5 N×c1 al=Q 6 Nd3+.

4 ...Rb8+

Black finds another way to the eighth rank and it looks as though the a-pawn is going through, but there is an exceptional defence.

5 Ka3!	Rb1
6 R×d2!	a1=Q+
7 Ra2	

Trapping the queen! Black has nothing better than 7...Rb3+ with a clear draw.

We continue with a couple of practical examples in which both sides are struggling to promote.



Klebanov-Kalinichenko, USSR 1970

Certainly Black has the advantage. His pawns are further advanced and it is his turn to move. Can he win? The answer given in *Informator* is yes, with the aid of the move **1...Bh1!** (not 1...Bf3? 2.B×43 and 2...K×f3 3 a7 or 2...g2 3 a7, with at least a draw for White in both cases) **2** b5 (2 B×h1 g2 3 B×g2 h×g2 4 a7 g]= O 5 a8=O Oa1+ wins) g2 and Black is winning, since 3 a7 g]= O 4 a8=O loses to 4...Oa1+. The game actually finished 3 b6 g]=O 4 B×h1 Q×h1 5 b7 Qb1 6-1, since the pawns are permanently halted. Certainly 1... Bh1 was a delightful move to occur in a game, but analysis shows that Black had a more effective continuation. First let's see what's wrong with 1...Bh1.

> 1 ...Bh1 2 Kb5!

We saw above that the position of the White king on the a-file is very unsatisfactory and 2 Kb6 allows Black to promote with check, so one is left with this move.

2 ...g2

White threatened 3 $B \times h1$ g2 4 $B \times g2$ and 5 a7, so Black has no choice.

3	a7	g1=Q
4	a8=0	Of1+

After 4...Qg5+ 5 Ka4 Black runs out of checks immediately.

5 Kb6!

Not 5 Kc5? Qf2+ and now:

(A) 6 Kc4 Qc2+ 7 Kd4 (7 Kc3/b3 fails to 7...B×b7 8 Qb8+ Kg4 9 Qg8+ Kf3 10 Qf7+ Kg2 11 Qg7+ Kf2 winning, while if 7 Kc5 Qc3+ then 8 Kc4 transposes to the main line of A. 8 Kb5 transposes to B and 8 Kd6 loses to 8...Qb6+) Qc3+ 8 Kc4 B×b7 9 Qb8+ Qc5 or 9 Qf8+ Kg3 10 Qg7+ Kf3 and in both cases White must take the bishop and allow ...Qc4+.

(B) 6 Kb5 Qe2+ 7 Kc5/b6 Qe3+ 8 Kb5 (8 Kc4 B×b7 is A, while 8 Kc7 Qe7+ wins) Qd3+ 9 Kc5 (9 Ka4 Qd7+ or 9 Kb6 Qd4+ transposing) Qc3+ 10 Kb5 Qe5+ 11 Kb6 (11 Kc4 Qc7+) Qd4+ 12 Kb5 (12 Kc7 Qg7+) Qd7+, followed by exchanging twice on b7 winning.

5 ...Qf2+ 6 Kc7

Again the only move, since 6 Kb5 transposes to the analysis of 5 Kc5?.

6 ...B×b7

The best moment to make this exchange, for after 6...Qc2+ 7 Kb8 the White queen will have greater freedom on b7.

7 Q×b7

Black wins after 7 Qf8+ Kg3 8 Qg7+ Kh2.

...Qd4!

After 7...h2 8 Qd5 White's centralised queen gives him good drawing chances. Now, however, White faces a number of problems on account of his poorly placed queen. Black is far from having a forced win. but in practice he would have good chances. The conclusion is that 1...Bh1 is not very clear. However, Black does have a forced win!

....Ke5!

Black observes that White needs two tempi to advance his b-pawn before he is threatening anything, and calmly brings his king back to aid in the fight against White's pawns. Now there are two lines:

and in the energy of the set of

A similar idea works in the next position:



Emerson-Nunn, London 1969

1

....Kf5

White will win if he can exchange queens after both sides promote, so 1...K7/h7 loses to 2 b4 g5 3 b5 g4 d b6 g3 5 b7 g2 6 b8=Q g1=Q 7 Qa74, while 1...Kf8 allows 7 Qb6+ in this line. Since 1...K8/s/h0 lose the queen to 7 Qh8+, Black's move could easily be found by a process of elimination. The game now continued 2 b4 g5 3 b5 g4 4 b6 g3 5 b7 g2 6 b8=Q g1=Q and ended in a draw, since White cannot exchange queens. But a week later Roger Emerson pointed out to me the missed win...

2 Kb5!

A surprising move, since Black can easily head off the White king's

march towards g1 with his own king. But if he does this White will be able to promote with check.

2 ...g5

If 2...Ke4 3 a4 while 2...Kf4 3 Kc4 will transpose into the main line.

3 Kc4 Ke4

Or 3...g4 (3...Kf4 4 Kd3 and both 4...g4 and 4...Kf3 5 a4 g4 transpose into the continuation of this note) 4 Kd3 Kf4 5 a4! (5 Kc2? Kg3 6 b4 Kh3! draws) Kf3 (if 5...g3 6 Kc2 and White stops the pawn. while if 5...Kg3 6 a5 K moves 7 a6 White will be able to swop queens after both sides promote) 6 a5 g3 7 a6 g2 8 a7 g1=Q 9 a8=Q+ Kf4 10 Qc4+ and 11 Qc3+ and White wins.

4 a4	g4
5 a5	g3

6 a6 g2 7 a7 g1=Q 8 a8=Q + K44 (8...Ke5/f5 loses at once after 9 Qd5+ and 10 Qd4+) 9 Qf8+ Ke4 (9...Ke5 10 Qe5+) 10 Qe7+ Kf3/f4 11 Qf6+ Ke4 (11...Ke2 12 Qe5+ Kf1/f3 13 Qf5+ Ke2 14 Qe4+, forcing the exchange next move) 12 Qc6+! Kf4 13 Qd6+ Kf3 (13...Ke4 14 Qd5+ loses slightly more quickly) 14 Qd5+ Ke2 15 Qe4+ and once again White exchanges queens and wins.

Both sides promote in the next position, which also features a situation in which two passed pawns outweigh a queen.



54

R

Hort-Keres, Oberhausen 1961

It is likely that White was expecting to win the pawn on c4 and thereby remove most of his problems, but Black found a surprising combination to maintain the tension in the position and give White, who was in bad time trouble, extra difficulties.

> 1 ...Q×cI+! 2 R×c1 b2

It is essential to retain the option of threatening d7 and Qe8+ in some positions. After 4 Q×f5 R×a4 5 d7 Ra1 White would have to play the hopeless 6 d8=Q+ to stop the threat of $6...R\times$ b1+7 Q×b1 c2.

One way to stop 6 Qe8+, but not the best. The correct move was the paradoxical 5...Rg8! 6 Be1 (6 Qd1 Ral 7 R×al c2 8 Rb1 c×d1=Q+ 9 R×al Rd8, followed by 10...R×d7 wins) Ral 7 Qd3 Rd8 8 Kf2 R×b19 Q×b1 R×d7 with a winning position, e.g. 10 Kc2 Rd8 (threatening ...Rb8 followed by ...c2) 11 B×c3 (11 Bg3 Rd2+ and 12...c2) B×c3 with ...b6 and ...Ra8-al coming up.

6 Qe8+ Kh7 7 d8=Q?

It is well-known that sealed moves are often mistakes and that is the case here. White had a choice of taking either rook and he chose the wrong one, even though 7 $O \times b8$ does not offer a clear route to equality. Play might continue 7 $O \times b8$ (does not offer a clear route to $B \times b2$ 10 dB= $O \times b2$) the $H \times H = 10 \times 10^{-1} H \times H = 10^{-1} H \times 10^{-1} = 12 \times 10^{-1} H \times 10^{-1} = 12 \times 10^{-1} H \times 10^{-1} = 12 \times 10^{-1} H \times 10^{-1} = 10^{-1} H \times 10^{-1}$

7R×d8!

Black could have forced a draw by 7...c2 8 Qh8+ Kg6 9 Qhe8+, but the move played is very strong.

8 Q×a4 Rd2

Now a carious situation has arisen in which the two pawns are more valuable than the queen. White is handicapped by the vulnerable position of his king on the back rank.

9 R×b2?

Capitulation. The critical line is 9 Ob5 c2 10 Qf1 and now: (A) 10...c×b1=Q? 11 Q×b1 Rd6 (10...Rd5 11 Bc1 Rc5 12 Bd2 is also no good) 12 Bc3 (12 Q×K1+? g6 13 Qc2 Ra6 and the bishop drops back to g7 to stop the checks) Ra6 13 Kf2 (13 Q×K1+ g6 14 Qd7+ Bg7 15 Bd4 b1=Q+16 Kf2 Ra2+ 17 Kg3 Ob8+ and 18...Qf8 wins for Black) g6 (13...Ra1 14 $Q \times 15 + g6$ 15 QdT+ and 16 Bd4) 14 Qc2 Bg7 (threatening 15...Ra1) 15 Bc1! and after both 15...Rb6 16 Ob1, followed by moving the king off the second rank, and 15...Ra2 16 Kg3 White succeeds in giving up his bishop for the pawn with a draw.

(B) 10...Rd5! and now:

(B1) 11 Be3 c×b1=Q 12 Q×b1 Ra5 13 Kf2 Kg8! 14 Qc2 (with the Black rook on a6, as in A, White would draw by 14 Q×f5 here) Ra1 15 Qc8 + Kf7 16 Qd7 + Kg6 17 Qc8 + Kh7 and Black wins.

(B2) 11 g4 (11 g3 c×b¹=Q 12 Q×b1 and not now 12...Ra5 13 Be1! but 12...g6! 13 Kg2 Bg7 and Black will transfer his rook to al before White's bishop can do anything useful) Ra5 12 R×b2 B×b2 13 Be3 Ra1 14 Q×a1 B×a1 15 Be1 f×g4, followed by 16...Bf6 and 17...Bg5.

9	c×b2
10 Qb3	Rd8
11 Oc2	

There is no real defence to the threat of ... Ra8-a1, so White tries for perpetual check.

11	Rb8
12 Qb1	

If 12 Q×f5+ Kh8 13 Qb1 Ra8 and White is doomed by the position of his king.

12g6

White's resources are exhausted. The game finished 13 g4 Ra8 14 Kg2 Ra1 15 Qc2 b1=Q 16 Qc7+ Bg7 17 Bd4 Qf1+ 18 Kg3 f4+ 19 K×f4 Qc1+ 0-1.

(The above annotations are based on Keres' own excellent notes.)

Finally we come to the subject of underpromotion. It should be emphasised that underpromotion is very rare in over-the-board cless. Naturally I don't count cases where a player moves P=R frivolously when the promoted piece has to be captured whatever it is. Genuite situations in which underpromotion is the best move almost always involve playing P=N + to gain a tempo, or to fork two pieces. In my own career underpromotion to a knight has occurred twice and in both cases the motive was $P=N^+$ to tork king and queen! I have also seen a situation in which $\Delta \to N$ battery was aimed at the White King and the obvious P=O allowed a perpetual check, but P=N attacked the Black queen and won the game. I show of only one case of underpromotion to a rook. I know of on tots! As for underpromotion to a rook. I know ot no case in which such a move was the only way to win.

The next two positions demonstrate typical situations in which gaining a tempo is the motivation for underpromotion.



Teschner-K. Richter, Berlin 1951

1 Rc3+

1 g8=Q R×g8 2 Rc3+ Kb4 3 K×c2 Rg2+ and 1 f7 b1=Q 2 Rc3+ Ka4 are winning for Black, so this move is the only chance.

....Ka4

ł Not 1...Ka2 2 R×c2 nor 1...Kb4 2 f7.

> 2 g8=0 c1 = N + !

Black avoids 2 ... R×g8 3 K×c2 with a draw. Now, hoever, White has nothing better than 3 R×c1 b×c1=N+! 4 Ke4 R×g8 5 Kf5 Nd3 6 f7 Rf8 7 Kf6 Ne5, when Black rounds up the remaining White pawns. So. . .



0 - 1

Donnelly-Lewis, Salisbury 1965

Black cannot win by 1...R×d7, e.g. 2 Rd6 Rb7 3 Rd1 Bf2 4 Bf4 Re7 (4...R×b2 is a clear draw) 5 Ke2 and Black must be careful or he will be worse!

Threatens to promote and attacks the rook on b6. If White now plays 2 d8=Q with the idea 2...R×d8 3 Rb7+ and 4 K×e2 Black replies 2...e1=N+! and 3...R×d8, thereby picking up a piece. But White has a witty move.

2 d8 = N + !Ke7

Other moves are no better: 2 ... R×d8 3 Rb7+ and White is better or 2...Kg7 (2...Kf8 3 Rf6+ transposes after 3...Ke7 or 3...Kg7) 3 Re6 R×d8 4 R×e2 R×b8 5 Rg2+ drawing.

> 3 Re6+ K×d8 R×e2 4 R×e2 5 K×e2

With all the pawns on the same side and such limited material White has no real winning chances.

The following study, which has a very natural initial position, nevertheless ends with a surprising underpromotion.



V. Yakimchik, Shakhmaty v SSSR 1966

1 e6 g3

Allows the bishop to cover e8 from h5 as well as b5 and serves to help confine the White king. If 1...Ba4 2 Bf7 Bb3 3 Bg6+ and 4 e7 promotes.

2 Bf7

Not the hasty 2 e7 Bh5 3 Bb3 Be8 and the Black king captures the e-pawn.

2...Bb3 still fails to 3 Bg6+, so it seems that there is no way to prevent 3 e7, but 2...Ke3 sets a cunning trap.

3 Be8!!

Why not 3 e7? Because then 3...K2! 4 e8=Q (4 BdS Ba4 and the White bishop cannot quit the long diagonal to cover the e8 square due to ...Bc6) Bf3 and incredibly White cannot win, as 5 Bd5 B×g2+! 6 B×g2 is stalemate. Also White cannot free his king by 3 Kg1 as 3..Bb3 draws, e.g. 4 Bg8 (4 K11 K45 Ke2 Bd5 6 Bg8 B×g2 or 5 Bg8 Ba4 6 Ke2 Bc6 7 e7 Be8, picking up the e-pawn) Ba4 5 Kf1 (5 Bf7 Bb3 repeats) Kf4 transposing to 4 Kf1 in this note. The move played intends 4 Be6, stopping Black's swindles and preparing to advance the pawn.

3Kf2

After 3...Bf3 4 Kg1 White continues with 5 e7 and 6 B moves.

4 Bc6

Necessary as 4...Bf3 was a threat. Now it seems to be all over, but Black still has one shot left.

4	Be2!
5 e7	Bf1
6 e8=N!	

The only move to win! 6 Be4 Bb5 or $6 e8=Q B \times g2+$ or 6 e8=B are all draws, the last because two white-squared bishops are incapable of forcing the exchange of Black's bishop. After 6 e8=N White wins easily by bringing the knight back to e4.

To end this chapter let's look at the position mentioned earlier in which underpromotion to a bishop occurred.



Reshko-Kaminski, USSR 1972

Normally White would win easily with an extra outside passed

pawn on the seventh rank, but here he is handicapped by the lamentable position of his king. Black has threats of mating on e8 or byg6 which severely restrict the activity of the White queen.

1 Qe7

If 1 Qf8 (1 Qg6+?? Kg8 even leads to a win for Black!) Qe4 and the threat of 2...g6+ forces 2 Qf7.

1 ...Qd5?

Black had very good drawing chances with 1...Qa8!, e.g. 2 Qc3 (2 Qd7 Qc4 and again White can only repeat moves by Qf7, being almost in a state of zugzwang) Qb7 (2...Qc8 is answered by 3 Qc41 winning after 3...Qc7/df7 4 g5, for example, rather than by 3 g5? Q×15, when Black wins) 3 g5 h×g5 4 h×g5 Ohl + 5 Kg4 Qg2 + 6 Kf4 (6 Qg3 Qc4 + is perpetual check) Q×g5 + 7 Ke4 Qg4 + 8 Kd3 Qd1 + (of course not 8...Q×15 + 9 Qc4) and Black should have little trouble holding the game.

2 Qe8!

The square Black should have kept covered. From e8 the queen stops all the mates and ensures the promotion of the pawn.

The unique winning move. If 3 a8=Q/R Qf7+ forces stalemate, while if 3 a8=N Qa7! (the only move to keep the knight imprisoned) 4 g5 (4 QR Qb7 forces 5 Qe8) hxg 55 hxg 57 kg 56 Qe4 (6 K×g5 Qg1+ is an immediate draw, as White cannot move on to the e-file) Qf7+ 7 K×g5 (7 Kg4 g6 8 Qb1+ Kg8) Qf6+8 Kb5 (8 Kg4 g6 9 Qb7+ Kho 10 Qb1+ Kg7 11 Qb7+ is also a draw) 077+ with perpetual check.

To prevent Bd5 for as long as possible.

Or 4...Qc2 5 Bd5 followed by Bf7 and Bg6+.

5 Bd5

1 - 0

4 Positional draw

By a positional draw I mean a position in which although one side has enough extra material to win the game under normal circumstances and is not under direct attack, some special feature of the position prevents him from exploiting his material advantage. Oute often this 'special feature' takes the form of a blockade.



Kobaidze-Cereteli, USSR 1969

Black's knight is in serious trouble, since after 1...Na8 2 Bd7 and 3 Bc6 there is no escape. But Black proves that he doesn't need the knight!

1 ...Ke8!

1...Na8 doesn't lose since Black can give up the knight later if necessary, but Black decides to clear up the position at once.

2 B×b6 Ke7

Black simply moves his bishop up and down the b4-e1 diagonal and White can make absolutely no headway. Black's queenside pawns form a box from which the bishop on b6 can never escape.

When the position is closed there is often the possibility for a sacrifice to seal the last gaps, as in the next position.


Paulic-Vasovic, Yugoslavia 1976

1Nc5!

Black must play this soon or his position will deteriorate past repair, e.g. 1...Kb7 (if 1...K×a4.2 B×a4 Black must play 2...Nc51 or he loses the b-pawn) 2 R×a7+ K×a7 3 Ba4 Nb8? (3...Nc51 draws) 4 Be8 followed by a king march to b5 winning the b-pawn.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} 2 \ R \times a7 & K \times a7 \\ 3 \ b \times c5 & d \times c5 \\ \frac{1}{2-\frac{1}{2}} \end{array}$$

Black's drawing plan is to put his king on c7 and pass with his bishop between d6 and e7. If at any point White plays Bh6, the move ...Bf8 force White to retreat.



A. Petrosian-Hazai, Belgium 1970

Black's position is very bad. White has the straightforward plan of an assault on the weak a-pawn by Qd2, Kb3, Nc3, Ka4 and Na2-clb3 and Q×a5, when White should have little trouble winning. Black has no real counter-chances, so he plays a remarkably checky move. 1

This move has no function apart from offering White the chance to take the queen. White should just play Qd2, Kb3 and so on, but the sight of a whole queen en prise must have gone to his head a ...

The only chance, for otherwise Black seals the whole board by ...h4.

Or indeed any other move-the reply is the same.

4 ...h3!

$$5 \text{ g} \times h3$$
 h4
 $\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}$ h4

A rather more unusual type of positional draw occurs when the side down on material manages to set up a bind which prevents any of the opposing pieces becoming active. The following example explains better than words.

62

w



Keres-Najdorf, Zurich 1953

White is a pawn down, but his active pieces give him some drawing chances.

1 Rc7?

Not only wasting a tempo, but also blocking the passed c-pawn. White will obtain after Black's queenside breakthrough by ...e4 b×c4 b4. After 1 Kft e4 $2 b \times c4$ b4 (2...b×c4 3 Rc7) 3 Be1 b3 4 Rd2 and 5 Bb2 White would have good drawing chances, while $3...Rc8 4 Rb7 R \times c4$ 5 Bd2 Bf8 6 g5 in this line leaves Black paralysed.

1	c4
2 b×c4	b4
3 Bc1	e4

Or else 4 Bb2 effectively blockades the b- and e-pawns.

4 c5	b3
5 c6	b2
6 B×b2	R×b2?

This move throws away the win, which Black could have assured by $6...R\times b2$ 7 Rd7 Re8 8 c7 Bf6 9 Kf1 (White has nothing better) Kf8 10 Ke2 (or 10 R×h7 Bg7, winning the c-pawn) Be7 followed by 11...Ke8 and again the c-pawn disappears.

7 Rd7

1

A surprising decision at first sight, but the only way to stop the pawn is by 7...Rc2 8 c7 (intending Rd8+) Bf8, when White marks time with his king by 9 Kf1. Black cannot move his king or bishop, his rook can only travel up and down the c-file and advancing pawns can achieve nothing without the help of the other pieces, so that despite Black's considerable material plus he is unable to do more than draw.





Ribli-Oszvath, Hungary 1971

This endgame shows an imaginative application of the positional draw idea. White is a clear piece up and is all set to start driving Black back by Rf6+, but it is Black's move first!

Or 2 Rf6+ Kh5 3 Rh6+ (3 g6 Rg8 wins the pawn at once) Kg4 4 g6

Kt5 5 g7 (5 Nd6+ Kt6! 6 Nt7 Rc8+ and 7...Kg7 ties White up completely and Black can just advance the h-pawn) Rg8 6 Nd6+ Kg5 7 Nt7+ Kt5 with a draw by repetition.

2Kf5!

Now White can only move his king. Black's rook can oscillate up and down the d-file, but must steer clear of certain squares (e.g. d5, because of Ng3+); however d1, d3, d7 and d8 are safe, so Black cannot be forced into zugzwang.

3 Kc3	Rd1
4 Kc4	Rd8
5 Kc5	Rd3
6 Kc6	Rd1
7 Kc7	Rd3
8 Rh1	

The last winning try. White threatens 9 Rf1+ and if $8...K \times e4$? then 9 Rg1 is decisive.

8 ...Re3!

since 9 Rh4 repeats, while 9 Rg1 $R{\times}e4$ 10 g6 Re7+ and 11...Rg7 draws.

We end this chapter with a study having a natural starting position, but an extraordinary finish!



G. Nadareishvili, Georgia-50, 1970

White has only a limited choice for his first move, since he must prevent ...b3.

1 Bc4

Not 1 Kc2? (or 1 Rb8? Kb2 2 R×b4+ Ka3 and queens) Rc5+ 2 Kb3

Rc3+ 3 K×b4 Kb2 4 Ra8 Rb3+ 5 Kc4 Ra3 6 Rb8+ Kc1 and Black wins.

1 ...Rc5

White draws after 1...Kb1 2 Rb8t Ra4 3 $B \times a2+ K \times a2$ 4 Kc2 Ka3 5 Kb1, or 1...Kb12 R2B+Kb1 3 Bd3+ Ka1 4 Kc2, when Black would have to resort to 4...b3+ 5 $K \times b3$ Ra3+! to avoid losing! After 1... Rc5 White must move his bishop down the long diagonal, since 2 $B \times a2^{-1} K \times a2$ and 2 Rd4? R×c4! 3 R×c4 b 3 Kc1+ kb2 are winning for Black. But to which square? Certainly not 2 Bt7 as then 2...Kb2 wins, but there appears to be little to choose between e6 and g8.

2 Bg8!!

The point is only revealed much later. As 2...Kb2 fails to 3 Rf2+ there is only one real choice for Black.

2 ...Kb1 3 Rb8!

White avoids 3 Rf4? b3 4 B×b3 a1=Q or 3 Bh7+ Kb2 4 Rf2+ Ka3, winning for Black.

3	Rc1+
4 Kd2	Rc2+
5 Kd1	a1=Q
6 R×b4+	Rb2

This looks winning for Black as 7 Bh7+ Ka2 is check, but there is a surprising resource which just saves the day.

7 Rc4!

Black cannot win. White threatens 8 Rcl mate and after 7...Rd2+ (7...Ka2+8 Rcl+ and 7...Rc2 8 Rb4+ Rb2 9 Rcd are clear draws, but in the second line White must avoid 8 R×c2 Qd4+ 9 Rd2 Qg1+, when Black wins) 8 K×d2 Qa5+ 9 Kc2! Qb5+ 10 Kf2 Black cannot pick up the bishop with a fork. However, if White had played 2 BeC5 instead of 2 Bg8! Black could win at this point by 7...Rb6! 8 Rcl+ Ka2 9 R×a! Rd6+, followed by 10...R×c6+ and 11...K×a1.

5 Breakthrough

Although the idea of a sacrificial breakthrough crops up more frequently in the middlegame than in the endgame, the strength of passed pawns in the endgame can provide sufficient motivation for a sacrifice. In king and pawn endings, for example, a passed pawn suddenly created on the other side of the board will often be impossible to stop. Everybody knows that with pawns on a5, b5 and c5 against pawns on a7, b7 and c7 White can force a passed pawn with 1 b61, but combinations of this type can still catch people out, as the next position proves.



Weinstein-Rohde, Lone Pine 1977

White has an outside passed pawn coming soon on the queenside, so he is sure to win unless Black can achieve something quickly on the other side of the board.

...h4??

Missing his chance. 1... f4! would have won, e.g. $2 \ge x^{+4}$ (or 2 a5 b×a5 3 b×a5 h4 and Black can stop White's pawn while White cannot prevent ...5, followed by the promotion of the h-pawn) $\ge x^{+4}$ 3 Kd4 e3! 4 f×e3 (if 4 Kd3 f3!5 g×f3 h4 and Black wins) f3! 5 g×f3 h4 and the pawn cannot be stopped as f3 is blocked by White's own pawn.

White has prevented the breakthrough and won after 3...Ke6 4 a5

b×a5 5 b×a5 Kd6 6 a6 Kc6 7 Ke5 Kb6 8 K×f5 K×a6 9 K×e4 1-0.

The following ending is more complex. Grigoriev was one of the world's greatest experts on king and pawn endings (see the position in the Introduction, for example), but even he misanalysed this position in his book *Final it Scaechi* (U. Mursia & Co., 1965).



Zubarev-Grigoriev, USSR Ch. 1925

...b5

Clearly best. Black must make his own passed pawn as quickly as possible.

2 a×b5+ Kb6

Black must avoid losing a tempo to the check after ...ad b×a4, for example 2... k×b573 Ke6 of (3...ad 4 b×a4 + and after 4...K×a4 5 Kd5 or 4...Kb4 5 Kd5 the Black pawns are halted) 4 b×c4+ K×c4 5 Ha 6 (5 a3 7 f6 a2 8 7 r al = 0 9 R=O and White draws comfortably (9...Qe1+ 10 Kd7). However Grigoriev did not take his idea of avoiding a pawn check to its logical conclusion. Had he played 2...Kb7!! then the win would have presented far fewer difficulties, e g 3 Ke7 a4 4 b×a4 et 5 H (after 5 a5 White's Fpawn doesn't reach the 7th rank) d 5 c×c3 c×d3 7 15 d 2 8 R of l= 0 9 17 Qe2 + etc., forcing the king to 18 and then playing ...Kc7. Compared with the note to Black's 5th move White's pawns are too far back to sacrifice themselves.

3 Ke7

In the game White adopted the inferior defence **3** Ke6, when the finish was **3**, ad (3...e4? 4) based **a** 4 5 Kofa 3 6 c5+ Kxb5 7 c6 a.2 8 c7 **a**] **a**] **b**] **c**] **a**] **d**] **d**

3		a4
4	b×a4	c4
5	f4	d3

Now Grigoriev gave the line $6 \operatorname{cx} \operatorname{d3} \operatorname{cx} \operatorname{d3} 7$ (5 d.2 8 f d l= 0 9 f7 Qe2+ 10 Kd7 Qf3 11 Ke7 Qe4+ 12 Kd7 Qf5+ 13 Ke7 Qe5+ 14 Kd7 Qf6 15 a5+ (or 15 Ke8 Qe6+ 16 Kf8 Kc7 17 b6+ K×b6 18 a5+ Kc6 transposing to the main line) K×b5 16 Ke8 Qe6+ 17 Kf8 Kc6 18 a6 Kd7 19 a7 Qd5 20 Kg7 Qg2+ 21 KR Qa8+ 22 Kg7 Ke7 and Black wins. However, there is a substantial improvement for White in $6 \ldots \operatorname{cx} \operatorname{d3} 7$ a5+! (trying to get rid of the queenside pawns as early as possible) and now:

(A) 7...Kc5 (7...K × a5 8 f5 d2 9 f6 d1 = Q 10 f7 leads to a draw because although Black can force the king to f8, his only reasonable king moves are to b5 and b6, both of which allow White to set up his usual stalemate; note that 8 b6? K × b6 9 f6 d1 = Q 10 f7 Oe2+ 11 KB Og2 12 Ke 7 Og7 13 Ke8 Ke7 vins for Black 8 b6 Ke5 9 aid 2(9...Kxb6 10 a7 draws) 10 a7 Kb7 11 f5 d1 = Q 12 f6 Oe1+ (12...Qe2+ 13 Kf8) 13 Kf8 Ob4+ 14 Ke8 Ob5+ 15 Kf8 Oc5+ 16 Ke8 Oe5+ 17 Kf7 and Black can make no progress as he can never capture the pawn on b6.

(B) 7...Kc7 8 b6+ Kb8 (if the king moves elsewhere White plays a6 transposing to A) 9 a6 d2 10 a7+ and 11 f5 d1=Q 12 f6 transposing to A again.

(C) 7...Ka?! 8 b6+ Ka6 9 b7 Ka?! (if 9...K×b7 10 a6+ Ka8 11 f5 d2 12 f6 d1=0 13 77 and the Black king is too far away for him to win) 10 f3 d2 11 f6 d1=0 12 77 02e1 13 Kd7 07 61 34 Ke7 0e4+ 15 Kd7 Qf5+ 16 Ke7 Qe5+ 17 Kd7 Qf6 18 Ke8 Qe6+ 19 Kf8 K×b7 20 a6+ Ke7 21 a7 and the Black king is one square too far away for Black to win.

So 6 c×d3 c×d3 is a draw, but Black can win!

6 c×d3 c3!

Now White is left with a d-pawn even if he gives away the a- and b-pawns.

7 f5	c2
8 f6	c1=Q
9 17	Oc5+

and Black wins after 10 Ke8 Qe5+ 11 Kd7 Qf6 12 Ke8 Qe6+ 13 Kf8 Ke5 14 b6 (or else ...Kd6) K×b6 15 a5+ (otherwise 15...Ke7–d7 wins) Kc7 16 a6 Kd7 17 a7 Qh3! 18 Kg8 Qg2+ 19 Kf8 Qa8+ and 20...Ke7.



Bonner-Medina, Haifa Olympiad 1976

Knights are especially clumsy when they are matched against a passed rook's pawn and this factor allows Black to bring the game to a sudden conclusion.

1		Nc3!
2	b×c3	

White's knight is trapped and 2 N×c3 d×c3 3 b×c3 a4 also promotes a pawn.





Alburt-Lerner, USSR 1978

It is not immediately clear who has the advantage in this position. White's passed pawn is further advanced but is blockaded for a few moves at least and Black's king, moreover, is well placed to support his own passed pawn. In view of this one might assess the position as good for Black, but White has a very surprising combination available.

1 N×c5!!

It seems incredible that this can be sound but Black seems to have no defence.

1 ...b×c5 2 b4 a×b4

After 2...Nd7 (2...exh4 3 c5 b3+ 4 K×b3 Ne4 5 Kc4 and 2...e4 3 b×c5 Kf2 4 c6 a5 5 d7 e2 6 d8=Q e1=Q 7 Q×f6+ are both winning for White) 3 b×a5 Kf2 4 a6 e4 5 a7 a5 6 a8=Q e2 7 Qe4 e1=Q 8 Q×e1+ K×e1 9 a5 Nb8 10 Kc3 (not 10 a6 N×a6 11 d7 Nb4+ and 12...Ne6). Black cannot prevent 11 a6, forcing a pawn through.

3 a5	e4
4 a6	Kf2
5 a7	e3
6 a8=Q	e2 :
7 Qf8	e1≔Q
8 Q×f6+	Kg3
9 Qg5+	Kh3

9...Kf3 10 Qd5+ Kg3 11 Qd3+ Kh4 (Black's moves are forced in order to avoid the exchange of queens) 12 d7 Qf2+ 13 Kb3 and wins.

10 Qd2! Qa1

White can safely advance his pawn now, but 10...b3+ (10...Qe4+ 11 Qd3+) 11 Kc3 Qa1+ (11...Qe5+ 12 K×b3 is worse) 12 K×b3 Qb1+ 13 Ka4, advancing the king, also wins for White.

11 d7 Qa4+

11...Qa2+ 12 Kd1 Qb3+ 13 Ke2 transposes to the game.

12 Kb1	Qb3+
13 Kc1	Qa3+
14 Kd1	Qb3+

14...Qa1+ 15 Ke2 and 14...Qf3+ 15 Kc2 b3+ 16 Kb2 bring the checks to a conclusion.

15 Ke2 Kg4!

An excellent final trick—White has no checks and 16 d8=Q? Qf3+ 17 Ke1 Qh1+ 18 Kf2 Qh2+ 19 Ke3 Qf4+ 20 Kd3 Qf5+ is perpetual check.

16 Qd1!

A standard idea in queen endings. White forms a battery with his

king and queen so that any check from Black may be answered by a discovered check from the battery.

> ...Q×c4+ 16 17 Ke3+

When one player has a positional advantage, the deciding factor may be whether or not he can penetrate with his king. If the position is blocked the defender may be able to set up a barricade to prevent this, but frequently the attacker can demolish the blockade with a welltimed pawn sacrifice. Here is an unusual example.



Karpov-Kasparov (9), match 1984.

1	B×f5	B×f5
2	Ne3	

Black suffers from a bad bishop and weak queenside pawns. White would like to fix Black's weaknesses with b4, liquidate all the pawns on the kingside and bring his king up, winning casily, but it is not so easy to execute this plan.

2Bd1

Opinions have differed on this move. Timman considered it the decisive error, but I believe that is is no worse than any other move. Here are the alternatives:

 2...Be6. Now Timman gave 3 h×g5 f×g5 4 f4 g×f4 (4...g4 is consistent with the idea of keeping the position blocked, but by 5 f5 Bd7 6 Ng2 B×f57 Nf4 and N×h5 White can easily bring his king to f4) 5 g×f4 Ke7 6 f5 Bf7 7 Kg3 Kf6 8 Kf4 and now White must manoeuvre with his knight: 8...Bg8 9 b3 (a waiting move) Bf7 10 Nc2 with a branch: 1a) 10...a5 11 b4 and according to Timman White's knight comes to c3

with decisive effect.

1b) 10...Bg8 11 Nb4 Bf7 (11...h4 is met by 12 Nc2) 12 N×a6 h4 13 Nc7 h3 14 Kg3 K×f5 15 N×b5 (15 a4 b×a4 16 b×a4 Bh5 is not so clear) with a winning position.

2) 2...Bg6 3 h×g5 f×g5 4 f4 Be4 (after 4...g×f4 5 g×f4 we reach positions similar to those in line 1) 5 f×g5 Ke6 (not 5...Ke7 0 g4 h4 7 Nf5+) 6 Nf1 Kf5 7 Nd2 K×g5 8 N×e4+ d×e4 9 Ke3 Kf5 with a drawn king and pawn ending.

However this analysis is not very convincing. Firstly, White can win in line 2 by 6 gel 1h (6, h, hzyd 7 Kg 510lowed by K1 kwins) 7 Ng2 and Black is finished. Thus Black's best after 2...Bg6 is to play 4...gx (4 and transpose to line 1. The reason is that I don't see how White wins at the end of line 1a, for example 11...axb4 12 axb4 Be8 13 Nc3 Bf7 14 Nd1 Be8 (14,..Bg8? 15 Nc5 h4 16 Nxb5 h3 17 Kg3 Kx15 18 Nc7 wins) 15 Nc3 Be6 and White cannot lose a tempo to put Black in zugzwang, or 12 Nxb4 Bg8 (12...h4 13 Nc2 h3 14 Nc3) 13 Nc2 Bf7 14 Nc3 Bg8 15 Nd1 Bh7! and Black draws.

So how should White play after 2...Be6 or 2...Be6? The best idea is to abandon the plan of hxg5 and f4, and adopt a different plan based on g4, aiming for as many pawn exchanges as possible. Thus 2..Be6? 3 b4 Bd7 4 g4! hxg4 5 h5 (not 5 hxg5 fxg5 6 Nxg4 Bxg4 draw) Be8 6 h6 Bg6 7 Nxg4 Ke6 8 Kg3 followed by f4 wins. It follows that 2...Bg6 is a better defence, so as to meet 3 b4 by 3...Ke6, when 4 g4 hxg4 5 hxg5 gx13 is unclear. White might try 3 g4, but even here the win is uncertain.

3 b4 g×h4?

It seems that Black is close to success, since after 4 g×h4 Black can keep his bishop on the b1-h7 diagonal and White's king cannot get further than 14. It appears likely that Black had missed the stunning reply. 3...Ke6! was the best defence, when $4 \pm h7 \times g^4 5 h \times g^5 \times 13$ (not 5...fx 56 5 N×g 4 Bt5 7 Kg3 Kd6 81 g×t4 9 K×f4 Bb1 10 kg5 Ke611 Ne5 and White either reaches 16 with his king or takes the a-pawn by Ne6-b8, with a win in either case) 6 K×13 (6 g×t6 Be4) 1×g56 Kg4 Kf6 7 N×d5+ Kg6 offers every chance of a draw.

4 Ng2!

A remarkable move, easy to miss even in adjornment analysis. One is used to active pawn sacrifices to penetrate with the king, but a passive sacrifice is very unusual. White keeps h4 for his king by the simple expedient of not recapturing on that square!

4 ...h×g3+

After 4...h3 5 Nf4 White takes on h5, then comes back for the other pawn by Nf4 and Kg1-h2.

5 K×g3 Ke6

Black decides to jettison his h-pawn immediately since 5...Bg6 6 Nf4 Bf7 7 Kh4 would have won it in any case.

6	Nf4+	Kf5
7	N×h5	Ke6

The threat was 8 Nf4 Ba2 9 Nd3 and 10 Nc5 winning the a-pawn, so Black's king has to retreat.

8	Nf4+	Kd6
9	Kg4	Bc2
10	Kh5	Bd1

Black attempts to activate his pieces by offering a pawn; passive defence would be met by Kh6-g7.

11 Kg6 Ke7

Or 11...B×f3 12 K×f6 followed by Ng6-e7-f5+, Ke5 and a knight transfer to c5.

12 N×d5+ Ke6

and White won after 13 Ne7+ Kd7 (or 13., Kd6 14 Ne8+ Kd5 15 f4 with a tremendous passed f-pawn to come) 14 N×68 M×71 51 K×76 Kd6 16 Kf5 Kd5 17 Kf4 Bh1 B Kc5 Kc4 19 Nc5 Bc6 20 Nd3 Bg2 21 Ne5+ Kc3 (21., Kb3 loses to 22 Kd3 Bf1+ 23 Kd2 Bg2 24 Ng4 followed by Nc3 and d5, since if Black ever takes on as 3 White plays Kc3 and wins the bishop for the d-pawn) 22 Ng6 Kc4 23 Ne7 Bb7 24 Nf5 Bg2 25 Nd6+ Kb3 26 N×b5 Ka4 27 Nd6 1-0.



Stean-Sosonko, Hastings 1975/6

White is two pawns up but the terrible position of his rook, which is completely immobilised, renders the win highly problematical. If the White king ventures on to the sixth rank (threatening to move the rook) Black checks on the eighth rank, and as soon as the king returns to the fifth rank Black plays the rook back to bl. On the other hand Black's movements are restricted, since his king has to remain on either h7 or g7 or White will promote his pawn. White's only winning attempt is to create a passed pawn on the kingside. Which file should it be on? A passed g- or h-pawn is quite useless since when it advances to the sixth rank Black just puts his king in front of it and laughs at White. But the advance of a passed f-pawn really does win for White, since if Black replies to f6h with ...KT White wins by Rh8, while other moves just allow the f-pawn to promote. With the given pawn structure it is not so easy to make a passed f-pawn. The only way is to play [4], then g4 (intending g×h5) and after ...hz4 reply with h5. The obvious problem with this plan is that Black obtains two passed pawns himself, so play becomes very tactical. White would like to have his king on the kingside when he plays this breakthrough, to stop Black's pawns, but by checking Black can force the king over to the e-file.

1 f4	Re1+
2 Kd5	Rb1
3 Kd4	Rb2
4 Kd3	Rb3+
5 Kc2	· · · · ·

There is no point in hiding the king on h3 since the reply ...Rb3 prevents g4. White's king has now driven the Black rook away and can come across to block the passed g-pawn Black obtains after g4.

5	Rb6
6 g4	h×g4
7 Kd2	

White must not play h5 until his king is blocking the advance of Black's kingside pawns.

7	g3
8 Ke2	Rb2+
9 Kf1	Kh7

It is interesting to compare this position with the Zepler study in Chapter 7. Moving the rook up the b-file allows White to improve the position of his king by Kg2, while 9...g2+ loses to 10 Kg1 Kh7 11 Kh2! Kg7 12 h5 g×h5 13 f5 h4 14 f6+ Kf7 15 Rh8.

10 Kg1	14	Kg7
11 h5		g×h5
12 f5		h4
13 f6+		Kf7!

Not 13...Kh7? 14 f7 Rb1+ (14...h3 15 Rh8+! Kg6 16 h8=Q covers b1 and wins) 15 Kg2 Rb2+ 16 Kh3 (White cannot go to the f-file owing to ...Rf2+ \times f7) Rh2+ 17 Kg4 g2 18 Kh5! g1=Q 19 Rh8+! K \times h8 20 f8=O+ Kh7 21 Qf7+ Qg7 22 Qf5+ and White mates.

14 Rh8	Rb1+
15 Kg2	Rb2+
16 Kh3	

White must avoid 16 Kf3? g2 or 16 Kf1 Rb1+ 17 Ke2? g2.

16 ...Rh2+

After 16...g2? 17 Kh2 White wins at once.

17 Kg4 g2

An interesting moment. Black would even win after 18 b8=Q g]=Q+ 19 K16 Od1+, but 18 R8+ is an interesting line. After 18 R7+ Kg6 (18... Ke6? 19 b8=Q g]=Q+ 20 Kh5 Qc5+ 21 Kh6 Qc3+ 22 Kh7 Qc4+ 23 Kh8 and White must win) 19 Rg8+ K×16 (19... Kh6/h7 20 K5 g]=Q 21 R×g1 Rb2 22 T and 19... Kr7 20 b8=Q) g]=Q+ 21 Kh5 Q×g8 22 Qb3+ K18 23 Qb4+ and 24 Qc7 mate are White wins, while 21...Qd1/c5+ in the latter line is similar to 18... Ke6? above 20 b8=Q g]=Q+ 21 Kh5 Qc5+ 22 Kh6 Qc3+ 23 Kh7 Qc4+ 24 Kh8 Black has no checks, but 24...Qd4 25 Rd8 Qb2 is even slightly better for Black.

18 Kf5

Black just manages to avoid defeat after this move also.

18	g1=Q
19 Rh7+	Kg8
20 Rg7+	Q×g7
21 b8=Q+	Qf8
22 O×h2	0c8+

Black avoids the trap 22...Qc5+ 23 Kg6 when he has no checks and must lose.

1-1

It is perpetual check after 23 Kg5 Qc1+ Michael Stean showed me the above line during the adjournment, which came just before the diagram, but Sosonko only realised that 13...Kh7? lost when they were back over the board!



Radev-Pribyl, Thilisi 1971

This may not look particularly tactical but just wait ard see what happens! It is noteworthy that the same position could have arisen in the game Holmov–Tsheshkovsky, USSR Ch. Semi-Final 1973 and in *Shakhmatny Bulletin* considerable analysis was devoted to proving a win in the diagram. Although Holmov's analysis clears up some lines, Radev deserves the credit for being the first to find the basic idea.

1 Kc4 Kf8

White first improves the position of his king while Black, of course, can only sit and wait.

2	Kd5	Kg8
3	Ke6	Bc3

At first sight it seems impossible for White-to make progress. Black has plenty of squares on the long diagonal for his bishop, so there is no danger of his ending up in zugzwang, while if White checks Black can move his king between g7 and g8.

4 Rd3!

A mysterious rook move!

4	Bb2	
5 g4!	h×g4	

The most resilient defence is 5...f×g4 6 f5 g×f5 7 K×f5 Kf7 (White intended 8 Kg6) 8 Rd7+ and now:

(A) 8...Ke8 9 Rb7 g3 10 R×h5 Be1 (setting the excellent trap 11 Rh7? Bg5! and Black draws) 11 Kf6 Kd7 (11...g2 12 Rh8+ and 13 Rg8 wins easily, as does 11...Bb2+ 12 Ke6) 12 Rd5+ Ke8 (12...Kc6 13 Rd8 and 14 Rg8) 13 Re5+ Kd7 (13...Kf8 14 Rc5 Bb2+ 15 Kg6 wins) 14 Re4 and 15 Rg4 winning. (B) 8...Kf8 9.Rh7 (White must avoid the trap 9 Kg6 g3 10 Rd5 Bc1 11 Rd3 Bf4 12 Rf3 g2 13 R×f4+ Kg8, when White must take the draw by 14 K×h5: note that 12 Rd1 is no better, because of 12...Bc3) g3 11 R×h5 Bc1 (11...Kf7 12 Rg5 Bf6 13 Rg4 tollowed by h5) 12 Kf6 and now:

(B1) 11...Bb2+12 Kg6 Bc1 13 Rh8+ Ke7 14 Rg8 (intending K15) Ke6
15 Re8+ Kd5 16 Re2 Kd4 17 h5 Kd3 (17...Be3 18 h6 Bl2 19 h7 promotes with check () 18 Rg2 Bt4 19 h6 Ks2 30 h7 Bc5 21 Rs23 + wins.
(B2) 11...Kg8 12 Rc5 Be3 (12...Bb2+ 13 Kg6) 13 Rc7! Bd4+ 14 Kg6 Kf8 15 Rc2 Bl2 (15...Ke7 16 Rc2+ Kd6 17 Rg2 Bl2 18 h5 wins) 16 Rc2!, forcing the bishop to move after which h5 wins.

6 h5 Kg7

 $\begin{array}{l} Or \ 6... g \times h5 \ 7 \ K \times f5 \ Kg^{-1} \ (7... Bc 1 \ 8 \ Kg 6 \ Kh 9 \ 9 \ Wins \ easily) \ 8 \ Rd^{7} + \\ Kh 6 \ (8... Kg 9 \ Kg 6 \ or \ 8... Kh 9 \ 9 \ Rh^{7}) \ 9 \ Rd 6 + \ Kh^{7} \ (9... Kg^{-1} \ 10 \ Rg 6 + \\ Kh^{7} \ 11 \ Kg^{5} \ Bc 1 \ 12 \ Rh 6 + \ Kg^{-1} \ 13 \ R \times h^{5} \ g 3 \ 14 \ Rh 3 \ wins \ the \ pawn) \\ 10 \ Kg 5 \ Bc 1 \ 11 \ Rd^{7} + \ Kg 8 \ 12 \ Kg 6 \ Kh 8 \ 13 \ f 5 \ g 3 \ 14 \ Rh 3 \ wins \ the \ pawn) \\ 10 \ Kg 5 \ Bc 1 \ 11 \ Rd^{7} + \ Kg 8 \ 12 \ Kg 6 \ Kh 8 \ 13 \ f 5 \ g 3 \ 14 \ Rh 3 \ wins \ the \ pawn) \\ \end{array}$

7 h×g6		K×g6
8	Rd5	Bcl

If 8...Kh5 9 K×f5 Kh4 (9...g3 10 Rd1 wins the g-pawn) 10 Rd6! Bc1 (or 10...Kh3 11 Rh6+ and 12 Rg6) 11 Rg6 wins.

9 R×f5 B×f4

Desperation, as 9...Kh6 10 Ke5 followed by Rg5 is quite hopeless.

10 R×f4	Kg5
11 Ke5	g3
12 Ke4	g2
13 Rf8	Kh4
14 Rg8	

1 - 0

Winning by one tempo!

6 Zugzwang

Zugzwang is a familiar motif in king and pawn endings, but it is seen less frequently in proportion to the number of picces on the board. Zugzwang positions with a middle-game character are extremely rare. Games such as Samisch-Nintzowitsch, Copenhagen 1923 or Alckhine-Nintzowitsch, San Remo 1930 show positions in which, although zugzwang hastens the loser's demise, the winning side is so strongly placed that the could win quite easily even without zugzwang.

In the following position there is no doubt that zugzwang is essential to White's victory.



Zhilin-Tchernov, Semi-final USSR Ch. 1960

Black is a pawn up but his king is somewhat exposed. Nevertheless it is surprising that White won from the diagram in just six moves.

1 f6!? B×h3

The best defence. After 1...Qd6+ 2 Qe5 Q×e5+ 3 d×e5 White has good winning chances, for example:

1) 3...B×h3 4 K×h3 b5 5 Kg4 g×f6 6 e6! d4 7 Kf5 d×c3 8 K×f6 c2 9 g7+ Kg8 10 e7, or 5...d46 Kf5 d×c37 e6 c2 8 e7+ Ke89 Ke6 and White wins in both cases.

2) 3...g×f6 4 B×d7 f×e5 5 Bc8 (5 Kg4? e4) and now:

2a) 5...d4 6 c4 b5 (6...d3 7 Kf3 b5 8 c5!) 7 c×b5 c×b5 8 Bf5 followed by playing the king to b5 when White wins because his bishop stops all three queenside pawns.

2b) 5...Kg7 6 B×b7 K×g6 7 B×c6 d4 8 c4 Kf6 9 Kf3 h5 (9...Ke6 10 c5 h5 11 Ke4 h4 12 Bb7 Kd7 13 K×e5 d3 14 Kd4 d2 15 Bf3 and White wins

by one tempo) 10 c5 h4 11 Bd7 d3 11 Ke3 e4 12 Bc6 Ke5 13 B×e4 d2 14 K×d2 K×e4 15 c6 with a skewer after both sides promote.

2c) 5...b5 6 Bb7 Kg7 (6...d4 7 c×d4 c×d4 8 B×c6 threatens Bc4, so 8...d3 is forced, but then 9 Kg4! wins as the bishop can stop both queenside pawns) 7 B×c6 d4 8 c×d4 K×g6 9 Bc4+ Kf6 10 d5 and White wins by moving the king to the queenside, since the bishop can defend d5 while covering e4 and hl.

 $\overline{3}$ 3...Be8 4 Bf5! (4 f7 $B \times f7$ 5 g×f7 K×f7 should be a draw) and White has winning chances with the plan Kf4, Bc2 and Kf5–e6, finally preparing f7.

This analysis is far from complete, but as Black's actual move should have led to a clear-cut draw we can conclude that 1...Qd6+ would have been a weaker choice.

2 Qe5!

2

Even though this should not win, such an unexpected move must have put Black off his stride. The threats are 3 Qb8+ and 3 $f \times g7 + Kg8 + Qe8+ K \times g7 5 Qf7+$.

...Bd7?

 $\begin{array}{l} 16. \\ 0.5 \, \mathrm{cd} + 2.7 \, \mathrm{K} \, \mathrm{kh} \, \mathrm{5} \, \mathrm{Oc} \, \mathrm{3} + 8 \, \mathrm{K} \, \mathrm{g} \, \mathrm{21} \, \mathrm{(8 \, ge ^{12} \, O \, \mathrm{g}]} + \mathrm{leads to a draw} \\ \mathrm{as in line 2)} \, \mathrm{Oe} \, \mathrm{2} + (8. . \, \mathrm{Og} \, \mathrm{5} + 9 \, \mathrm{Ki} \, \mathrm{5} \, \mathrm{.5} \, \mathrm{.5} \, \mathrm{.6} \, \mathrm{Od} \, \mathrm{2} + 9 \, \mathrm{Ol} \, \mathrm{20} \, \mathrm{gS} \, \mathrm{Od} \, \mathrm{2} + 11 \, \mathrm{Kh} \, \mathrm{Og} \, \mathrm{S} \\ \mathrm{Od} \, \mathrm{2} + 11 \, \mathrm{Kh} \, \mathrm{ind} \, \mathrm{8} \, \mathrm{..} \, \mathrm{Od} \, \mathrm{e} + 9 \, \mathrm{Ol} \, \mathrm{2} \, \mathrm{Ge} \, \mathrm{10} \, \mathrm{Og} \, \mathrm{2} \, \mathrm{Od} \, \mathrm{e} + 11 \, \mathrm{Kh} \, \mathrm{S} \, \mathrm{Oh} + 11 \, \mathrm{Kh} \, \mathrm{S} \, \mathrm{Oh} + 12 \, \mathrm{Kh} \, \mathrm{Oh} \, \mathrm{Oh} \, \mathrm{15} \, \mathrm{S} \, \mathrm{Oh} + 15 \, \mathrm{Kh} \, \mathrm{Oh} \, \mathrm{Oh} \, \mathrm{10} \, \mathrm{Og} \, \mathrm{S} \, \mathrm{Od} \, \mathrm{e} + 11 \, \mathrm{Kh} \, \mathrm{S} \, \mathrm{Oh} + 19 \, \mathrm{Kh} \, \mathrm{S} \, \mathrm{Oh} + 10 \, \mathrm{Og} \, \mathrm{S} \, \mathrm{Od} \, \mathrm{e} + 11 \, \mathrm{Kh} \, \mathrm{S} \, \mathrm{Oh} \, \mathrm{Oh} \, \mathrm{I} \, \mathrm{S} \, \mathrm{Kh} \, \mathrm{Oh} \, \mathrm{Oh} \, \mathrm{I} \, \mathrm{S} \, \mathrm{Oh} \, \mathrm{Oh} \, \mathrm{I} \, \mathrm{Oh} \, \mathrm{I} \, \mathrm{Oh} \, \mathrm{Oh} \, \mathrm{I} \, \mathrm{S} \, \mathrm{Kh} \, \mathrm{Oh} \, \mathrm{Oh} \, \mathrm{I} \, \mathrm{S} \, \mathrm{Kh} \, \mathrm{Oh} \, \mathrm{Oh} \, \mathrm{I} \, \mathrm{S} \, \mathrm{Oh} \, \mathrm{Oh} \, \mathrm{I} \, \mathrm{S} \, \mathrm{Oh} \, \mathrm{Oh} \, \mathrm{Oh} \, \mathrm{Oh} \, \mathrm{S} \, \mathrm{Oh} \, \mathrm{Oh} \, \mathrm{I} \, \mathrm{S} \, \mathrm{Oh} \, \mathrm{S} \, \mathrm{Oh} \, \mathrm{Oh} \, \mathrm{I} \, \mathrm{S} \, \mathrm{Oh} \, \mathrm{S} \, \mathrm{Oh} \, \mathrm{S} \, \mathrm{Oh} \, \mathrm{S} \, \mathrm{Oh} \, \mathrm{Oh} \, \mathrm{S} \, \mathrm{Oh} \, \mathrm{Oh} \, \mathrm{S} \, \mathrm{Oh} \, \mathrm{S} \, \mathrm{Oh} \, \mathrm{S} \, \mathrm{Oh} \, \mathrm{S} \, \mathrm{S} \, \mathrm{Oh} \, \mathrm{S} \, \mathrm{Oh} \, \mathrm{S} \, \mathrm{S} \, \mathrm{Oh} \, \mathrm{S} \, \mathrm{Oh} \, \mathrm{S} \, \mathrm{Oh} \, \mathrm{S} \, \mathrm{Oh} \, \mathrm{S} \, \mathrm{S} \, \mathrm{S} \, \mathrm{Oh} \, \mathrm{S} \, \mathrm{S} \, \mathrm{S} \, \mathrm{Oh} \, \mathrm{S} \, \mathrm{S$

2) 6...Qe1+! (Black must check from the rear) 7 K×h3 Qh1+ 8 Kg4 Qg2+ 9 Kf5 Qg5+ 10 Ke6 Qe3+ with perpetual check.

3 Kh4!

Zugzwang! Black's only moves are with his b- and h-pawns, e.g. 3...Be8/c8 4 $f \times g^{+}$ and 5 Qe8+ or 3...Kg8 4 Qb8+ Qf8 5 f7+ or 3...g×f6 4 Q×f6+ Ke8 5 g7. The White king is safe from checks on both h4 and h5, so White only needs to oscillate between these two squares until Black is forced to play...b4 allowing Qe7+.

3		b6	
4	Kh5	b5	
5	Kh4	h5	
6	K×h5		
		1_0	

Zugzwang also occurs fairly often in minor piece endings. We continue with some examples of this.



Stein-Dorfman, USSR 1970

This position was given in *Informator* and the notes indicated that both sides played accurately, but in fact Black missed a clear win. The winning manoeuvre has been known for a long time, since it is contained in a position published by Horwitz in 1885.

		1 Bf6	-	Nd3
The threat	is 2Nb2	and th	he reply is	forced.
		2 Ba1		Nb2
		3 Kel		

If 3 Ke3 Na4 4 Kd4/e4 (4 Ke2 transposes to the note to Black's third move) Kb1 5 Kd3 (the only move, hoping for 5... K×a1? 6 Kc2, when White draws as the knight cannot lose a move) Nc5+ 6 Kd2 (6 Kc3 K×a1 7 Kc2 Nb3 wins) Nb3+, capturing the bishop with the knight.

3 ...Kb1?

Throwing away half a point. The winning line was 3...Na4! 4 Ke2 Kc1 5 Ke1 (5 Kd3 Kb1 6 Kd2 Nb2 reaches the same zugzwang position as the main line, while 5 Ke3 Kb1 6 Kd3 Nc5+ transposes to the note to White's third move) Nc5! 6 Ke2 (if 6 B moves Nd3+ tollowed by 7...Nb2 wins) Kb1 7 Kd1 (7 B moves Na4 and 8...Nb2 or 7 Kd2 Nb3+) Nd3 8 Kd2 Nb2 and White is in zugzwang (9 Kc3 K×a1 10 Kc2 Nd3). The idea of playing the knight from b2 to a4 to c5 to d3 and back to b2 is not obvious, but is rather attractive.

4 Kd2

Reaching the same position, but with the wrong person to move! Black can make no progress here and may as well take the bishop at once.



In the next game poor Black suffers repeatedly from zugzwang, but even so he should still have drawn.



Lukov–Duriga, Poland 1975

Black cannot move his knight or his king, the latter because of the reply Kd6. So he is reduced to pushing his h-pawn. White must round this up before doing anything else.

ł		h5
2	Ba4	h4
3	Bd7	h3
4	B×h3	

Now Black has a moment of freedom in which to improve his position. He decides to bring the knight over to the other side of the pawn, which should draw with accurate play. However 4...Kh6i is simpler, for example 5 Kd6 (5 Bd7 Kg7 6 Kd6 Kl7 followed by ...Ne8+ and ...Nf6+ draws) Ne8+ 6 Ke6 Kg7 7 Bf1 (once the knight is established at 16 White cannot make progress) Nf6 8 Bb5 g5 9 Ba4 g4 10 Bb5 g3 11 Bc6 Kg6 and 12...Kg7, when White cannot achieve apriling.

4		Ne8
5	Be6!	Kh6

Not 5...Nf6? (5...Nc7? 6 Bd7 loses at once, but 5...Ng7 will transpose to the game) 6 Bf7 Ng4+ (6...Nd7+ is the same) 7 Kd6! Nf6 8 Ke6 Nh5 9 B×g6! and now 9...Nf6 10 Bf7 or 9...Ng7+ 10 Kf7 Kh6 11 Bb1 with zugzwang.

7	Kf6	g5
8	Kf7	Kh7
9	Kf8	Kg6

9. Kh6 10 Bf5 is an immediate disaster.

10 Bg4 Kf6?

The losing move. Black could still have drawn by 10... Kh6? 11 B(5). After 11 B(5+Kb6 White has no waiting move since 12 Kf7? can be met by 12...Nx(5). He can ity playing his bishop to other squares, but if Black defends accurately he can never be forced into zugrawng, for example 11 Bh3 Kg612 Bd7 Kh7113 Kf7 Kh614 Kg8g4 (not 14...Kg67 15 Bf5+ Kf6/h6 16 Kf8) 15 B×g4 Ne8 16 Kf7 Nc7 followed by ...Kg6 drawing.

11 Bf5!

This zugzwang forces Black to jettison his last pawn without being able to switch his knight to c7.

11	g4
12 B×g4	Kg6
13 Bd7	Kh7

After 13...Kf6 or 13...Kh6 the bishop returns to f5.

14 Kf7 Kh6

14...Kh8 15 Bg4 is the same since White would promote with check after 15...Nf5.

15	Bg4		Kh	7
16	Be2			
		1-0		

as 16...Kh6 (16...Nf5 17 Bd3) 17 Bd3 is the final zugzwang.

Although the solution to the following study is really only two moves long, there are many little finesses.

If White makes a random knight move Black replies ...Nf6 and the game is a draw. So White must move his king. If 1 Ke3? then 1...Ke5 puts White in zugzwang, as the variations 2 Nd6 Nf6 3 Ne4 + N×e4 check and 2 Kd3 (2 K elsewhere allows 2...Kd4 followed by 3...Ke5 and 4...Ke6) Ne5+ and 3...Ng6 prove. Curiously enough the position after 1 Kc3 Kc5 is one of mutual zugzwang, i.e. not only does White to play draw but Black to play loses, for example 2...Nb6 3 Nc7 2...Kb6 3 Kc2! (3...Kb6 4 Nc7 or 3...Kc5 4 Nd6 Nf6 5 Ne4+) or 2...Kb6 3 Kc2! (3...Kb6 4 Nc7 or 3...Kc5 4 Nd6 Nf6 5 Ne4+) or 2...Kb6 3 Kc2! (3...Kb6 4 Nc7 or 3...Kc5 4 Nd6 Nf6 5 Ne4+) or 3...Kb6 as the second the second second second second second second second to be considered further on. It can be seen that the position of the White king on b4 is not very good since it is too exposed to Black knight checks. White would prefer to have his king as far away as possible. But White must be careful which move he plays in the



V. Halberstadt, 1st Pr., Gros 1938

original position as 1 kb3² only draws: 1... Nc5+ 2 Kc4 Nc6 3 Nf6 (3 Kd5 Nf4+ and 4... Ref) Ng7 4 Kd5 Kc7 5 Kc5 Nc8 Nc8 (lcarext) 6 Kc6 (N×e8+ Kd7 7 Kf6 K×e8) Ng7+ 7 Kf7 (7 Kd5 Ne8 and White is not making progress) Nf5 8 Nd5+ Kb7 with a clear draw. 1 Ka4² Nc5+ and 2... Ne6 followed by 3... Kc6 and 4... Kd7 is even worse, while 1 Kc4 Ne5+ and 2... Ng6 just loses the pawn. So by elimination we arrive at the best move:

1 Ka3!

White threatens 2 Nc7 Nf6 3 Nd5+, which failed in the original position as Black took the knight with check. Now:

(A) 1...Kc6 2 Ka2! (not 2 Kb2? Ne5 3 Nd6 Nc4+! or 3 Nf6 Nc4+ 4 Kc3 Nd6 followed by 5...Ne8! as above) with zugzwang (2...Kb6 3 Nc7 or 2...Kc5 3 Nd6).

(B) L. Ka7 and now the Black king cannot move to a8. b7. c8. a0. c7 or, if the White king is as far away as the second rank, b6 (due to Nc7). So Black is condemned to play. ..Kb8 and ...Ka7 ad infinitum and White wins easily by 2 Kb2 Kb8 3 Kc2 Ka7 4 Kd2 Kb8 5 Kc2 Ka7 6 Kf2 (keeping to Black squares to avoid knight checks) Kb8 7 Kg3 Ka7 8 Kf4 Kb6 (at last Black can emerge from his prison, but it is too late) 9 Kf5 Kc6 10 N6 and wins.

(C) 1...Ka5 2 Kb2! (2 Ka2 is just as good, but not 2 Kb3? Nc5+ and 3...Ne6) Ka4 (2...Kb4/b6 3 Nc7) 3 Ka2 Ka5 4 Ka3 and Black is in a fatal zugwang. An unusual triangulation!

In the next study, zugzwang comes as a complete surprise after a great deal of patient manoeuvring.

White must keep the Black king confined so only one first move makes sense.

1 Qg8+ Ka4



C. Mann, Utrecht's Dagblad 1913

If 1...Kc2 (1...Kb2/c3 2 Bg7+) 2 Qc4+ Kb2 3 Bg7+ and mate in two more moves.

2 Qc4+ Ka5

The first moment of decision. 3 Qc5+ Ka6 and 3 Bb4+ Kb6 4 Qc5+ Kb7 5 Qd5+ Ka7 6 Bc5+ Kb8 7 Bd6+ Ka7 both peter out to a draw.

3 Bd6!

Threatening mate in one and thereby transferring the bishop to c7 with gain of tempo.

3	Kb6
4 Bc7+	Kb7

4...Ka7 5 Qc5+ Kb7 6 Qd5+ transposes to the main line.

5 Qd5+! Ka7

Now 6 Qb5 is tempting, but after 6.. Qc8 7 Qc6 a2! White can win the queen by 8 Bb6+ Kb8 9 Ba7+ but still only draws.

6	Bb6 +	Kb8
7	Qd7!	Qa6

Notice that if White had taken the e-pawn at move 5. Black would now have a check on h1. Black's queen is so confined that only two moves are possible and 7...Qb7 8 Qd6+ transposes to the main line.

8 Qc6!

8 Bc7+ Ka8 and 8 Qc7+ Ka8 let Black escape, but now 9 Bc7+ Ka7 10 Bb8+ is a threat and 8...a2 is no defence. As 8...Qc8 loses to 9 Ba7+ Black's move is forced. 8

This is the position White has been aiming for. Without the Black pawns it is possible for White to force zugzwang, so the next task is to capture both pawns with check.

9 Qd6+	Ka8
10 Q×a3+	Kb8
11 Qd6+	Ka8
12 Qd8+	Qb8
13 Qd5+	Qb7
14 Qa5+	Kb8
15 Qe5+	Ka8
16 Qe8+	Qb8
17 Q×e4+	Qb7

It is still not clear how White is going to win and I suspect that if one had not seen the zugzwang position before it would be very hard to find the win even from this position, 17 moves into the solution? The psychological barrier is that the win involves forcing the Black king out of the corner, where it is apparently in most danger.

	18 Qe8+ 19 Qa4+! 20 Qb5!	Q68 К67	
769		潮	
704		潮	刷
в			
		2 K	and and a

Zugzwang! Black has only three moves that do not immediately lose the queen or allow mate:

(A) 20...Qg8 21 Bd8+ Ka7 (21...Kc8 22 Qc6+ Kb8 23 Bc7+) 22 Qb6+ Ka8 23 Qc6+ Ka7 24 Bb6+ Ka6 25 Bc7+ and mate in two more moves.

(B) 20...Qa8 21 Ba5+ Kc8 22 Qe8+ Kb7 23 Qd7+ Ka6 24 Qd6+! Kb5 (24...Kb7 allows mate in two) 25 Qb4+ Kc6 26 Qe4+ winning the queen. (C) 20...Qc8 21 Bc5+ Kc7 (or 21...Ka8 22 Qa5+ Kb7 23 Qb6+ Ka8 24 Qa7 mate) 22 Qb6+ Kd7 23 Qd6+ Ke8 24 Qe7 mate.

We have already met, in the Halberstadt study earlier in this chapter, a position of mutual zugwang. Such positions are particularly interesting when the players both strive to reach the critical position with the other side to move.



Kagan-Avni, Israel 1978

Normally the bishop is much superior to the knight in situations like this, where there are passed pawns on both sides of the board. White, by playing Bb1+, can set his pawns in motion and support them with Ba2 later. Nevertheless, with best play this position is a draw. The main problem is that White has RP+B of the wrong colour, so if Black can give up his knight for the g-pawn then he draws. There are also various stalemating resources Black can call upon.

In the game White allowed his pawns to become blockaded and Black drew more easily: 2 Kfs (2 Kg4 Ne7 3 Kh5 Kg8 4 Ba2+ Kh8 5 Bt7 is not zugzwang because of 5...Ng6/ drawing) Ne7+ 3 Ke5 Ng6+ 4 Kd4 NB5 Ke4 (5 Kc3 a2 6 B×a2+ Kg6) Kg8 6 Kf5 Kh8 (the simplest drawing line since now the knight can always to and fro between g6 and some other square) 7 Ba2 Ng6/ 8 Ke6 Nh4 9 Bb1 Ng6 10 Kd5 Nh4 11 Ke4 (11 Kc5 Nf5 and 12...N×h6) Ng6 12 Kd4 Nh4 13 Kc3 a2: 14 B×a2 Nf5 B 66 N×h6 16 Kd4 Kg7 I-i-i.

Black threatened 3...a2 so this was forced.

And now White must stop 4...Ng8 5 h7 K×g7.

4 Ba2 Kg6

Black prevents the threat of 5 g8=Q N×g8 6 h7 Ne7 7 h8=Q+.

5 Ke5

Now Black has some problems to solve. If 5...K×h6 6 Kf6 Kh7 7 Kf7 Kh6 (7...Ng8 8 Bb1+) 8 Bb1 and Black is in zugzwang—he must play 8...a2, when 9 B×a2 Kh7 10 Bb1+ Kh6 11 Bc2 repeats the treatment. But as it turns out the position after White's 7th move in this line is one of mutual zugzwang, which explains Black's next move.

5		Kh7!
6	Kf6	

If 6 Bb3 K×h6 7 Kf6 Kh7 8 Bc2+ (8 Kf7 transposes to the note to White's 8th move) Kg8 9 Bb3+ Kh7 (threatening 10...Ng8+), with a draw.

6	K×h6
7 Kf7	Kh7
8 Bb1+	

Surprisingly White cannot lose a tempo, for example 8 Bb3 Ng8! 9 Bc2+ Kh6 10 Bb1 Ne7 transposing to the main line.

> 8 ...Kh6 9 Kf8

There is nothing else to try, but now Black reduces the game to $Q+B \ge Q$.

9	Ng6+
10 B×g6	a2
11 g8=0	a1=0

Black need only be moderately careful to draw this, for instance 12 Qh7+ Kg5 13 Qh5+ Kf4 14 Qf5+ (14 Qh4+ Kc5 15 Qg3+ Kd2 16 Qf2+ Kc1 17 Qe1+ Kb2 18 Qe5+ Ka2 19 Bf7+ Kb1 draw) Kg3 15 Qd3+ (15 Qg5+ Kf3 16 Bh5+ Ke4 and Black escapes to the queenside as above) Kf4 16 Qe4+ Kg3 17 Qe3+ Kg4 and White is not making progress.

The following position, although it is composed, is of some practical importance in the theory of rook endings.

Most positions with rook and two connected pawns v rook are easy wins, but here White is handicapped by the passive position of his rook. If he could transfer it to a5, say, then the win would be a matter of technique. But if 1 Ra7 Rg5 forces 2 Rh7. Conversely Black is also somewhat restricted, for if 1...Rb3 in the original position, then



G. M. Kasparian, Shakhmaty v SSSR 1946

2 Ra7 and 2... Rh3 3 Ra5 or 2... Rh5 3 h6 win for White. So to prevent White from activating his rook, Black must keep his own trook on the g or h-files, while at the same time preventing the White king from advancing to support the pawns. The winning plan appears simple—just move the king over to the kingside to drive the Black rook away from the g3 and h3 squares, when White either frees his rook or advances his king. But if 1 Kb2 Rh3 2 Kc2 Rg3 3 Kd2 Rh3 4 Kc2 Rg3 5 Kt2 Rh3, White is at a loss for a move, since 6 Kg2 Rb3 7 Ra7 Rb5 prevents 8 h6 due to the position of the White king, while if 6 Kf1 Rf3+ 7 Kc2 (7 Kc1 Rc3+ 8 Kd2 Rh3!) Rg3! 8 Kf2 Rh3 repeats, But if it were Black to move in the position after 5...Rh3 the rook really would have to quit its posit 6...Rb3 6 Ra7 or 5...Rh1 6 Kg3). So this is a position of mutual zigzwang and White must aim to arrive at it with Black to move.

1 Ka2!!

The only move to win. If White ever plays his king to the first rank the position becomes drawn, since Black may check on the third rank and when White finally moves to the second rank Black can choose whether to play \dots Rg3 or \dots Rh3.

...Rh3

and the rest is straightforward: 2 Kb2 Rg3 3 Kc2 Rh3 4 Kd2 Rg3 5 Kc2 Rh3 6 K2 Rh3 6 K2 Rh3 6 K2 Rh3 6 K2 (Mh1c has been aining for) Ra3 (6... Kf3 7 Kg2 Ra3 8 R17+ Kg8 9 ho threatening 10 h7+ wins, while 6. Rh4 7 Kg3 Ra4 is similar to the main line) 7 Kb7 (threatening h6) Rh3 8 Rb5 Kg7 9 Kg2 Rh4 (9...Ra3 10 Rb7+ Kh61 1 Rb7+ and 12 g7 wins) 10 Kg3 Rh1 11 Rb7+ Kg8 12 Kg4 Rg1+ 13 Kf5 Rh1 14 Kg5 Rg1+ 15 Kh6 and wins.

Kasparian specialises in positions of mutual zugzwang. The next study contains one of the most subtle moves I have ever seen!



G. M. Kasparian, 1st Pr., Kubbel Memorial Tny, 1946

Again a very natural position. One's first impulse is to try 1 h7, but after 1...Re6+! 2 K moves Rh6 3 Re5+ $K \times d3$ 4 $R \times c7$ $R \times h7$, White loses both his pawns.

1 Ng7!

Stopping the check on e6 and genuinely threatening 2 h7. If Black delays taking the pawn he loses, e.g. 1...B44 (1...Kd4 2 h7 Rh6 3 Nf5+) 2 h7 Rh6 3 Rh5 R×h5 4 N×h5 Be5 5 Ke3 followed by Nf4-g6 winning the bishop. So he must capture immediately.

	1	R×h6
	2 Rc5+	Kd4
	3 Rc4+	
Not 3 R×c7 Rh2+.		
	3	Ke5

Black plays to trap the knight, which is rather short of squares on g7.

4 R×c7	Kf6
5 Ne8+	Kf7
6 Rc8	

The play has been forced from move one, but now Black has to decide how to continue his counterplay. Pinning the knight immediately by 6. ..Rb8 loses to 7 Nd6 +, so 6...Rg6 comes into consideration, intending 7...Rg8 and after the forced reply 7 Nc7 then 7...Rc6, immobilising both White's pieces. But in fact it is better to check first so as to give White an extra chance to go wrong.

The only move to win, for reasons which become apparent later.

After 8 Ra8 (providing for 8...Rg8 9 Nc7) Rc6 White's rook and knight are tied up, so he can only try pushing his pawn: but after 9 Ke2 Rc2+ 10 Ke3 Rc1 11 Ke4 Rc2 12 Kd5 Rc1 13 d4 Rc2 14 Nd6+ e×d6 15 K×d6 Rd2 16 d5 Rd1, the position is a theoretical draw.

> 8 ...Rc6 9 Kd2!

and suddenly Black is in a fatal zugzwang, e.g. 9...Rc5 10 Rf8+! or 9...Kg6 10 Nd5' or 9...c6 (or e5) 10 Nb5!, so White wins. But now suppose that White had played 7 Kd2 instead of Kd1!!. Then White would have to move his king or pawn at move 9. Moving the king loses control over a square on the c-file, giving the Black rook a move, and since White cannot lose a move (e.g. 9 Kd1 Rc3 10 Kc2 Rc2+ 11 Kc1 Rc1 + 12 Kd2 Rc6!) hc can make no progress. Pushing the pawn is no better, as 9 d4 Rc4 10 d5 blocks d5 and allows 10...Kg61 and Black either returns to 17 with his king, or, if White attacks Black's rook with his king, ossillates between e4 and c1. Similarly, if White had moved to the f-file at move 7 he would be too a draw.

For the final position in this chapter we take a study composed by a top player, in which both sides manocuvre to put the other in zugzwang.



R. Reti, 1st Pr., Shakhmaty 1928

White has a decisive material advantage, but unfortunately Black threatens ...Be5 winning the queen, as to move away would allow ...Rh8 mate. But 1...Be5 is not in itself a decisive threat because of the reply 2 Kg7, when after 2...B×th+ 3 g×th Black ends up in zugzwang and loses his rook. But White must be careful not to run out of tempo moves and end up in zugzwang himself!

1 Kh6!

If (A) 1 g6? Be5 2 Q×e5+ (other moves lose) d×e5 3 g7 f5 4 e×f5 Kf7 5 g×t8=Q+ K×f8 6 c4 b×e4 7 b×e4 e4 8 e5 e3 9 e6 Ke7 10 f6+ K×f6 11 c7 e2 12 e8=Q e1=Q 13 Qf8+ Ke5 with a draw.

(B) 1 Kg7? Be5 2 b4 (not 2 c4? B×f6+ 3 g×f6 b4 and Black wins; but in playing b4 White has used up his reserve tempo) Bh2! 3 Qf1 (3 Kh7/6 Be5 4 Kg7 repeats as well, but 3 g6? Be5 and 3 c4? b×c4 4 b5 Be5 5 b6 c3 6 b7 B×f6+ 7 g×f6 Kd7 8 K×f8 Kc7 win for Black) Be5+ 4 Qf6 Bh2 and again a draw results. But 1 Kh6! threatens 2 Kh5 and so forces Black's hand.

1 ...Be5 2 Kg7

The same position as in (B) above, but with Black to move.

2 ...Bh2

Now 3 b4? loses to 3...Be5, while king or queen moves lead only to a draw by repetition.

3 c4! b×c4

If 3...b4 4 c5 d×c5 (4...Be5 5 c×d6) 5 Qc6+ Ke7 6 Qb7+ Ke8 7 Qc8+ picks up the rook. But after 3...b×c4 White cannot reply 4 b×c4 because of 4...Be5 and White would lose. However, by giving up the e-pawn White can force Black to gain a tempo and so put himself in zuzwang!

4 e5! B×e5

4...d×e5 5 Qc6+ and 4...c×b3 5 e×d6 are hopeless.

5 b×c4 B×f6+

Or 5...Bh2 6 c5 Be5 (6...d×c5 7 Qc6+) 7 c×d6 B×f6+ 8 g×f6 winning.

6	g×f6	Rh8
7	K×h8	Kd7
8	Kg8	

Avoiding the final trap 8 Kg7? Ke6.

8 ...Ke6 9 Kg7 and wins.

7 Manoeuvring

Of course manoeuvres occur at all stages of the game, but 1 am referring to those ideas which are generally restricted to the endgame. One of the most familiar is the idea of triangulation in king and pawn endings, but there are others. The following idea, which we call the Lasker manoeuvre, has considerable practical importance in rook and pawn endings and indeed some interesting grandmaster games involving it were played in the past decade.



Em. Lasker, Deutsches Wochenschach, 1890

White's advantage resides in his superior king position, but it is not easy to exploit this since as soon as the king emerges from the shelter of the pawn Black starts checking with his rook.

I Kg8

If 1 Rc3? Kh7 2 Rh3+ Kg6 3 Rg3+ Kh7 4 Rg1 Rf3 5 Rc1 Kg6 and Black draws easily.

1 ...Rg2+ 2 Kh8 Rf2

White can now drive the Black king back a rank and by repeating the same idea several times gradually force Black's king up the board.

3 Rc6+ Kh5

Not 3... Kg5 when 4 Kg7 wins at once.

5 Kh7	Rf2
6 Rc5+	Kh4
7 Kg7	Rg2+
8 Kh6	Rf2
9 Rc4+	Kh3
0 Kg6	

Although this does not threaten to promote the pawn, it does threaten $11 \text{ R} \times c2$ and therefore Black is once again forced to check.

10	Rg2+
11 Kh5	Rf2
12 Rc3+	Kh2

And finally ...

13 R×c2!

and wins. The board is just small enough for this to work. If there were nine ranks instead of eight the original position would only be a draw!

Here is a practical example featuring the Lasker manoeuvre:



Tukmakov-Smejkal, Leningrad 1973

In fact I have reversed the colours, i.e. Smejkal had the two pawns in the game. At first it seems that White must win since Black can only oscillate with his king, but White faces problems similar to those in the last diagram—he cannot come out with his king, which makes it difficult to advance the f-pawn, while the advance of the h-pawn by itself achieves nothing.

1 Ra8

Zugzwang must be White's main weapon. After 1 Kf8 Rf1 or 1 Ra5 Kh6 2 Kf8 Rf1 Black draws so White must try to arrange more favourable circumstances before playing Kf8. 1Kh6 2 Ra5

After 2 Rh8+ Kg5 3 Rg8+ K×h5 4 Rg2 Kh4 (4...Rb1? 5 R×a2 Rb7+ 6 Kg8 Kg6 7 f7 and wins) 5 Rd2 (5 Kg7 Kh3 6 f7? K×g2 7 f8=Q Rg1! and Black may even win!) Kh3 Black draws more easily.

2Kh7?!

The first inaccuracy. Black could have forced a draw by 2...Rb11 3 R×a2 Rb7+ 4 Ke8 (Or 4 Ke6 Rb6+ 5 Kf5 Rb5+ 6 Kg4 Rb4+ 7 Kg3 Rb3+ 8 Kg2 K×h5 9 f7 Rb8 drawing) Rb8+ 5 Kd7 Rb7+ 6 Kc6 RT7 7 R12 Kg51 (Not 7...K×h5? 8 Kd6 and White wins) winning the fpawn.

3 Ra6

3

White returns to the original position having given the move to Black and prepares an ambush along the sixth rank.

...Kh8?

This move finally throws away the draw. The correct line was 3... Kh6! 4 Kl8 Rb1! (Not 4...K×h5? 5 17 with the Lasker win nor 4... Kh7? 5 Ra7+ Kh8 6 f7 transposing to the game) 5 17+ (5 R×a2 Rb8+ is the note to Black's second move) Kh7 6 R×a2 (6 Kc7 Re1+ draws) Rb8+ 7 Kc7 Rb7+ 8 Kc6 Rb6+ 9 Kd5 Rb5+ 10 Kc6 (10 Ke4 Rb6+ 11 Kd3 Rb3+ 12 Kg2 Rb8 13 Rt2 Rt8 followed by ...Kg7) Rt5 11 Ra7 Kg7 12 h6+ K×h6 13 Kd6 Kg7 14 Ke6 Rt6+ picking up the last pawn.

4 Ra7

Not 4 h6? Kh7 and White is without a good move.

4	Kh7	
5 Kf8+	Kh8	

If 5...Kh6 6 f7 and both 6...K×h5 7 Kg7 Rg1+ 8 Kh7 and 6...Rb1 (6...Kh7 transposes to the game) 7 Kg8! Rg1+ 8 Kh8 Rf1 9 Ra6+ reduce to the Lasker win.

6 f7 Kh7

After 6...Rb1 7 Ke7! (7 $R \times a2$? Rb8+ is the note to Black's third move) Re1+ 8 Kf6 Rf1+ 9 Kg6 Rg1+ 10 Kh6 White forces mate.

7 h6!

The only move as 7 Ra3 Rb1! leads to the draw mentioned above.

7 ...Kh8

After 7...K×h6 8 Kg8 White has the Lasker win.

Now that the h-pawn prevents ...Kg7 White can win after 8...Rb1 9 R×a2 Rb8+ 10 Ke7 Rb7+ 11 Ke6 Rb6+ 12 Kd5 Rb5+ 13 Kc4 Rf5 14 Ra8+.

9 Ra8

Since 9...K×h6 loses to 10 Kg8 as before and 9...Kh8 allows 10 Ke7+ Black is finally forced to give up the a-pawn under unfavourable circumstances.

9	Rb1
10 R×a2	Rb8+
11 Ke7	Rb7+
12 Ke6	Rb6+
13 Ke5	Rb5+
14 Ke4	Rb4+
15 Ke3	

Not 15 Kd5 Rb5+ and White cannot play to the c-file for fear of ... Rf5 nor 15 Kd3 Rf4 16 Ra7 K×h6 draw. However 15 Kf3 would also win.

15	Rb3+	
16 Ke2	Rb8	
17 Ra6	Rb2+	

Or 17...Rf8 18 Rf6 followed by the advance of the king to e7.

18	Ke3		Rb3+
19	Ke4		Rb4+
20	Ke5		Rb5+
21	Kf6		
		1-0	

The resemblance between this position and the following one is obvious, but the slight difference results in quite divergent play!



T. Petrosian-Karpov, USSR Ch. 1976

1 h4

White can make no progress without advancing this pawn. e.g. 1 Kt7 Rf1 2 f6 Ra1! 3 R×c3 (3 Rc7 c2 is the same) Ra7+ 4 Ke6 Ra6+ 5 Ke7 Ra7+ 6 Kd6 Ra6+ 7 Rc6 R×c6+ 8 K×c6 Kg6 with a draw. However if White succeeds in advancing his pawn to h5 then this variation would be winning.

1 ...Rc2!

Black realises that his best policy is to wait. If 1...c2? (1...Ra1? 2 $R \times c3 Ra6+$ fails as White can safely exchange rooks as in the last note) 2 h5 (Black is in zugzwang now) Rh1 3 $R \times c2 R \times h5 4$ Rg2 Rh1 5 K17 Kh6 6 t6 Ra1 7 Rh2+ Kg5 8 Kg7 and the f-pawn promotes.

2	h5	Rcl
3	Kf7	Rc2

Now 3...Ra1 doesn't work while 3...c2 4 Kf6 loses as above.

4 f6 Rc1

White will need to use zugzwang so his first task is to deprive Black of tempo moves by forcing him to play ...c2.

5 Ke7! c2

5...Re1+ loses since 6 Kf8 Rc1 7 f7 c2 8 h6 transposes into the note to Black's 10th move.

6 Kf7

Not 6 Kf8? Rf1. After 6 Kf7 the position is the same as in the last example after 1 Ra8 except that the rooks and Black pawn are on the c-file rather than the a-file. Why is this important? I mush' reveal the secret too early, but it is true that the position after White's seventh move in Tukmakov-Smeikal is a win no matter which file
the rooks are on. So Karpov has to play so as to avoid reaching this position (i.e. White king f8 and pawns on f7 and h6).

6	Kh6
7 Rc5	Kh7

The first difference is that the draws missed by Black in the last example don't work here, for example $7...Ra1 \ 8 \ R \sim 2 \ Ra7 + 9 \ Ke6 \ Ra6 + 10 \ Ke5 \ Ra5 + 11 \ Kd6 \ K \sim h5 \ (11...Ra6 + 12 \ Rc6 \ is the point) 12 \ f7 \ Ra6 + (12...Ra8 \ 13 \ Rt2) \ 13 \ Ke7 \ hiding on \ g7.$

8	Rc6	Kh6
9	Kf8	Kh7

Here again 9...Ra1 loses with the White rook on the c-file.

10 Rc7+ Kh8!

After 10...Kh6 11 f7 Kh7 (11...K×h5 12 Kg7 is the Lasker win) 12 h6 White has the position he wants and wins as in Tukmakov-Smejkal.

11 f7

All as before, but now we see the second difference.

11 ...Ra1!

This lost before, but draws now because after 12 Ke7 Re1+ 13 Kf6 Rf1+ 14 Kg6 Rg1+ 15 Kh6 c1=Q1 is check and so Black forces stalemate by 16 R×c1 Rg6+!. It is hard to see from the diagram that Black only draws because a queen on c1 attacks h6! Petrosian tried I2 R×c2 instead but after 12...Ra8+ 13 Ke7 Ra7+ 14 Kf6 Ra6+ 15 Kg5 Ra5+ 16 Kg4 Ra4+ 17 Kg3 Ra3+ 18 Kg2 Kg7 19 RZ Kf8 20 Rf5 (20 h6 Ra6 21 h7 Rh6 picks up the h-pawn) Ra6 (not 20...Ra7" when 21 h6 Ra6 22 Rh5! wins) 21 Kg3 Rh6 22 Kg4 Rh7 a draw was arered in view of the inevitable 23...R×77.

Study composers have elaborated the original Lasker idea in various ways. The following composition displays ideas which recur in the game position of diagram 85.



J. Hasek, 1st Pr., Cesk. Sach 1936

1 Kf7

Black threatened 1...Re1+ so there was not a lot of choice, and 1 f?? Re1+ 2 Kd5 (2 Kf6 al=Q+ draws) Rd1+ 3 Kc4 Re1+ 4 Kb3 (4 Kd3 is similar) Rf1 5 R×a2+ Kg3 6 Ra7 Kg4 7 Kc4 Kg5 8 Kd5 Kg6 9 Kc6 Rt6+ is only a draw.

...Kf3

Just three moves are enough to make the win clear, but they are by no means easy! In order to understand the play, imagine that the White king and pawn have advanced to f8 and f7 respectively. Where must the Black king be in order to draw, with White to move? The e, f and g-files are immediately fatal, since the king blocks a vital Black rook check, while with the king on the h-file White can win with the Lasker manoeuvre (except for h1, when a tempo move such as 1 Ra7 forces Black to put his king on a losing square). If the king is on d1 White wins at once (1 Ke7), d2 loses to 1 Ke7 Re1+ 2 Kd6 Rf1 3 R×a2+, and d3 loses after 1 Ke7 Re1+ 2 Kd6 Rf1 3 Ke6 Re1+ 4 Kd5 Rf1 5 Ra3+ and 6 R×a2+. Black draws if his king is on any other square. The nearest drawing square to g2, the Black king's original position, is d4, so his first move is understandable. White's task is to advance the pawn to f7 while keeping the Black king bottled up in the losing zone. Let's see how this is possible after alternative king moves:

(1) 1... Kt2 2 Ra4! (2 Kf8' Ke3' 3 77 Kd4 is just in time to draw, while 2 Ra3 Kg1' 3 Kg7 Kh1 forces White to return with 4 Kf7, although White can still win in this line by reverting to the correct plan) Kg1 (2...Ke3 3 Ke6 Kd3 4 77 Rc1+ 5 Kd5 Rt1 6 Ra3+ wins, as do 2... Kg3 3 Kg6 or 2...K13 3 Kf8, followed by 4 77 and the Black king cannot reach a drawing square) 3 Kg8! (not 3 Kg6' Kh1 4 Rh4+ Kg1 57 Rt1 6 Ra4 Rt2 7 Rx a2 Rx2a 8 Ks=0 Rg2+ with perpetual check, while on other seventh moves Black starts checking. Also bad is 3 Kg7? Kh1 4 Rh4+ Kg2 5 f7 Rg1 6 Ra4 Kh1+1 drawing, while 6 Rs=0 fails since Black promotes with check—White must cover f8 with his King and at the same time avoid the check from a1, hence 3 Kg8) Kh1 4 Rh4+ Kg2 (4...Kg1 5 f7 R1 6 Ra4 wins) 5 f7 Rg1 6 f8=Q (6 Ra4? Kh1+) a1=Q 7 Rg4+ Kh3 8 Qf3+ Kh2 9 Qf2+ Kh3 10 Qh4 mate.

(2) 1...Kh3 (1...Kh2 2 Kf8 and 2...Rf1 is impossible as the Black pawn drops off with check) 2 Ra4! (zugzwang forcing the king back to the unpleasant second rank) Kh2 (2...Kg3 3 Kg6 and 4 f7) 3 Kf8 followed by 4 f7 and Black is well away from any drawing squares.

2 Ra4!

Necessary since 2 Ra5, for example, allows 2...Ke4 3 Ke6 Kd4, reaching safety.

2 ...Kf2

Black is helpless, e.g. 2...Ke2/e3 3 Ke6 or 2...Kg3 3 Kg6 or 2...Kg2 3 Kf8 (3 Kg6? Kh1! as in variation 1 above only draws) and 4 f7, winning in every case.

3 Kf8!

Black is one tempo short of reaching the drawing area and might lose as follows: 3...Ke3 (3...Kg3 4 f7 Kh3 is the standard Lasker win) 4 f7 Kd3 5 Ke7 Re1+ 6 Kd6 Rf1 7 Ke6 Re1+ 8 Kd5 Rf1 9 Ra3+ etc.

If, in the basic Lasker position of diagram \$1, everything is moved one file to the left White can no longer win, e.g. 1 Kf8 Rf2+ 2 Kg8 Re2 3 Rb6+ Kh5 4 K7 RF2+ 5 Kg7 Rg2+ 6 Kh7 Re2 7 Rb5+ Kh4 and the White king is too far away from the pawn. But there are situations, even with a central pawn, in which a Lasker-type manoeuvre is still possible.



Zaitsev-Dvorecki, USSR 1973

Colours have been reversed, i.e. Dvorecki had the two pawns.

1 Ke7

1

Black's problem is the bad position of his rook in front of the pawn. If he could reach a position with the pawn on a2 and the rook on the second rank then he would draw since, as we noted above, the Lasker idea does not work in this situation. So if 1 Kd6 Ra2! 2 e6? (or 2 Ke?? Re2 and 3...a2, so best is 2 Ke6, starting again) Rd2+ 3 Kc6 Re2 4 e7 a2 5 Kd7 Rd2+ 6 Ke5 K×h7 and Black draws.

...K×h7

If 1...Re1 2 e6 Re3 3 Kd6 Rd3+ 4 Kc5 Re3 (4...Rc3+ 5 Kd4 wins) 5 Kd5 and Black is in zugzwang, e.g. 5...Rg3 6 e7 Re3 7 Ra8+ and wins.

2 e6 a2

Or 2...Kg6 3 Ke8 Kf6 (3...a2 transposes to the game) 4 e7 Kg7 (4...Re1 5 Ra6+ and 6 R×a3 wins) 5 Ra4 a2 6 Rg4+ Kf6 (if 6...Kh7 then the plan of 7 Rg2, 8 Rd2 and 9 Kd7 wins) 7 Rg2 Rb1 (7...Re1 8 Rt2+ and 9 R×a2) 8 R×a2 Rb8+ 9 Kd7 Rb7+ 10 Kd6 Rb6+ 11 Kc7 Re6 12 Kd8 Rd6+ 11 Kc8 and White wins.

The position after 2...a2 is identical with that arising after five moves of a study by P. Keres, which gained third place in the 1947 USSR composing championship. It is interesting to compare the courses of game and study.

3 Ke8+ Kg6

Other squares are no better.

4 e7 Kg5

Black's best plan is to retreat his king to prevent the transfer of White's rook to the second rank, e.g. 4...Kg7 5 Ra3 Kg6 6 Rg3+ and 7 Rg2, followed by 8 Rd2 and 9 Kd7 winning.

5 Ra5+

Not 5 Kf7 Rf1+ 6 Kg7 Re1 7 Ra5+ Kh4! and the Lasker plan still fails. Keres gave 5 Ra3 as his main line, but the move played is equally good.

5 ...Kh4 6 Ra3!

Now Black is in zugzwang and must either allow the White rook to transfer to the second rank or permit White to employ the Lasker manoeuvre. Zaitsev decides on the former. The main line of the Keres study shows how White wins in the latter case: 6...Kg4 7 Kf7 Rf1+ 8 Kg6 Re1 (the bad position of his king deprives Black of the check on g1 and this proves fata] 9 Ra4+ Kh3 10 Kf6 Rf1+11 Kg5 Rg1+12 Kh5 Re1 13 Ra3+ Kg2 14 R×a2+ Kf3 (although White has won the a-pawn his slightly offside king makes the win a little tricky) 15 Ra7 Re6 (if the White king supports the pawn Black is finished, so he must stop Kg6) 16 Kg5 (Inteat 17 Kf5 and 18 Kf6) Ke4 17 Rb7; (both this and 17 Re7 put Black in zugzwang, but not 17 Rd72 Ke5! when it is White who suffers from zugzwang and only draws) Ke5 (forced, to keep the White king out) 18 Rd7 Ke4 19 Rd1' Kf3 (forced) 20 Rf1+ Ke2 21 Rf7 Ke3 22 Kf5 and the White king penetrates to 18 with decisive effect.

6	Kg5
7 Rg3+	Kf4
8 Rg2	Kf3
9 Rh2	

White has an alternative win by 9 Rb2 Ke3 (9...K4 10 Kf7 and 9...Kg3/g4 10 Rd2 followed by 11 Kd7 lose immediately 10 Kd7 Rd1+ 11 Kc7 a1=O 12 ϵ 8=O+ Kd4 13 Oh8+ Kc4 14 Qg8+ Rd5 (14...Kc3 15 Rb3+) 15 Og4+ Rd4 16 Qe6+ Rd5 17 Oe4+ Rd4 18 Qc2+ Kd5 19 Rb5+ mating, but the text move is much simpler.

9 ...Ke3

Forced, as if 9...Kg3/g4 10 Rd2 wins.

10 Rb2

Placing Black in zugzwang, since if his king moves to the d- or f-file White can emerge with his own king without allowing a check.

> 10 ...Ke4 11 Re2+ Kd3

Now there is no choice.

12 Kd8 K×e2 13 e8=Q+ 1-0

since after 13...Kd2 14 Qa4 (not 14 Qe5?? Rd1) Kc3 15 Kc7 Kb2 (15...Kd3 16 Qb3+ Kc4 17 Qc4+ Kf3 18 Qd5+ Kg6 19 Qc6+ Kh7 20 Qf7+ is an amusing line leading to the win of the rook) 16 Qd4+ Kb1 17 Qe5 White wins the rook.

With the knowledge gained from the previous examples, it is easy to solve the following study.



N. Kopaev, 2nd Pr., Shakhmaty, 1951

As we have mentioned before, 1 Ra8 Re3 2 Ra5+ Kh4! gives a position in which the Lasker plan doesn't work.

1 Rc5+ Kh4

Black naturally retreats on to the h-file, so that if 2 Ra5 Re3 3 Kf7 Rf3+ 4 Kc8 Rf2 the Black king is in the best possible position to prevent White employing the Lasker manoeuvre.

2 Rh5+!

This tactical point prevents the above defence by forcing the king on to the less favourable g-file.

2Kg4

If $2...K \times h5$ 3 e8=Q+Kg5 (3...Kg4/h4 4 Qa4+) 4 Qe5+ followed by either 5 Qe2+ or 5 Qh2+ picking up the pawn.

3 Ra5	Re3
4 Kf7	Rf3+

The position of the Black rook on the third rank (rather than the second) prevents the immediate application of the standard plan, since after 5 Kg6 Re3 6 Ra4+ Kh3 7 Kf6 (7 Kf7 Rf3+ 8 Ke8! transposing to the main line does still win, however) Rf3+ 8 Kg5 Rg3+ 9 Kh5 Re3 there is no check on the third rank. The right idea is to force Black to defend his pawn by putting the rook on the second rank and only then attempting the above manoeurce.

5 Ke8! Rf2

and now everything is as before: 6 Kd7 Rd2+ 7 Ke6 Re2+ 8 Kf6Rf2+ 9 Kg6 Re2 10 Ra4+ Kh3 11 Kf6 Rf2+ 12 Kg5 Rg2+ 13 Kh5 Re2 14 Ra3+ and 15 R×a2 winning. Rook and pawn endings seem to be especially productive of systematic manoeuvres and we give a few unusual examples from practical play.



Blasbalg-Herland, Bucharest 1958

Black's method of capitalising on his advanced passed pawn is very instructive, since it is not at once apparent that the White king is on a bad square.

1g2

1...Rf8+ would transpose to the game.

2 Rd1 Rf8+!

The tempting 2...Rfl is not clear after 3 Rd8! Kho (3...g)=0.4 Rg8+ Kf6 5 R×gl R×gl 6 b5 Rb 17 c4 Kc5 8 Kc7 or 7...Rb4 8 Kd7 Kc5 9 c5 R×b5 10 c6 are both draws, while 3...Rf6 4 Rd1 doesn't improve Black's position 4 Rg8 Rf8 + 5 R×R6 gl = 0 6 Rf6⁻¹ Kg5 7 Rc6, when White has excellent chances of setting up a complete blockade. The move played has the virtue of allowing the rook to return to the second rank with gain of tempo if necessary.

> 3 Kc7 Rf1 4 Rd8

White's moves are forced, for example 4 Rd7 Kg6 5 Rd6+ Kg7 and promotes.

4 ...Rf7+

If White plays 5 Kc6 then 5...Rf6+ and 6...g1=Q.

5 Kc8 Kg6

Black has repeated the position after 1...g2, except that his king has moved to g6. Now the win is easy.

0 - 1

due to 6 Rd1 Rf1 7 Rd8 Kg7 8 Rd7+ Kg8.



Borkowski-Dieks, Groningen 1974-5

White would like to evade the checks by 1 Kb7, but 1...b3 2 R×a3 b2 threatens to promote with check, ensuring at least a draw for Black. Moreoever, 1 Rc5 R×c5+ 2 K×c5 a2 3 c8=Q a1=Q offers no winning chances. So how does White make progress?

1 Kd7	Rd3+
2 Ke7	Rc3
3 Kd8	Rd3+

Black must return to d3, as 3...b3 4 R×a3 is hopeless if he cannot promote with check. But now that the White king is on the best possible square, he can contemplate a queen ending.

This natural move leads to a forced loss, so Black should have tried $4...R\times d5+5 \in ed5$ a2 6 e8-0 a1=0 7 0h3+ Kg6 8 Qe6+ Kh7 9 Qe6+ Kg8, although 10 d6 still offers White good winning chances, e.g. 10...Qa5+ (10...b3 11 Qe6+ and 12 Q×b3) 11 Ke7 Qg5+ 12 Ke6 Qf6+ 13 Kd5 Qg5+ 14 Ke6 Qc1+ 15 Kb7 and the pawn advances. After the move played, however, Black threatens 5...a2 and it is hard to see what White can play apart from 5 Ra5.

5 Rh5+! -

This cunning move forces the king on to an inferior square.

5 ...Kg6

Black had only a choice of evils. After 5...Kg8 White would go into reverse gear with 6 Ra5 Rd3+ 7 Ke7 Rc3 8 Kd7 Rd3+ 9 Kc6 Rc3+ and now 10 Rc5 does win since White promotes with check.

7 Ke7	Rc3
8 Kd7	Rd3+
9 Kc6	Rc3+

Back to the start, but what is the significance of the location of Black's king?

10	Kb7!	b3
11	R×a3	b2
12	Ra6+!	

The point!

12	Kf7
13 Rb6	Rc2
14 c8=0	
	0



Dommes-Sosonko, Leningrad 1963

The game continued 1 $a8=Q? R \times a8 2 R \times a8 K5 3 Rb8 (3 Kc5 h3 4 Kd4 Kg3 6 Kc5 h3 5 Kd4 Kg3 6 Kc3 h3 Kc5 h3 5 Kd4 Kg3 6 Kc3 Kg2! (not 6..h2? 7 Rg8+ Kh3 8 KC2! h1=N+ 9 Kt3 Kh2 10 Rg7, winning the knight or mating) 7 Kc2 (if 7 Rg8+, not 7...Kh1 8 Kt3 h2 9 Ra8, but 7...K1! with a draw haz 8 Rg8+ Kh1 and a draw was agreed. But White could have won! The idea is that after White promotes and wins the Black rook his king needs to head back to e2. This takes one move less from b5 than from b6, so White must try to transfer his king to b5 with gain of tempo. Curiously the first step in this process is to put it on b7.$

1 Kb7!

White threatens 2 a8=Q R×a8 3 K×a8 with a winning position, for if Black pushes his pawn to the seventh White can pick it up by Ra1 and Rh1. So the reply is forced. 1 ...Rf7+ 2 Ka6! Rf8

After 2. .Rf6+ White's plan succeeds: 3 Kb5 Rf8 (3. .Rf5+ 4 Kb4 is the same) 4 as=Q R×as 5 R×as Kf5 6 Ke4 h3 7 Kd3 Kf4 8 Ke2 Kg3 9 Kf1 h2 (9. ..Kh2 10 Kf2 Kh1 11 Ka1+ Kh2 12 Ra3 and mates) 10 Rh8 and wins. After 2. ..Rf8 White can utilise the fact that his king is not blocking the b-file to introduce a new threat.

3 Rb5 h3

Black has no defence to the intended 4 Rb8, e.g. 3...Rf6+.4 Rb6 or 3...Ra8 4 Kb7.

4 Rb8 Rf3

Or 4...h2 5 a8=Q R×b8 6 Qg2+ and 7 Q×h2 winning.

5 Rb6+ Kg7

If 5...Kg5 then 6 Rb5+ and 7 a8=Q.

6 a8=Q

and after 6...Ra3+ 7 Kb7 R×a8 8 K×a8 Black can give up.

The final rook and pawn ending is a study in which White must manoeuvre with exceptional care to gain the full point.



E. Zepler, 3rd Pr., Schweizerische Schachzeitung, 1923-4

White has no winning chances unless he does something active immediately, so the first few moves are easy.

1 d7	Ke7
2 Rd6	Kd8
3 a6	Ra3

Black must prevent the White king from advancing to attack the pawn, or he will quickly find himself in zugzwang, e.g. 3...Ra2+4kg3 Ra4 5 Kh4 and Black must give up his g-pawn, for 5...Rb4 loses to 6 a7 Ra4 7 Rh6! K×d7 8 Rh8! After 3...Ra3 White's problem is to transfer the move to Black, for with Black to Play he would have a choice of allowing kg3 or playing ...g3, when the reply Kh3 wins. However, despite the restriction of Black's rook to the a-file, it is not so easy to do this, for if 4 Kh2 Rh3+ 5 kg1 (5 kg2 Ra3) Rg3+, with many checks. The White king must venture over to the e-file to escape them, while at the same time preventing the advance of the g-pawn.

4 Kf2!

Not 4 Kf1? Ra2 5 Kg1 g3 6 Kf1 Rf2+ 7 Kg1/e1 Ra2 and White has nothing better than 8 Kf1 with a draw.

4 ...Rf3+ 5 Ke2!

If 5 Ke1? g3! 6 a7 (6 Ke2 Rf2+ 7 Ke3 Ra2 threatens 8...g2 and forces the draw) Ra3 7 Rg6 K×d7 8 Rg8 Ra1+ 9 Ke2 g2 10 Kf2 Kc7 11 a8=Q (or else 11...Kb7 draws) g1=Q+ 12 R×g1 R×a8 and Black draws.

5 ...Ra3

Now returning to the f-file just repeats the position while moving to the d-file allows ...g3, when the White king is too far away.

6 Kel! Re3+

White wins more easily after 6...g3 7 Kf1 Ra2 8 Kg1, with zugzwang, or 6...Ra2 7 Kf1 transposing to the main line.

7 Kd2

Of course not 7 Kd1? g3.

7 ...Ra3 8 Ke2

White's triangulation has transferred the move to Black, who must avoid 8...g3 9 Kf1 Ra2 10 Kg1 or 8...Ra1/a4 9 Kf2 Ra3 10 Kg2.

8	Ra2+
9 Kf1	Ra5

If 9...g3 10 Kg1 or 9...Ra3 10 Kg2, while any other square on the a-file receives the same reply as the text move.

10	Kf2!	Ra3
11	Kg2	

White's eight-move manoeuvre has succeeded in losing a move and

now the play is simple: 11...Ral 12 Kg3 Ra4 13 Kh4 etc.

As we saw in the last example, triangulation can occur in endings other than the king and pawn variety. Here are a few more such positions.



Botvinnik-Sozin, USSR 1929

Suppose that Black is to move in the initial position. Then he must lose at once, for example 1...Bh7 2 Kf8 Kf3 3 Kg7, or 1...Kf5/h5 2 Kf8 Bh7 3 Kg7, or 1...Kh7 2 f5 followed by 3 f6 Kg6 4 h7 K×h7 5 f7, or finally 1...Bb3 2 f5+ Kh7 3 f6, as in the last line. In the game White missed his chance and allowed Black to transfer his bishop to the b1-f5 diagonal via e6 as follows: 1 Ke8? Be6 2 Kf8 Bf3 Ke7 Be2 4 K6f Bd3 5 Ke6 Be4+ 6 Ke7 (6 Kc5 Bd3 7 f5+ B×f5 8 h7 K×h7 9 K×f5 Kg7 is only a draw, as one can easily check by counting) Bd3 and Botvinnik could make no progress, the game ending in a draw. The important point is that Black can only move his bishop to the squares e6 or h7, for otherwise f5+ is a winning reply. So White must be careful to cover e6 with his king whenever the bishop is on g8.

1 Kd7! Bh7

Or 1...Kf5 (1...Kf7 2 f5 Bh7 3 g6+ B×g6 4 f×g6+ K×g6 5 Kc6 wins by a single tempo) 2 Ke8 Kg6 (or clse 3 Kf8 and 4 Kg7 wins) 3 Ke7 and Black is in zugzwang.

and wins.





Here the triangulation idea is well disguised and there is a good deal of preparatory work to be done first. If Black could extract his knight from the corner the game would be a sure draw, so White's first priority is to maintain his bind. 1...Kg7 and 1...Nf6 must be stopped, so White's first move is forced.

1 Kf7 Nh6+

If 1...h6 2 Nf5 Kh7 3 Nd6 transposes to the main line after 7 Nd6.

2	Kf8	Ng8
3	Ng4	

Again preventing 3...Nf6 and also stopping 3...Nh6, due to 4 Ne5 (not 4 N×h6? stalemate) and 5 Nf7 mate.

3	h6	
4 Kf7	Kh7	

With the White king on 17 Black is restricted to oscillating with his king while White can roam freely with his kinght. An obvious plan is to try and answer ...Kh8 with N18 (or ...Kh7 with Ng6), when Black would lose his knight. Unfortunately the tempi are wrong for these plans and so White must lose a move. He cannot do so just by moving the knight, so somehow he must employ the king. At the moment White can only play K18 and then K17 again, because he must prevent ...Kg7, and so losing a move is impossible. Therefore he must cover g7 with his knight to as to free the king. But with the knight on 15 White's king is left with the responsibility of stopping ...Nf6 and so can only move from 71 to e of and back again. It follows that & is the best square for the knight, when the king has only the single duty of preventing ...Ne7. This can be done from 77, e6, do or d7 and White can lose a tempo by Ke6-d6-d7-e6, all the time coverine e7.

5 N	e5	Kh8
6 N	c4	Kh7
7 N	d6	Kh8
8 N	e8	Kh7
9 K	e6	Kh8
10 K	d6	Kh7
11 K	d7	Kh8
12 K	e6	Kh7
13 K	.17	Kh8

Now a knight tour to f8 forces the win of a piece.

14	Nc7	Kh7
15	Ne6	Kh8
16	Nf8	

and wins.



Schlechter-Walbrodt, Vienna 1889

Black is suffering from his bad bishop, and indeed with Black to move he would lose at once, as a bishop move allows N×c6 while a king move allows Kg5. But as in the previous examples, it is far from easy to lose a tempo. Indeed, in this position it is necessary to introduce diverse ideas such as attacking the h-pawn with the knight and even penetrating to g8 with the N! The best way to analyse the position is to employ the method of corresponding squares, normally used in king and pawn endings, but applicable here because Black's bishop is immobilised and White's knight is already on its optimum square, so both sides will be moving mainly their kings.

Suppose White plays 1 K13. Black must stop Nf7-d6 so he is restricted to 1...Ke6, 1...Ke7 or 1...Ke7. If 1...Ke6 2 Nd3! (threatening 3 Nd4+) K16 (2...Kl5 still drops the h-pawn to 3 Nt4, while 2... Ke7 3 K14 K16 4 Ne5 puts Black in zugzwang) 3 Ke3! K15 (3...B moves 4 Ne5 Be8 5 K14, while 3...K moves 4 K14 K16 5 Ne5 is the

same) 4 Nf4 Kg4 5 Ng6 Kg3 (5...Be6 6 Ne5+ K×h4 7 N×c6 Kp3 8 Nc7 h4 9 c6 h3 10 c×b7 wins easily) 6 Ne7 Bd7 7 Ng8! K×h4 (7... Bh3 8 Nf6 Bg4 9 Ne8 and 10 Nd6) 8 Kf4 Kh3 9 Nf6 Bg4 10 N×h5! B×h5 11 Ke5, and when White promotes Black's pawn is only on the sixth rank. Moving on to the second continuation, 1...Kg7 loses to 2 Ke3 Kf6 (Black must prevent Nf7, remember) 3 Kf4 with zugzwang. So if White's king is on f3, Black's king must be on e7 (with White to move), or else Black loses immediately. We say that f3 and e7 correspond. Suppose now that White starts with 1 Ke3. Where must Black put his king? If 1...Kf5 then 2 Kf3 Ke6 (2...Kf6 3 Kf4) 3 Nd3! transposes to the above win, while if 1 ... Ke7 (1 ... Kg7 2 Kf3 Kf6 3 Kf4) 2 Kf3 Ke6 3 Nd3 amounts to the same thing. So Black can only play 1...Ke6. Thus e3 and e6 correspond. Similar reasoning applies after 1 Kg3, so g3 and e6 also correspond. Now suppose the White king is on f2. White can play 1 Ke3 or 1 Kf3, to which Black's replies must be 1...Ke6 and 1...Ke7 respectively; so with the White king on f2, Black's only hope is to have his king on a square adjacent to both e6 and e7. There is only one such, namely f6. But by the same reasoning, not only does f2 correspond to f6, but so do e2 and g2 as well. Now we can see the winning plan. White has only to retreat his king to e2, say, forcing Black to reply ... Kf6 and then White plays Kf2, forcing Black to abandon the correspondence.

1	Kf3	Ke7
2	Ke2!	Kf6
3	Kf2!	

and now 3...Ke7 4 Kf3 Ke6 5 Nd3 or 3...Ke6 4 Ke3 Ke7 5 Kf3 transposes into one of the above lines.

The following study, which has an exceptionally natural position, was the result of Benko's analysis of one of his games.



Draw P. Benko, 1st Pr., Magyar Sakkelet 1967

Corresponding squares play a part in this study also, but the play is less elaborate than in the last example. White's position looks desperate, and indeed if Black could transfer his king to f3 he would win, even if he lost the pawn in the process. But after 1 Bd6, say, 1...Kg4 can be answered by 2 Kg2 with a draw, e.g. 2...Raf3 B65 or 2...Tl=O+3 K×Tl Kf3 4 Kg1 (or 4 Ke1), and the White king is in the 'tight' corner. However, if Black could get his rook behind the pawn White's king would be immobilised and he could not prevent ...Kg4-H3 winning. So 1 Bd6? is bad because of 1...Raf3 2 B65 (2 Be5 Raf3 B67 Rl54 Bb8 Kg45 Ba7 Kf3 6 B×12 Rb5 and wins) Kg3! 3 B×Z2+ Kf3 4 Bd4 (or else ...Ra1+ wins) Rd6, picking up the bishop. By the same reasoning 1 Be5? loss to 1...Raf5, while 1 Bd47 fails to 1...Kg4. The stalemate tricks 1 Bg3 and 1 Bh2 just lose the bishop is the checks before taking it. So the right move must be...

1 Bc7! Rb2

Now 1...Ra7 only draws, since 2 Bb6 attacks the rook and after it moves away White plays 3 B×f2. White must always put his bishop where it can attack the rook and the f-pawn if Black attacks the bishop from the side. This explains the following moves:

2	Bd6	Rc2
3	Be5	Rd2
4	Bf4	

Attacking the rook, so Black has no time for ...Kg4. It is clear that the following pairs of squares correspond: a2 and c7, b2 and d6, c2 and e5, d2 and f4. Black has a last try:

4Re2!

What now? If 5 Bc7 (5 Bg3 K×g3 or 5 Bd6 Rb2) then Black seizes the correspondence by 5...Ra2! and after 6 Bb8 (6 Bd6 Ra6 or 6 Be5 Ra5) Ra8 7 Bd6 Ra6 8 Bc5 Ra5 9 Bd4 Kg3! Black wins. So White must move to a square not in the above list, to be ready to take the correspondence wherever Black moves along the second rank.

5 Bb8!

So we may add e2 and b8 to the list! But now why not 5...Re8 and 6...Rf8, the manoeuvre we have been trying to prevent all along?

5 ...Re8! 6 Bg3!

Only possible because the rook is on the e-file. Not 6 Bd6? Rd8! 7 Be7 Rd7 8 Bc5 Kg3 and wins.

and draws. White avoids 7 B×f2? Kf3 and Black wins.

In the final position in this chapter we see an amusing situation in which White uses zugzwang in order to force the capture of one of his own pawns!



Tataiev-Gubnicki, USSR 1972

Although White has a great advantage, to actually win the game he must capture Black's c-pawn. It seems to be easy to achieve this in view of Black's cramped position.

1 f6

If White had seen Black's defence in advance, he would have played 1 $g8=Q+! K \times g8$ 2 Ke7 followed by 3 f6, transposing to the position after White's 6th move in the game.

1 ...Kg8

Fored. Now why not simply 2 Ke6 (or Ke7) Bh5 3 Kd7? Because of 3...Be8+! and Black saves the pawn. In view of this trick it is hard to see how White can make progress and we can quickly discover that the only hope is to force Black to move his king and then sacrifice the g-pawn to destroy the stalemate. Triangulation is called for to give the move to Black, when the king will have to emerge.

2 Ke6!	Bh5
3 Kd7	Be8+
4 Kd6	Kf7

Forced by zugzwang.

5 g8=Q+!	K×g8
6 Ke7	Bh5
7 Kd7	Kf7
8 K×c6	Be2

The best chance. It is hopeless for Black to take the h-pawn and challenge White to a race, so he must try and block White's passed

pawns with his king. If White obtained a passed b-pawn this would be impossible, but Black does have enough time to get in front of the nearer d-pawn. However, this is not enough to save the game.

9	K×d5	K×f6
10	c6	Ke7

Or 10...Kg6 11 Ke6 K×h6 12 Ke7 Kg5 13 d5 Bf3 (or else d6 and d7) 14 c7 Bg4 15 d6 and wins easily.

11 Kc5 Kd8

12 d5 Kc7 13 d6+ Kc8 14 Kb6 Kd8 15 d7 (threatening 16 c7+ K×d7 17 Kb7 Bf3+ 18 Kb8 promoting, so Black has to abandon the bpawn) Bg4 16 K×b5 and Black resigned in view of the plan Kc5, b5 and b6.



8 Perpetual check

A certain minimum quantity of material is required to deliver perpetual check, so this is not a frequent event in endgames. All the examples in this chapter have either the queen or the rook as the piece actually giving the perpetual, as is to be expected. The first two positions show White falling into a trap.



Foldi-Erdy, Hungary 1974

Black is losing, since the a-pawn interferes with his attempt to give perpetual check after 1...Qb6+ 2 Kc8 Qc6+ 3 Kd8 Qa8+ 4 Kc7, so he plays for a trap.

1	Qb6+
2 Kc8	Qc6+
3 Kd8	Kg7

By taking the f8 square away from White's king, this introduces a threat which White overlooks. White could win now by 4 Qe5+ f6 (4...Kg8 5 Ke7 Qb7 6 Qg5+ Kh8 7 Qf6+ and mate in two more moves) 5 Qd5 Qb6+ 6 Ke8 and the pawn promotes.

4 e5?

Intending 5 Qf6+. 4 Ke8? would fail in the same way as 4 e5?.

5 Kc8 Qc6+ 6 Kb8 Qb5+ 7 Ka8 Qd5+ 8 K×a7 Qa5+ 9 Kb7 Qb5+

10 Kc7 Qa5+ 11 Kc6 Qa6+ 12 Kd5 Qd3+ is also perpetual check.



in view of perpetual check from the squares b8, b5 and e5.



Matulovic-Siaperas, Athens 1969

White has a winning position, and after 1 h5 b4 2 a4 ($2a \times b4$ would also win) b3 3 Rg3 Rb1+ 4 Kb2 b2 5 Rb3 Ra1 6 R $\times b2$ R $\times a4$ 7 Kh3, the advance of the kingside pawns would quickly decide the game. But Matulovic decides on a different plan, which leads to an unpleasant surprise.

1 h5	b4
2 h6?	b×a3
3 h7	a2
4 h8=Q	a1=Q+
5 Kh2	

White assumed that as Black has no checks the completely naked Black king would quickly succumb to the onslaught of White's major pieces. The flaw in the logic is that Black in fact does have a check, indeed an infinite number of them.

5 ...R×g2+!

Unexpectedly exploiting the pin along the long diagonal.

6 K×g2 Qb2+

and after many more moves the players agreed to a draw. One possible line is 7 Kf3 Qc3+ 8 Ke4 Qc4+ 9 Kf5 Qd5+ 10 Kg4 Qd4+ 11 Kg5 Qc5+ 12 Kg6 Qc6+ 13 Kh7 Qh3+ 18 Kg8 Qc8+ with a clear perpetual. As long as Black is careful to check along the long diagonal when the White king is on the h-file (to prevent the rook from interposing), he can hardly go wrong.

It is well known that queen and knight co-operate well together in an attack and the following position provides another example of this rule.



Parma-Bukic, Yugoslavia Ch. 1978

Parma claimed in Informator that Black could have won by 1...Ka8 but then:

(A) 2 a5? Q×f7 3 Q×g3 h1=Q 4 Q×e5 (with the twin threats of Qh8+-d4+ and Nb6+-c8+, with perpetual check in both cases) Qg8! 5 Nb6+ (or 5 Nc7+ Ka7 6 Qc5+ Kb8 7 N×a6+ b×a6 8 Qb6+ Qb7 and wins) Ka7 6 Qc7 (6 Qc5 Qhg1 defends) Qh3 and Black wins.

(B) 2 Q×g3? h1=Q 3 Qg8+ Ka7 4 f8=Q Qfb1+ 5 Ka3 Qa1+ 6 Kb4 (or 6 Kb3 Qhd1+ with similar play) Q×b2+ 7 Kc5 Qa3+ 8 Nb4 Qc6 mate.

2	Q×g3	h1=Q
3	Q×e5+	Ka7

Black cannot avoid the draw, for example 3...Kc8 4 Nb6+ Kd8 5 Qd6+ Ke8 6 Nd51 and after both 6...Qf8 7 Qb8+ Kf7 8 Q×b7+ followed by a knight check and 6...Qg7 7 Nc7+ Black loses one of his queens.

4 Qd4+ 5 Oh8+		Kb8
	<u>1-1</u>	
	125	

¹ f7 0×f7



Mukhin-Makarychev, USSR 1975

The position of the White king is rather unusual, but this does not mean that Black is likely to deliver mate, since his bishop can play no part in attacking the White king. In fact Black's main asset is his advanced b-pawn and this forces White to seek immediate counterplay.

1	Rc7	Qb6
2	Q×b6	a×b6

White's position now appears very difficult, since 3 Rc1? Be4 allows the b-pawn to promote. His only chance is an attack on Black's king.

3	Ke7!		b2
4	Rc8+	÷.	Kh7
5	Kf7		b1=Q

Black has nothing better. After 5...Bc6 (5...Bf3 6 Rg8 Bh5+ 7 Kf8 draws) 6 Rg8 Be8+ 7 R×e8! (7 Kf8 is unwise, owing to 7...Kg6 and the king slips out of the net) b1=Q8 Rg8, with unavoidable perpetual.

6 Rg8

as Black's huge material plus is of no help in preventing perpetual check by $R \times g7+$.

A similar last-minute rescue is performed by Black's rook in the next game.



Black gives his rook ample room to check the White king. 1...Ral? 2 a7 Kd4 allows White to expose the Black king to a fatal check by 3 g5! $f \times g5$ 4 e5!

2	a7	Kd4
3	Rd8	

The best square, as in some variations the White rook can halt the checks by interposing down the d-file.

3 ...Rh2+ 4 Kd1

After 4 Kc1 Black can transpose to the game by 4...Ke3! 5 R×d6 Ra2, but not 4...Rh1+? 5 Kd2 Rh2+ 6 Kel Kc3 7 Kf1 Kf3 8 Kg1 Rb2, as given in Informator, because of 9 a8=Q and the king hides at h3.

4	Ke3!	
forced.		
5 R×d6	Rh1+	
6 Kc2	Rh2+	
7 Kc1	Ra2!	

7...Rh1+ 8 Rd1 Rh8 leaves the rook passively placed and allows White to win by 9 c5 f×c5 10 g5 ctc.

8 Kb1

White's reply i

Or 8 R×f6 (8 Rd7 K×c4 draws, as the White king is bottled up on the first two ranks—if White tries marching over to the kingside Black plays...Ra2+ at a suitable moment to force White on to the first rank) R×a7 9 c5 Ra1+ 10 Kc2 Rg1 (renewing the perpetual threat) 11 Rd6 R×g41 2 c6 Rc4 and White can make no progress.

8...R×a7 was also a comfortable draw.

After 9 R×f6 R×a7 10 e5 (the loss of a tempo with respect to 8... R×a7 doesn't make much difference) Rg7 11 e6 R×g4 12 e7 Re4 13 Rf1 Ke2 14 Rf7 Kd3 (threatening perpetual check) 15 Rf3+ Ke2 16 Rf7 with a draw by repetition.

We end this chapter with a study based on the idea of avoiding perpetual check.



A. Herbstman, 1st Pr., Akhalgazrda Komunisti 1954

White's f-pawn will become a queen ultimately, but first White must deal with the a-pawn. 99% of all chess-players would probably go 1 K×a2 without a second thought, but this allows 1...15!, which covers the square e4 and so enables Black to threaten perpetual along the 5 thr ank. The only move to stop this is 2 Ra7, when Black replies 2...Re5! and White has no way to avoid the second perpetual check up and down the e-file (3 Re7 Ra5+).

1 Kb2!! a1=Q+

Or 1...f5 (1...Rb5+ 2 Kal! and 1...Rd2+ 2 Kal lose quickly) 2 Ra7 (2 f8=Q? a1=Q+ draws) Re5 3 R×a2, lining up against the Black king and White wins.

2 K×a1 Ra5+

This only delays Black's fate. The basic idea is seen in the line 2... fs 3 Ra7 Re5 4 Ra2+1 and Black's king must give up control of el or e3, when there is no perpetual after 5 ft8=Q.

3 Kb2 Rb5+

3...Ra8 4 Re7 Rf8 5 d7 is hopeless.

4	Kc3	Rc5+
5	Kd4	f5

Black's last chance to play this move, for otherwise the king sneaks out via e4.

6 Ra7!

The only move to stop the threatened perpetual.

6 ...Rd5+

White must play to the a-file to escape the checks, but only Ka1 avoids the other perpetual after the reply ...Re5. So the shortest solution is

7 Kc3	Rc5+
8 Kb2	Rb5+
9 Ka1	Re5
10 Ra2+	

followed by 11 f8=Q and wins.

9 Passed pawns

Most of the positions in this book contain passed pawns, so to have a special chapter devoted to them might seem superfluous. However, there are a few interesting positions which don't fall into any other category and which have as their theme the battle of pieces against pawns. So these have found their way into Chapter 9. There are some more examples of this theme in the following chapter, but the most complex are here. I have found greater difficult yi analysing positions with pieces against pawns than with any other type of ending. Often a small fineses can turn the result of a variation upside down and the analyst has to go back to the beginning again! But I hope that I have arrived somewhere near the truth.



Makarov-Umanski, Kharkov 1958

Black's king is well placed for supporting the pawns, but his other pieces are badly immobilised. White threatens 2 $R \times b6 R \times b6 3 h7$ Rb2+4 Kg3 Rb85 Bg8 and the h-pawn promotes, so Black must act quickly.

1 ...Rc7!

If 1...Kf4 2 Bd5! N×d5 (2...Re7 3 R×e4+ wins) 3 R×b7 e3+ Kf1 f2 (4...e2+ 5 Kf2) 5 h7 Kf3 6 Rb3 and White wins.

2 R×b6 Kf4

Clearly best. 2...Rc2+ 3 Kg3 Rg2+ 4 Kh3 leads nowhere.

3 Rb1

The alternative was 3 Rb4 Rc2+ and now:

(A) 4 Ke1 f2+? 5 Kf1 Kf3 6 Rc4! (if 6 Rb3+ e3 7 Bd5+ Kf4, then White must avoid 8 Rb4+ Kg3 or 8 Bg2 Rc1+ 9 Kc2 Re1+ 10 Kd3 e2 and play 8 Kg2 Rc1 9 Rb4+ K×f5 10 Bc4, with a draw) Rb2 7 Rc3+ e3 8 Bd5+ Kf4 9 Bc4 and White has winning chances.

(B) 4 Ke1 Kg3! 5 R×e4! (5 Rb3? Kg2 and Black wins) f2+ 6 Kd1 f1=Q+ (Black cannot play for a win, e.g. 6...Rc5 7 Ke2 and Black must repeat by 7...Rc2+ or 6...Rb2? 7 Bc4) 7 K×c2 with a draw.

(C) 4 Kf1 and Black should force a draw by 4...Rc1+ or 4...Rh2 (4...Kg3 5 R×e4 Rh2 6 Ke1 transposes) 5 Ke1! Rh1+ (if 5...Kg3 6 R×e4 or 5...f2+ 6 Ke2, then White wins) 6 Kf2 Rh2+ 7 Ke1 (7 Kg1? Ke3), with perpetual check.

3 ...Rc2+ 4 Ke1

The best move, as 4 Kf1 (4 Kg1 Rg2+ 5 Kh1 Kg3, threatening 6... Rh2+ and 7...f2+, wins for Black) e5 5 Bd5 (5 h7 Rh2 6 Kg1 Kg3 wins) Rh2 6 Kg1 R×h6 7 B×f3 K×f3 8 Rb3 offers Black very good winning chances.

4 ...e3 5 f6!

This move enables the bishop to come back to g4 in some lines and is much better than 5 Bd5? f2+ 6 Kf1 Kg3 and wins.

5 ...Kg3

Other moves also lead to a draw:

(A) 5...f2+ 6 Kf1 Kg3 7 Bg4! K×g4 8 Kg2 Rc7 9 Rh1 Rh7 10 f7 R×f7 11 h7 e2 (11...f1=Q+12 R×f1 R×h7 doesn't change the result) 12 Rh4+ Kg5 13 Rh5+ Kg6 14 Rh6+ with perpetual check, since if Black ventures to the f-file White wins by K×f2.

(B) 5... Rh2 6 Be4! (6 Kd1? Rh1 + 7 Kc2 R×b1 wins; as White must waste time recapturing the rook to prevent ... Rb8) Rh1 + 7 Bf1 e2 8 Kd2 (8 Kt2 loses to 8... Rh2 + 9 Kg1 e1=Q 10 R×e1 f2+11 K×h2 fxe1=Q) e×f1=Q (8... R×h6 9 B×c2 is an easy draw, while 8... R×f1 9 f7 is certainly not favourable for Black 9 R×k1 R×h6 (of course, not 9... R×f1 10 f7) 10 f7 Rf6 11 Ra1 R×f7 12 Ke1 Kg3 (12... Rh7 is also answered by 13 Ra8) 13 Ra8 (not 13 Kf1? Rh7 and Black wins) Rg7 14 Kf1 and draws.

6 Kd1 Rd2+

Not 6...Rh2 7 f7 e2+ 8 Kd2.

7 Kc1 Rd8

After 7...f2? 8 Bc4 e2 9 K×d2 White surprisingly stops the pawns.

8 Kc2 e2

Threatening ...f2, but it would have been a mistake to play 8...f2 first, as 9 Be4 Re8 10 Kd3 R×c4 11 f7 Rc8 (11...Rf4 I2 h7) 12 K×c3 Rf8 13 h7 leaves White winning.



Not 11 K×c4? f2 12 h7 f1=Q and Black wins, but White could have drawn more easily by 11 f7! Rc8 (11...Rf4 12 h7) 12 Kc3 (to prevent ...Kf2) Kg2 13 h7, reaching a position in which neither side can attempt to win.

11Kh2

11...Kf2 12 K×c4 K×g1 transposes to the game.

12 K×c4 K×g1

Black could have caused more problems with; 12...121 although 13 h7 (13 f7? f1=Q) f1=Q (the alternatives 13...K×g1 14 h8=Q e1=Q) 15 Qg7+ K11 16 f7 and 13...f×g1=Q 14 h8=Q+ Kg2 15 Qg7+ K11 64 (2xg1 + K×g1 17 f7 also lead to draws) 14 h8=Q+ K×g1 15 Qg7+ K12 (15...Qg2 16 \times Qg2+) 16 Qa7+ K13 17 Qb7+ K14 (the only way Black can make progress is to capture the White pawn, so as to allow the interposition of his queep 118 Qc7+ K15 19 Qd7+ K×f2 02 Qd8+ K15 21 Qf8+ Kg4 (it is interesting to compare this ending with that arising in the game Estrin–Pytel from Chapter 10) 22 Qg7+ K13 23 Qf6+ Kg2 24 Qg5+ Kb2 (4...K12 25 Qf4+ Ke12 8 Qc1+) 25 Oh4+ Qh3 26 Qf2+ Qg2 27 Qh4+ Kg1 28 Qe1+ leads, nevertheless, to a draw.

13 f7 e1=Q

13...f2 14 f8=Q f1=Q 15 Qg7+ Kh1 16 Qb7+ is similar to the last note.

14...Qe4+ 15 Kc3 f2 16 Qg7+ Kh1 17 h7 is another line leading to a draw.

15 Qg7+

15...Kf1 16 h7 or 15...Kh1 16 Qb7+ are both draws.

The next position was analysed in various publications and resulted in a notable difference of opinion.



Matulovic-Dueball, Vratsa 1975

....K×f3?

Dueball, analysing in Informator, gave this move two question marks whereas Barcza, analysing in The Chess Player, gave it two exclamation marks. Who was right? Dueballs' winning' line, 1...Bd4, also leads to a draw, so the move should not affect the result of the game. However, Black is definitely fighting for the draw after 1...Kr373 so I think that one question mark is about right! After 1...Bd4 2 R×f5+ Ke3 3 Kd5! (White must prevent the advance of the C-pawn) there are various moves:

(A) 3...e6+ 4 K×e6 c4 5 Kd5 c3 6 Rh5 c2 7 Rh1 Bb2 (White threatens Rc1, so Black must move his bishop and if 7...Bc5 then 8 Kc6 Kd2 9 f4 c1=Q 10 R×c1 K×c1 II f5 Kb2 12 f6 Ka3 13 f7 draws) 8 Kc6 and captures the last pawn.

(B) 3...Kd3 4 Rf4 e5 (4...Bc3 5 Re4 b5 6 R×e3+ K×e3 7 K×c5 K×f3 8 K×b5 e5 9 a4 and both sides promote) 5 Rh4 (5 Re4 is also good) c4 6 f4 e4 7 f5 c3 8 R×e4 Be3 9 f6 c2 10 Re4 c1=Q 11 R×c1 B×c1 12 f7 Ba3 13 Ke6, with again an easy draw.

(C) 3...b5 4 R7 (the simplest move) e5 5 Rb7 e4 (5...b4 6 a3 b×a3 7 Rb3+ and 8 R×a3 draws) 6 a4 b×a4 (6...c3 7 a×b5 is simple) 7 K×4 K×f3 8 Kb4, followed by the elimination of every Black pawn. (The above lines are based on an analysis by A. Becker in *Deutsche Schachzeitung*.)

From now on Dueball plays very accurately to hold the game. The alternative line 2...Ke2? (2...Ke4? 3 R×c3 I4 A Rc4+Kc3 5 Kc5 I3 6 Rc3+Kc2 7 Kc4 Tansposes to the analysis of 2...Kc2) 3 R×c3 I4 (3...Kd2 4 Rb3 c4 5 R×b6 I4 6 Kd5 c3 7 Kc4 c2 8 Rc6 c5 9 a4 c1=Q 10 R×c1 K×c1 11 a5 Kc1 21 a6 Kc2 13 a7 T3 I4 a8=0 21 I5 0a6+Kc1 16 Kc3, with a win for White) 4 Kc5 I3 5 Kc4 I2 6 Rc2 I+Kc1 7 Kc3 If=N+8 Kd3 Ng3 (to prevent 9 Rc2+) 9 Rb2 and White's outside a-pawn will be decisive, as none of the Black picces are in a reasonable position to stop it. The bad position of Black's king in this line persuades Dueball to put it out of harm's way on g2.

3	R×c3	f4
4	Rc2+	Kg1!

4...Kg3? wastes time, as the king must return in order to promote the f-pawn, so White wins after 5 Rb2 f3 6 R×b6 f2 7 Rb1 c4 8 Kd5 c3 9 Kd4 c2 10 Rc1 Kg2 (or else White just pushes his a-pawn) 11 R×c2 Kg1 12 R×f2, promoting the pawn.

5 Rc1+

5 Rb2 f3 6 R×b6 f2 7 Rb1+ f1=Q 8 R×f1 + K×f1 9 Kd5 Ke2 10 a4 Kd3 11 K×c5 (or else 11...c4) e5 only leads to a drawn position.

5	Kg2
6 Kd5	f3
7 Kc6	f2
8 K×b6	e5

8...fl=Q 9 R×f1 K×f1 10 K×c5 is winning for White, but Black could have drawn more comfortably by 8...c4! 9 Kc5 (not now 9... c3? 10 Kc4 c51 11 K×c3 Kf3 12 Rb1? e4 13 Rb8 Kc2 14 R18 c3 15 Kd4 Kd2 16 a4 or 11...e4 12 Kd4 Kf3 13 Rc8, and in both cases White wins) c51 10 K×c4 Kf3 11 a4 (there is no choice, the idea of playing the rook to the eighth being impossible as the Black pawn promotes with check) c4 12 a5 c3 13 a6 c2 14 a7 c1=O 15 u8=O+ Oc4+ 16 Q×c4+ K×c4, followed bw ...Kc3-c2.

9 K×c5 Kf3!

As above, 9...e4? loses to 10 Kd4 Kf3 11 Rc8 e3 12 Rf8+ Ke2 13 a4.

10 a4

10 Rb1 is pointless, as 10...e4 11 Rb8 e3 12 Rf8+ Kg4 even wins for Black.

10	e4
11 a5	e3
12 a6	e2

Although White can give a lot of checks it is impossible for him to make any real progress and the game concluded 14...Kg3 15 Qg8+ Kb2 16 Qh7+ Kg3 17 Qg6+ K51 18 Qh5+ Kg3 19 Qg5+ Kf3 20 Qd5+ Kg3 21 Qd3+ Kg2 22 Qd5+ (even 22 Rc2 Qa5+ 23 Kc6 Qa4+ and 2...Qv2) is a draw) Kg3 23 Qg5+ Kf3 24 Qh5+ Kg3 $\frac{1}{2}$.

Black's wayward knight on g2 is largely responsible for his defeat in the following position.



Gufeld-Smyslov, USSR 1975

1 c5!

Preparing a further sacrifice. After 1 b6 $a \times b6$ 2 $a \times b6$ Ne4+ (2... R×c4 3 b7 R×c6 4 b8=Q K×c7 5 Qa7+ offers White good winning chances after 5...Ke8 6 Q×f2 Rg6 7 Kd3) 3 Ke2 (3 Kc1 Nd6 is also a clear-cut draw) Nc5 White loses his c-pawn and any winning chances.

1	Ne4+
2 R×e4	R×e4
3 b6	

Threatening 4 b×a7 Re8 5 Nb8.

3

...Re8

After 3...a×b6 4 <×b6 Ne3 5 b7 Res 6 a6 Ne4 + 7 Kd3 Nd6 8 b8=Q R×b8 9 N×b8 Nc8, White reaches a winning knight ending and finishes Black off by 10 Kc4 Ke7 11 Kc5 Kd8 12 Kc6 Ne7 + 13 Kb7 Nc8 14 Nc6+ Kd7 15 Ne5+ Kd8 16 Kb8 (zugzwang) Nb6 17 a7 Na8 (17...Ke7/c8 18 Kb7) 18 Kb7 Nc7 19 Nc4 Na8 (19...Kd7 20 Nb6+ and both 20...Kd8 21 Kc6 and 20...Kd6 21 Nd51 win for White) 20 Nb6 Nc7 21 Kc6 and White wins.

4 N×a7

4 b×a7 Ra8 and 4 b7 Ke6 5 b8=Q R×b8 6 N×b8 Kd5 offer White no winning chances.

4 ...Ne3

Or 4...Ke6 5 b7 Kd7 6 a6 Kc7 (6...Ne3 7 Nc8! when 7...R×c8 8 a7 and 7...Ke7 8 Nd6 followed by 9 a7 are winning for White) 7 Nb5+ Kc6 8 Nd6 Rd8 9 a7 R×d6+ 10 c×d6 K×b7 11 d7 and White promotes.

5 a6 Nc4+

The critical line is 5...Ke6 (5...Ke5 is also answered by 6 Nc8!) 6 Nc8! (6 K×c3? Kd5+ is a draw after both 7 Kf4 X×c5 8 h7 Kb6 9 Nc8+ Kc7 10 Nd6 Rf8+ 11 Kc5 Kb6 12 Nc8+ Kc7 13 Nd6 and 7 Kd3 K×c5 8 b7 Kb6 9 Nc8+ Kc7, as 10 Nd6 fails to 10...Rd8) Nc4+ (6...Kd7 7 ar wins) 7 Kc5 N×b6 (or 7...Na8 kb7 Nc6 9 a7 N×a7 10 b8=Q N×c8 11 Qc7 with a winning position, while 7...R×c8 8 K×c4 wins at once.9 8 N×b6 (threatening a7) Rc7 9 Kc4 Ra7 10 Kb5 Rc7 11 c6 Kd6 12 Nc4+ Kd5 13 Na5, followed by Kb6 and a7, when White wins.

6 Kc3	Ne5
7 b7	Ke6
8 c6	Kd5

Or 8...Kd6 9 Nc8+ and 10 a7.

9 c7

1-0

To end the chapter, a simple position, Only a couple of accurate moves are necessary to force the draw in the following ending.



Parma-Gligoric, Bled 1961

White's b-pawn cannot be defended, so he has only one winning attempt:

1 N×a5!

Now if 1...B×a5 2 b4 Bc7 3 a5 Kb3 4 a6 Bh2 (4...Bb8 5 b5 Ka4 6 b6 wins) 5 Kc6 Bg1 6 b5 Ka4 7 b6 White wins.

1

...Kb2!

White is curiously immobilised. After 2 Kb5 Ka3 3 Kb6 Kb4 4 Ka6 Be1 or 2 Kb6 Ka3 3 Kb5 Be1 4 Kb6 Kb4 White can move absolutely nothing, while 2 b4 Ka3 drops a pawn immediately. Instead White decides to cover the pawn on b3, so as to threaten to move the knight.

2	Kc4	B×a5!
~	1807	

Now this is possible.

3 b4	Bb6
4 a5	Bf2
5 a6	

5 b5 Ka3 6 b6 Ka4 7 b7 Ba7 also leads to a draw.

5		Ba7	
6	Kb5	Kc3	
7	Ka4	Bb6	
		1 1	

2-2

10 Rook endings

When collecting positions for this book I discovered that a surprisingly large percentage of them were rook endings. Whether this is because rook endings are the most common type of ending in practice or because rook endings are more often tactical than other endings is hard to say; probably both factors are relevant. Rook endings are notoriously difficult to play and the positions in this chapter bear out this opinion, since most of them were inaccurately played.

First there are some positions in which one side has a rook and the other doesn't. Naturally there must be some compensation in the way of passed pawns for the other player, the number varying between two and five (!).



Milenkovic-Stankov, Yugoslavia 1970

A spectacular winning move, but as an objective annotator I should point out that more mundane methods were also effective, e.g. 1... Rcl 2 b6 Rbl 3 b7+ (3 Ka7 g5 4 hd g×h4 5 f4, attempting to force stalemate by 6f3 and 7 b7+ Kc7 8 b8=O+, fails to 5... R×b6 6 K×b6 Kb8 [Kc7 4 hd g5 5 h5 (White is trying to exhaust his temp) on the kingside and then force stalemate by playing a7) Rb61 6 Ka7 h6 7 f4 g4 8 15 R×a64 + 9 K×a6 Kb8 winning.

2 b×c6

Black threatened 2... Rb6 and if 2 Ka7 Kc7, forcing 3 b×c6 (or else

^{...}Rc6

... Rb6), when it takes White longer to set up a stalemate on the queenside.

Fixing White's pawns. The only hope for White is to set up stalemate by a7 and c7.

Or 4 h4 g4 5 h5 g3 winning, as White is one tempo short.

4	f4
5 h4	g4
6 h5	h6!

and Black mates in four more moves.



A. Petrosian-Tsheshkovsky, USSR 1976

White played the natural **I Kf5** and the players agreed to a draw. But Black could have won in the final position! However, the process is by no means simple.

1 Kf5 b3!

The superficially tempting move is 1...c2, but then White draws by 2 Rd8+ Kc4 3 Kc4 Kc3 (3...b3 4 Rc8+ Kb4 5 Kd3 Ka3 6 R×c2 offers fewer chances) 4 Rc8+ Kd2 (4...Kb2 5 Kd3 b3 6 Kd2 is also drawn) 5 Rd8+ Kc2 (or 5...Kc1 6 Kd3 b3 7 Rc8 Kd1 8 Rh8 with a draw after both 8...Kc1 and 8...c1=N+) 6 Rc8 b3 7 Rc8 (160.nKg2 11 Rc3, followed by 12 Kd3 and 13 R×c2 draws) 11 Rg3+ and so on.

2 Rd8+ Kc5!

Not 2...Kc4? 3 Ke4 b2 (3...c2 transposes to the last note) 4 Rc8+

Kb3 5 Rb8+ Kc2 6 Kd4 with an easy draw.

3 Rc8+

Both 3 Ke4 b2 4 Rc8+ Kd6 5 Rb8 c2 and 3 Ke5 c2 win for Black.

3	Kd4
4 Rd8+	Ke3
5 Rb8	

If 5 Re8+ (5 Rc8 c2 is hopeless) Kd2 6 Rd8+ Kc1 7 Rd3 Kc2 and Black wins. White's 5 Rb8 is only possible because of the bad position of Black's king; but Black had no choice, since 4...Kc4 would have transposed to the note to Black's second move.

5 ...b2 6 Ke5

If 6 Rb3 Kd4 7 Rb4+ Kc5 and wins. After 6 Ke5 Black faces the problem of playing ... c2. This is only possible when the Black kings is on the first rank, but attempting to retreat immediately fails, for example 6...Kd2? 7 Kd4 or 6...Kc2? 7 Kd4. Black must first lure the White king to the right, so that when Black plays his king to the second rank White cannot reply by attacking the c3 pawn with his king.

6Kf3!

6...Kd3 7 Kd5 still wins, but only if Black repeats by 7...Ke3. Now, however, the threat is 7...c2 8 Rb3+ Kg4, so the White king must oppose.

7 Kf5 Ke2!

Attempting to repeat the trick by 7...Kg3? is unwise, due to 8 Rb3! Kh4 (8...Kf2 9 Ke4 Ke2 10 Kd4 Kd2 11 Kc4 is zugzwang) 9 Rb4+ Kh5 10 Rb8 Kh6 11 Kf6 Kh7 12 Rb7+ with a draw.

8 Ke4	Kd1
9 Kd3	c2
10 Rh8	c1=N+!

and 11...b1=Q winning.

The next position also sees the side with pawns forced to manoeuvre cleverly in order to win.


Kasimov-Komay, Israel 1979

First let's look at the game continuation:

1 ...h2?

Although Black advances both pawns to the seventh rank easily enough it is hard for him to bring the king up to support them, since White can sometimes capture one pawn and at the same time pin the other.

2	Rh1!	f2
3	Kc6	Ke5

and after 4 Kc5 K×e4 5 Kc4 Ke3 (this makes no difference—Black has to go to f3 next move) 6 Kc3 Kf3 (6...Ke2 7 R×h2) 7 Kd3 Kg2 8 Ke2 the players agreed to a draw.

Another bad idea is 1...£2? 2 Rf1! (White must force the other pawn to the seventh, since 2 Rh1? Ke5 transposes to the note to Black's first move in the line below) h2 3 Kc6 Ke5 4 Kc5 K×c4 5 Kc4 Kc3 (S...Kf3 6 Kd3 Kg2 7 Kc2 is also drawn) 6 Rh1! Kf3 7 Kd3, with the same position as in the last line. The correct plan is to bring the king up first and to retain the option of pushing either pawn to the seventh.

1 ...Ke5!

Now moves with the rook lose, for example 2 Rh1 12.3 Kc6 (3 Rf1 h2 4 Kc6 K×4 5 Kc5 Kf3 and 6..Kg2 wins) K×e4 4 Kc5 Kf3! (intending 5..Kg2 and 6..if20 5 R×h3+ Kg2 winning, or 2 Rf1 K×e4 (not 2...h2? 3 Kc6! K×e4 4 Kc5 Kc3 5 Kc4 Kc2 6 Rh1 or 4...f25 Kc4, with a draw in both cases) 3 Kc6, transposing into the main line. So White moves his king.

2	Kc6	K×e4
3	Rf1	

Or 3 Rg4+ (3 Kc5 f2 4 Rh1 Kf3 wins) Kc5! (not 3...Kc3? 4 Rh4, with a draw) 4 Rg1 Kf4! 5 Kd5 f2 6 Rg8 (6 Ra1 Kf3 and 7...Kg2) Kc3 7 Rc8+ Kd2 8 Rf8 Kc2 9 Rc8+ Kd1 10 Rf8 h2 and wins.

3 ...Kf4!

Not 3...h2? 4 Kc5, transposing to the note to Black's first move, nor 3...Ke3? 4 Rh1.

4 Kc5

If White blocks the d-file by 4 Kd5 Black wins more easily by 4...Kg3 5 Rg1+ Kh2 6 Rg8 f2 7 Rf8 Kg2 8 Rg8+ Kf3 9 Rf8+ Ke2 10 Re8+ Kd1 (10...Kd2? 11 Rf8 repeats) 11 Rf8 h2, promoting a pawn.

4	Kg3
5 Rg1+	Kh2
6 Rg8	f2
7 Rf8	Kg3!

With the king on c5 going across the board fails to win: 7...Kg2 8 Rg8+ Kt3 9 RR8+ Kc2 10 Rc8+ Kd1 11 Rd8+ Kc1 (11...Kc2 12 RR8 draws at once) 12 Ra81 k2 (the only way to avoid a repetition) 13 Ra1+ Kd2 14 Rh1 (threatening R×h2) Kc3 15 Kd5 Kd3 16 Kc5 Kc3 17 Kc4 Kl3 18 Kd3 with a draw, as in the game continuation. So instead Black goes up the board.

8 Rg8+

If 8 Kd4 the previous plan works, e.g. 8...Kg2! (8...h2? 9 Kc3 draws) 9 Rg8+ Kf3 10 Rf8+ Ke2 11 Rc8+ Kd1 12 Rf8 h2.

> 8 ...Kh4 9 Rf8

If White keeps checking Black plays ... Kg5-h6-g7.

9		h2
10 Rh8+	9	Kg5
11 Rg8+		Kh6
12 Rb8+		Ko7

and wins.

The next example contains a number of errors by both players, but as a result, an extraordinary position is arrived at!



Stean-A. Herzog, Greifensee 1972

Both sides were very short of time to reach move 40, which is move 9 in the score below. White has a passed pawn which cannot be stopped, since the Black king is cut off, so Black's hopes lie entirely with the counterplay offered by his kingside pawn mass.

1 Kh2!

The best move, forcing Black to sacrifice in order to mobilise his pawns.

I ...g×f3!

An extraordinary idea, which just draws in several lines! The alternative was 1...Bl 2 f×g4 e4, when again it is not easy to see how White can win, e.g. 3 c5 (3 Rdl Bd3 and the Black king can come over to stop the e-pawn) e3 4 Kgl Bh3 5 Rd3 (5...13 was a threat) B×g4 6 c6 Kf6 7 c7 Ke5 8 Rd8 15 9 c8c D B×c8 10 R×c8 Kf4, with a probable draw. The move Black chose has the merit of being more likely to confuse an opponent in time trouble.

Black also scrapes a draw after 3 Kh2 c3 (3...g4 4 Kg] c3 loses to 5 Rd4, but 4...c3, transposing, is possible) 4 Kgl c2 (4...g4 5 Rd4 c2 Rx4H+ Kg6 7 Kl2I g3+ 8 Kel g2 9 Rg4+ transposes, but White should avoid 7 Re4 g3 and Black wins—7 R×g4+ Kf5 8 Kf2 K×g4 9 c5 is another draw, however) 5 Kf2 g4 6 Rd4 g3+ 7 Kei g2 8 R×4H+ Kg6 9 Rg4+ Kf5 10 Rg8 Ke4 11 Kf2 (11 c5 Ke3 12 Re6+ Kd3 13 Kf2 Kd2 transposes) Kd3 12 c5 Kd2 13 Rd4+ Kc2 14 Re6 Kd2 15 c6 g1=Q+ 16 K×g1 e1=Q+ 17 R×e1 K×e1 18 c7 12+ 19 Kh2 f1=Q 20 c8=O Qf4+, when the half-point is not far away.

3	f2
4 Rd1	e3

5	Kf3	g4+
6	Ke2	g3

Black could have set a trap with 6...Ke6 7 c5 Ke5, for then 8 c6? loses to 8...Ke4 9 c7 B+10 Kf1 g3 mating, while 8 Rf1? intending 8...Ke4 9 R+Z1 B+10 Ke1 g3 mating, while 8 Rf1? intending a Ke4 9 R+Z1 B+1 to Ke1 e +Z2 +11 K+Z1 Kf41 2 c6 g3+1 3 Kf1, with a draw, fails as Black plays 10...g31 in this line and wins. The only good move is 8 Rc1! when 8...Ke4 9 Re4+ is a draw by repetition, while 8...g3 9 Kf3 transposes into what should have been the main line.

7 Kf3 Ke6

Not 7...g2 8 K×g2 e2 9 Rd7+ Ke6 10 K×f2 K×d7 11 K×e2, with a winning king and pawn ending.

8 c5 on d 8

By providing a check on d6 White again prevents ...g2.

...a5??

Black sees that 9 c6 g2 10 K×g2 c2 11 c7 leads to a draw after 11...f1=O+ or 11...e×d1=O, but both players miss the reply 9 Rc!! Kd5 (9...Kd7 10 c6+ Kc7 11 a3 and the advance of the b-pawn decides) 10 c6 Kd4 11 c7 with an immediate win for White.

9 a3? Ke5

The time control had been reached now and we can imagine the players settling down to assess the position. Black could have played 8..Ke51 and arrived at this position without the intervening moves ...a5 and a3. What is the difference? Surprisingly this small change in the position is the difference between a draw and a win for White!

10 Rc1

Black was finally threatening 10...g2 so White was forced to move the rook.

10		Kd4
11	c6	Kd3

109a



w

Black in fact resigned at this point (during the adjournment).

13 Rc3+ Kd2 14 Rc2+ is a draw, but White has better.

The play has been forced up to here, but now White must choose the most effective check.

15 Qc4+

Attempting to deliver mate by 15 Qd7+ Ke4 or 15 Qc4+ Kd2 16 Rc2+ Kd1 gives White nothing, so he just regains his material.

15	Kd2
16 Q×f1	Q×c1

Or 16...Qe6+ (16...Q×f1 17 R×f1 Ke2 18 Ra1 wins) 17 K×f4 Qf7+ $18 \text{ K} \times \text{g3} \text{ Qg6}$ + 19 Kh2 Qh5+ 20 Kg1 Qg4+ 21 Qg2+, with a winning king and pawn ending.

17 Q×f4+	Kc2
18 Q×c1+	K×c1
19 K×g3	

Now we see the significance of the pawn moves on the queenside. If Black had played 8...Kc5! he would now draw with ...Kb2, but as it is 19...Kb2 loses after 20 b4 a4 21 b5 K×a3 22 b6 and his pawn is only on the sixth when White promotes.

Black has a record number of passed pawns in the next position.



Bangiev-Zilberman, USSR 1975

Who is better? Black has an army of passed pawns, but White too can promote a pawn. The game finished 1...3272 **15** (Black has cunningly immobilised all his pawns) 65 (2...a2 3 Rel doesn't help) **3 h6 c4+** 4 **K64** and Black resigned, since 4...a2 5 Rel c2 (5...b3 6 K×3 d4+7 K02 wins as well) 6 h×g7 b5 is too late. This position was analysed by Boleslavsky and Kapengut in *The Chess Player* and they concluded that Black west better and gave a complicated line ending in a draw. In the original edition of this book I provided some more analysis, but again concluded that the position should be a draw. Since then I have discovered that almost all this analysis is wrong and over the past few years I have been convinced alternately that Black/White is winning. Now I am back to my original conclusion of a draw, but for completely different reasons.

This move is best, even though 2 h5 also leads to a draw after 2...e4+ 3 Ke2 (3 Kd4 c2 4 Rel b3 5 h6 b2 6 h×g7 b1=Q 7 g8=Q Q×18 + is a draw) d41 (3...c2 4 Kd2 d4 allows 5 Rel1) 4 h6 (4 Re7+ Kc6 5 h6 d3+ 6 Kf3 tc2 7 h×g7 d2 8 g8=Q d1=Q+ is very unclear, although surely not bad for Black, and the same comment applies to 6 Kf2 c2 7 h×g7 c1=Q 8 g8=Q Qf4+, although here Black's advantage is a little more concrete, since he picks up the rook in a couple of moves) c2 (4...d×c3 5 h×g7 c2 6 g8=Q c1=Q allows an immediate draw by perpetual check) 5 Re7+ Kc6 6 Kd2 c3+7 K×c2 b3+ 8 Kd3 b2 9 Re1 (not 9 Kc2 d3+1 t0 Kb1 a3 winning) a3 10 h×g7 a2 11 g8=Q b1=Q+ 12 R×b1 a×b1=Q+ 13 K×d4 c2 and again White gives perpetual check.

After 2 Re2 Black can only save himself by very accurate play. There are two plausible lines, 2...d4 and 2...c4+.

A) · 2

...d4?

This move should lose. Once again there are two possibilities:

A1) 3 h5

This is the obvious move, but now Black can draw.

3		b3
4	h6	b2!

4...c4+ (4...c2? 5 R×c2 wins) is not so clear since after 5 K×d4 (5 K×c4 ecz) c2 (5...b2? 6 K×c3 bl=Q 7 Rb2+ wins) 6 h×z7 c1=Q 7 gg=Q Q1+ Black can try 7...O[4+ but the queen and rook control enough squares to make perpetual check impossible; however it may be that if the king occupies of or σ , say, Black can play...b2 because the rook cannot enter the attack with check) 8 Kc5 Q×c2 9 Qd5+ White still has winning chances. If Black allows his king to be driven into the corner he loses, for example 9...Ka7 10 Qd7+ Ka6 11 Qc6+ Ka7 12 Qc7+ Ka8 13 g7 Ql2+ (or 13...Qc3+ 14 Kb5 Qg5+ 15 Ka6) 14 Kb5 Qf5+ 15 Kb6i Ql2+ 16 Ka6 followed by Ob6. Thus Black should try 9...Kc7 but even here White has good prospects. Since 4...b2l is a forced draw it is a much better move.

5 Rel

White should avoid 5 Kc2 d3+ 6 K×c3 d×c2 and 5 R×b2+ c×b2 6 Kc2 a3 7 h×g7 d3+.

5		a3
6 1	n×g7	a2
7 ;	38=Q	b1=Q+
8 1	Kc4	

Again White would risk losing if he tried 8 Ke2.

8 ...Q×el

8...Qb4+9 Kd3 Qb1+ is another way to draw.

9 Qd5+

with perpetual check since if the king tries to slip away via the e-file White can force it back with Qf7+.

A2) 3 Rc2!

The manoeuvre Re2-c2 is particularly paradoxical in that the time element would appear to be of paramount importance, and this suggests pushing the h-pawn. However the possibility of sacrificing the rook at c3 slows Black down and White gains more time than he expends with the rook move.

3 ...Kc6

Or 3...b3 (3...a3 4 Kc4 leads to the complete blockade of the black

pawns, e.g. 4...d35 K×d3 b3 6 R×c3 b2 7 Kc2) 4 R×c3 b2 5 Kc2 d×c3 6 h5 a3 7 Kb1 and Black's king is too far away from b3.

4 h5 Kd5

4...Kb5 5 h6 b3 6 h×g7 b2 7 g8=Q b1=Q 8 Qb8+ wins, as does 4...a3 5 h6 b3 6 R×c3 b2 7 Kc2 d×c3 8 Kb1.

5 R×c3!

The simplest, although 5 h6 should also win.

5		d×c3
6	h6	c4+
7	Kc2	Kd4

In other lines black loses because White promotes with check.

8	h×g7	b3+
9	Kel	

and Black's counterplay is one tempo too slow.

B)	2	c4+

With the right follow-up this leads to a draw.

3 Kd4 a3

After $3...c2 + R \times c2$ b3 (4...a3 5 h5 b3 also transposes) 5 Rc1 Black has nothing better than 5...a3 leading to variation B1.

4 h5!

The move 4 Kc5, recommended by the Russians and by myself, actually loses after 4...c2 (4...b3? 5 Rc7+ is a draw after 5...Ka6 6 Re6+, since 5...Kb8? loses after 6 Kb6 Kc8?, Kc6 Kd8 8 R×27 followed by Rd7+ and 10 g7) 5 Rc7+ Kc8! (5...Ka67 6 Kc6 cl=Q 7 Rc8 Ka7 8 Rc7+ is only a draw) 6 Re1 (6 Kc6 Kd8) r no improvement; all it does is to prolong the game by two moves) b3 7 h5 cl=Q! (with this move Black arranges to promote on al instead of b1 oc 1; -1.2 8 h6 b1=Q 9 h×g7 Q×c1 10 g8=Q+ allows White to force perpetual check) 8 R×162 9 R11 (the best chance) a2 10 Kc6 (the plan with h6 no longer operates, because the al queen can check at a7) Kb8i (10...Kd8 11 Kd6 Kc8 12 Rc1 + and the king must return to d8 because 12...Kf8 13 Kd7 forces mate) 11 Rt8+ Ka7 12 Rt7+ Ka6 13 Rt8 Ka51 4 Kc5 Ka4 and Black wins because the White king cannot move to c4. Now there is a divergence:

B1) 4 ...c2?

After 4...a2 5 $R \times a2 b3 6 K \times c3$ (or 6 Ral c2 7 Kc3 d4+ 8 Kb2 d3 9 Kc3 d2 10 K×d2 b2 11 Ra7+!) b×a2 7 Kb2 White stops the Black pawns and promotes his own before Black's king can come to the rescue. The

play after 4...c2? is sufficiently interesting to be worth analysing in detail, even though Black has a clear drawing alternative.

> 5 R×c2 b3 6 Rc1

All other moves lose, for example 6 Kc3 d4+ 7 Kd2 b×c2 8 K×c2 (or 8 h6 a2) d3+ and Black promotes first.







6 ...Kc6!

Only a king move keeps Black in the game. The point is that an immediate pawn push loses:

1) 6...b2 7 Rb1 Kc6 (Black suffered from the unfortunate position of hisking on the b-file 3 Kc3 d4+ (if Black Plays to win the rook by ...2 Kxb2 axb1=Q+ then he loses because hisking is one square too far away from the kingside pawns) 9 Kc2 d3+ (9...c3 10 Kb3 or 9...Kc5 10 h6 Kb411h-xg7 wins) 10 Kc3 d2 11 Rd1 and the pawns are blockadc1. 2) 6...27 Kc3 Kb6 (7...d4+ 8 Kb2 wins a pawn) 8 h6 d4+ 9 Kb2 Kb5 10 hx2 Kb411 R×c4+ wins.

Therefore Black must move his king. Since ... Kb6 carries no threat he must play ... Ka6 or ... Kc6, so as to threat m ... b2. Certainly ... Kc6 cannot be worse than ... Ka6 and it has some advantages, namely that the king can move to c5 and Black avoids tactical problems arising because of the rook taking a pawn with check.

7 Rh1!!

Probably the only move to win. White must meet the threat 01...b2 and since 7 Ke3 loses to 7...d4+ this means a rook move along the first rank. 7 Rd1 (7 Rb1? a2 and 7 Ra1? b2 are immediate disasters) is tempting, but 7...b21 8 Ke3 d4+ 9 Ke2 c31 10 Kb3 a2 11 K×a2 2 wins. revealing that d1 is a vulnerable square. It doesn't seem to matter whether White chooses e1, f1, g1 or h1 but there is a vital difference, as we shall see. 7...2 8 Kc3 Kc5 (8...d4+ 9 Kh2 Kc5 10 Ka3! holds the pawns up for several moves) 9 Kb2 (threat Ka3) Kb4 10 Rh4! and White surprisingly blockades the pawns for long enough to win.

8 Kc3 d4+

8...Kc59h6d4+ transposes.

9 Kc2 Kc5

9...c3 10 Kb3 and 9...d3+ 10 Kc3 lose at once.

10	h6	Kb4
11	h7!	d3+
12	Kd2	

Not 12 Kb1?? Kb3 and White is mated.

12		c3+
13	K×d3	

13 Ke3? c2 enables Black to promote with check under more favourable circumstances, since the White king cannot hide from checks if the Black queen appears at c1.

> 13 ...a2 14 h8=Q b1=Q+

Now we can see the point of White's 7th and 11th moves. The rook is defended so White can afford to move his king.

15 Ke3!

After 15 Kd4? $0 \times g6$ the fourth rank is blocked and I cannot see a win since the rook is unable to enter the attack with check, e.g. 16 Ob8+ (16 Rb4 is clever, but Black can play 16...Od6+ 17 Kc3+ Kb3) Ka4 17 Qa7+ Kb3 (avoiding the check at c5) and White cannot make progress.

After 15 Ke3! Black has two alternatives but the attacking force of queen and rook is too strong:

i) 15...c2 16 Rh4+ Kb5 17 Ŏb8+ Ka5 18 Qa7+ Kb5 19 Qb7+ Ka5 20 Rh5+ Ka4 21 Qa6+ Kb3 22 Rb5+ and 23 Qc6 mate.

2) 15...Q×g6 16 Rh4+ Kb3 (15...Kb5 16 Qb8+ Kc5 17 Qb4+ Kc6 18 Rc4+ etc.) 17 Qb8+ Kc2 18 Rb2+ Kc1 19 Qf4! Qf6 (19...Qh6 20 R×h6 g×h6 21 Kd3+ wins) 20 Rh1+ Kb2 21 Qb4+ Kc2 22 Rh2+ Kc1 23 Qa3+ Kd1 24 Rh1+ Kc2 25 Q×a2 mate.

B2)	4	b3!
	5 h6	

Not 5 K×c3? d4+ and Black will promote first, nor 5 Kc5 a2 6 Re7+

Kc8 7 Kc6 Kd8 and White cannot even draw, since 8 Rd7+ Kc8 9 Ra7 b2 10 Kd6 al = O covers the mate.

> 5a2

This is the most dangerous move because Black will be able to advance his c-pawn with check after both sides promote. If Black pushes another pawn White is not worse, for example 5...b26 h×g7 b1=O7 98=0 Qd3+8 Kc5 Q×c29 Q×d5+ and only White can win.

6 Re1!

Not 6 h×g7 a1=Q 7 g8=Q c2+ 8 K×d5 (8 Kc5 Qa5+ is similar) Qa5+ 9 Kd4 (9 Kc6 c1=Q or 9 Kd6 Qc7+ 10 Kd5 c1=Q) Qb6+ 10 Kd5 Qc6+ 11 Kd4 Od6+ 12 Kc4 c1=O and Black wins.

6		b2
7	h×g7	a1=Q

7...b1=O 8 g8=O is much the same; Black has nothing better than to take the rook

8 g8=Q O×e1

With the rook on the first rank preventing the promotion of a second pawn Black cannot win.

9	Q×d5+	Kc7
10	Qc5+	Kd7
11	Od5+	

with a draw by perpetual check as 11...Ke8/c7 is met by 12 Qf7+ forcing the king back to the d-file.

Moving on to positions in which both sides have rooks, we start with two positions featuring a faulty resignation and a faulty draw agreement respectively!



Sax-Tsheshkovsky, Rovinj-Zagreb 1975

Black surprisingly resigned in this position, although the draw is not at all difficult

....Kh7!

1 Not 1...Kh6? 2 f7 Rc8 3 Rg8, however.

2 67

The only dangerous move. After 2 Rg7+ Black can draw with 2 ... Kh8 or 2...Kh6.

> 2 Deg!

The only move, 2...Rc6+ 3 Kd7 Rf6 4 Ke7 and 2...Re1+ 3 Kd7 Rf1 4 Ke7 Re1+ 5 Kf8 Rf1 (5...Rh1 6 Re3 and 7 Ke7 wins) 6 Rh3+ Kg6 7 Kg8 Rxf7 8 Rg3+ both win for White.

3 Kd7

Or 3 Ke7 Rc7+ 4 Ke8 Rc8+ and White can make no progress.

3Ra8

and next move Black starts checking from the side, which guarantees the draw.

The next position is no more complex.



Bogoljubov-Thomas, Hastings 1922

If 1 Rh8+ Kf7 2 Rh1 a2 3 Ra1 Ke8 4 Kc7 Ra3 5 Kc8 Ke7 6 c7 Ke8 Black draws, so White makes one last attempt to win,

1 Kc5a2?

1...Kd8! was the right way to cope with the threat of 2 c7, when White must take a draw, since 2 Kb5 a2 is dangerous only for White. Black must start checking, as he has no defence to the promotion threat.

2Ra5+

The game now concluded **3 Kb6 Ra6+ 4 Kc5 Ra5+ 5 Kc6 Ra6+ 6 Kd5 Ra5+ 7 Ke6 Ra6+** and a draw was agreed. Even in the final position White can still win but the quickest method is...

3 Kc4	Ra4+
4 Kb3	Ra3+
5 Kc2!	Rc3+

Or 5...a1=N+ 6 Kb2 winning.

6 Kb2!

winning the pawn, after which the Black rook is tied to the c-file and White just marches his king up the board to c8, reaching the Lucena nosition.

The next position is more subtle. White had a choice of two very similar variations, but alas he went for the wrong one.



Neustadt-Volkevic, Moscow Ch. 1958

1		Kf3
2	c4	Ke4!

2...Kg2 3 R×h2+ K×h2 4 c5 only draws, so Black correctly decides to attack the White pawn.

3 c5?

The losing move. White could have drawn by 3 Ke6! Kd4 (3...Rh6+ 4 Kf7 and now both 4...Kd4 5 Kg7 Rh3 6 Kg6 K×c4 7 Kg5 Kd4 8 Kg4 and 4...Kf3 5 Ke7! Kg2 6 R×h2+ K×h2 7 Kd7 Rh5 8 Kd6 load to a draw) 4 Kf6 K×c4 5 Kg6 Rh8 6 Kg5 Kd4 (or 6...Kd3 7 Kf4 Ke2 8 Kg3 Rg8+ 9 Kf4! Rg2 10 Ra1, when both 10...Kf2 11 Rh1 and 10...Kd3 11 Rh1 draw) 7 Kf4! (7 Kg4 would transpose to the game) followed by 8 Kg3 with a clear draw.

3	Rh6+
4 Ke7	

4 Kd7 Kd5 5 c6 Rh7+ loses the pawn under less favourable circumstances, as the White king is further from the Black pawn.

4	Kd5
5 Kf7	K×c5
6 Kg7	Rh3

This is similar to the drawing line given above, but with an important difference. White has a tempo less and in order to regain it he must attack the Black rook, but this involves putting the king on the unfavourable square g4, whereas in the drawing line White could go to f4 to keep the Black king out.

7 Kg6	Kd4
8 Kg5	Ke3
9 Kg4	Rh8
10 Kg3	Rg8+1
11 Kh4	

Or 11 Kh3 Kf2! 12 R×h2+ Kf3, winning the rook.

Kf3

11

0-1 despite White's more active king Black managed to win the game. Nevertheless. White was holding the draw until very near the end.



Gutman-Alburt, USSR 1st league 1978

After 1 Rb5? f3 2 R×b4 f2 3 Rb1 Rb2+ 4 R×b2 f1=Q Black would have the advantage, although objectively the result should probably be a draw.

...Ra2

A surprising, but good move. If 1...f3 (1...b3? 2 Rb5 f3 3 R×b3 f2 4 Rf3 wins for White) 2 a7 Ra2 3 Ra5 R×a5 4 K×a5 f2 5 a8=Q f1=Q 6 Qe4+ (defending both pawns) and 7 K×b4 should win for White.

2 a7

Other moves leading to draws are 2 Rb5 f3 3 R×b4 f2 4 Rb1 Rb2+ 5 R×b2 f1=O 6 a7 Of3 and 2 Ra5 b3 3 a7 b2 4 a8=O b1=O+ 5 Kc7 Oh7+ 6 Kb6, when Black should repeat moves, since 6...Rb2+ 7 Rb5 is good for White. The move played prevents 2...f3 due to 3 Ra5.

2	b3
3 Rb5	b2
4 c5	

Perhaps White was trying to win, for he could have drawn comfortably by 4 Kb7 (4 a8=0? R×a8 5 R×b2 Rb8+ wins) 15 5 a8=0 R×a8 6 R×b2 Rt8 7 Rt2. A similar line, also good enough for half a point. is 4 Kc6 f3 5 R×b2 R×a7 6 Rt2 Rt7 7 c5 Kc5 8 Kb6 Kf4 9 c6 Kg3 10 Rt1 (10 c7 R×c7 11 R×f3+ is again a draw) K×h4 11 c7 R×c7 12 K×c7 Kc3.

4	f3	
5 c6	f2	
6 c7	Kd7	
7 Rd5+		

Not 7 Kb7 R×a7+ 8 K×a7 f1=Q and wins.

7		Ke7
8	Rd1	

Forced. White cannot go back, since 8 Rb5 loses to $8...fl=O 9 c8=O Q \times b5+! 10 K \times b5 bl=O+.$

8 ...Ral 9 a8=0?!

A dubious move. White should have played 9 c8=Q b1=Q+10 R×b1 R×b1+11 Kc7 Rc1+ (not 11...f1=Q 12 Qd7+ Kf6 13 a8=Q Rc1+14 Kd8 Rd1 15 Qc6+ Kc5 16 Qc6+, followed by 17 Qf5+ and \mathbb{R} Q×f1, when White wins) 12 Kb8 R×a8+ (12...f1=Q sets the trap 13 a8=Q? Qf4+ 14 Ka7 Qd4+ 15 Kb8 Qd6+ 16 Ka7 Ra1+ 17 Kb7 Rb1+ mating, but 13 O×c1 is an immediate draw, while 13 Ob7+ may give White some winning chances) 13 K×c8 f1=O 14 a8=O with a draw.

0R×a8 10 Rb1

Preventing 10...Ra1, because after 11 c8=O Black cannot promote on b1. Of course, 10 Kb7 or 10 Rf1 would fail to 10...Ral 11 c8=Q b1=O+ and Black emerges a rook up.

....Rf8!

01 Black threatens 11...fl=Q and forces White's reply.

11 Rf1 Rg8

Now the threat is 12...Rg1 13 c8=Q b1=Q+, so White must either play Rb1 or move his king off the dangerous b-file.

12 Kc6??

Losing immediately. 12 Ka7 Kd6 13 Kb7 Rg1 is also bad, but 12 Rb1! would have drawn with careful play by White: 12...Kd6 13 h5! (13 Kb7? Rg7! 14 Rd1+ Ke5 15 Rb1 Rf7! 16 Rf1 Ke4 17 Kb8 R×c7 18 K×c7 Kd3 19 h5 Ke2 and White lacks one tempo to draw the game-note that 15 Rb1 was necessary, as 15...Re1 was a threat. and that 15...Rf7! avoided the loss of a tempo if White advances h5h6 before promoting his c-pawn) Ke5 14 h6 Rf8 15 Rf1 Ke4 16 Kb7 Kd3 17 c8=O R×c8 18 K×c8 Ke2 19 h7 K×f1 20 h8=O b1=O 21 Qh1+ Ke2 22 Q×b1 fl=Q and the position peters out to equality.

...Rg6+! 12

since Kc5/d5 allows ... Kd7, while moving to the b-file loses to 13... Rg1.

The following position is another sharp struggle involving a race between the rival sets of passed pawns.



115

w

Vogt-Espig, match 1975

White has an extra pawn, but Black's central passed pawns are well supported by his king. White has the advantage, but it will be a close race!

1 Ke2

ī

No:

The king should stay back to block the pawns. After 1 Kf4? e3 2 h5 (2 Kf3 Rb8! with the idea of 3...Rf8+ 4 Ke2 Ke4 is also good for Black) Rb8 3 g6 Rf8+ 4 Kg5 d3 5 g7 Rg8 6 h6 d2 Black wins.

...Ke5

preparing ...K44 and ...d3+. The alternative was 1...Ke4, but after 2 RC2+1 (2 h5 Kc3 3 g6 K×b2 4 g7 Rb8 5 h6 Kc2 6 h7 d3+ 7 Kc3 2 g8 g8=Q is also possible, when both 8...R×g8 9 h×g8=Q d1=Q 10 Qc4+ Kb2 11 K×c4 and 8...d1=Q 9 Q×b8 give White some winning chances, but 2 Rc2+ is probably stronger) kd5 (2...Kb3 3 Rc61 R×b5 4 g6 d3+ 5 Kd2 Rd5 6 g7 Rd5 7 Rd61 Re8 8 Kc3 Rg8 9 Rd7, followed by the advance of the h-pawn, wins for White 3 Rc8 R×b5 4 g6 Rb2+ 5 Kf1, White has good winning chances, for example 5...Rb1+ 6 Kg2 Rb2+ 7 Kh3 Rb3+ 8 Kg4 R19 H5 kc3 10 h6 e2 11 Re8 d3 12 g7 Rg1+13 Kt3 Kc5 (13...d2 14 Rd8+ and 15 K×c2) 14 R×c2 and wins.

2 h5

Kf5

Black chooses to defend passively, when White's extra pawn becomes an important factor. Informator claimed a draw after 2...KH, but it seems that White can still win: 2...KH as g6 d3+ 4 Kd2 Rd6 5 Rh4 Kf3 6 R×e4 K×e4 7 g7 Rd8 8 h6 Ra8 9 h7 Ra2+ 10 Kc3 d2 11 g8=O d1=O12 Qe6+1 (Informator gave only 12 Q×a2 Od4+ 13 Kb3 Qd5+ 14 Ka3 Qc5+ with perpetual check) and now:

(A) 12...Kf4 13 O×a2 Qc1 + (13...Qf3+ 14 Kb4 stops all checks) 14 Qc2 Qc3+ (14...Qa3+ 15 Kc4 or 14...Qa1+ 15 Kb3) 15 Kb2 Qc5+ (15...Qd4+ 16 Qc3 Qf2+ 17 Kb3) 16 Qc3 Q×b5+ (16...Qc2+ 17 Ka3) 17 Ka3 Qa6+ 18 Kb3 and wins.

(B) 12...Kf3 13 Q×a2 Qc1+ (13...Qe1+ 14 Qd2, and now both 14...Qe5+ 15 Kc2 and 14...Qa1+ 15 Kc4 Qa4+ 16 Qb4 win for White) 14 Qc2 Qc1+ (14...Qa1+ 15 Kb3 or 14...Qa3+ 15 Kc4) 15 Kb2 and Black must resign.

3 g6 Kf6

Or 3...Kg5 4 g7 Rb8 5 Rb1! Kh6 (5...K×h5 6 g8=Q R×g8 7 Rh1+ wins) 6 Rg1 Rg8 7 b6 Kh7 (7...K×h5 8 b7 Kh6 9 b8=Q picks up the rook) 8 b7 c3 9 Kd3 Kh6 (there is nothing else) 10 Rb1 Rb8 11 g8=Q and wins.

4 Rb4 Rd6

If 4...Kg7 5 R×d4 R×b5 6 Rd7+ Kh6 (6...Kf6 7 Rf7+ or 6...K*8

7 h6) 7 Rh7+ Kg5 8 g7 Rb8 9 Rh8, forcing promotion.

5 b6	d3+
6 Kd1!	e3
7 b7	d2
8 Rb1	Rd8

All forced, but now White can liquidate to an ending of R+2P v R, which is an easy win thanks to the bad position of Black's king.

9 b8=Q	e2+
10 K×e2	d1=Q+
ll R×dl	R×b8
12 Rd7	Kg5

White will play 13 Rh7 against anything except 12...Rh8, when 13 Rf7+ Kg5 14 Rh7 wins.

13 Rh7

1-0

as, barring checks, White's next three moves will be g7, h6 and Rh8.

116





Estrin-Pytel, Albena 1973

This fascinating ending was analysed in the British Chess Magazine (Aug. 1974) by P. Griffiths and he concluded that both sides conducted the ending accurately. However, this conclusion needs to be modified, as we shall see. The position also appeared in *Informator*, with analysis by Estrin and the editors, coming to the same conclusion as Griffiths.

1 Ra7+?

White could have won here with 1 e6, not mentioned in *Informator*. The analysis continues 1 e6 (1 d7? Rd1 2 e6 Kt6 only succeeds in blocking the pawns, as $3 d8=O R \times d8 a 2 5 Ra8 b3$ is winning for Black P de1 + (1...Kf2 c 27 Re1 + 3 Kd3 wins easily) 2 Kt5! (2 Kd5 only draws after 2...b3! 3 R×a3 b2 4 Rg3+ Kf6 5 Rf3+ Kg7, with a draw by repetition, while 3 d7? b2 is, if anything, better for Black b3 (2...Rf1+ 3 Kg5 wins after 3...Rf8 4 Ra7+ Kg5 5 c7 or 3...Rg1+ 4 Kh4 Rh1+ 5Kg3 Rg1+ 6 Kf2) 3 Ra7+ Kh6 (3...Kf8 4 Rf7+ Kg8 5 d7 Rd1 6 c7 promotes a pawn) 4 R×a3 b2 5 Rb3 b1= 0 6 R×b1 R×b1 7 d7 and one of the pawns gets through.

1 ...Kg6

1...Kf8 2 c6 Re1+ 3 Kf5 Rf1+ 4 Kg4 wins comfortably, e.g. 4...b3 5 e7+ Kf7 6 Ra8 Re1 7 d7.

2 d7 Re1+

The interesting alternative line 2...Rd1 3 Ra6+ Kt7 4 Rd6 R×d6 5 e×d6 a2 6 d8=O a1=O leads to a queen and pawn ending which should be winning for White, for example 7 Oe7+ Kg6 (7...Kg8 8 Oe6+ Kf8 9 d7 is similar to the main line) 8 Oe6+ (*Informatior* and BCM both gave 8 Oe8+ Kg5 9 d7, but 9 Oe5+ (wins at once, while 8...Kg7 is more logical, since it deprives White of the option of hiding his king on g8 when Black starts checking Kg7 9 d7, and when Black starts checking White hides his king on b7, leaving Black with just one check on the h1-b7 diagonal, which can be shut of h9 Oc6. We can see that the queen is much better placed on c6 than on e8 since it can interpose on a wider variety of squares.

3	Kf4	Rf1+
4	Ke4	Rel+
5	Kf4	

The repetition was the consequence of White's time-trouble. Advancing the king was no help, e.g. 5 Kd5 Kf51 6 Kd6 Rd1+ (Griffiths gave the more complex 6...b3) 7 Ke7 K×e5 8 Ra5+ Ke4 9 Ra4 R×d7+ 10 K×d7 KK31 R×b4 Kc3, with a clear draw.

5 ...Rf1+ 6 Ke3 Rd1

The best move. If 6...Rf8 7 Ke4 (7 Kd4 Rb8 8 Kc5 allows a draw after 8...h59 R×a3 b2 10 Rg3+ K17 11 Rg1 Kc7 12 Rb1 K×d7) Rb8 8 Kd5 (8 6 Kf6 9 Kd5 Kc7 is fine for Black) b3 (8...Kf5 9 cd5 Kf6 10 Kd6 loses at once, because the threat of c7 can only be stopped by 10...R66+, when 11 Kc7 wins) 9 R×a3 b2 10 Rg3+ Kf5 (or 10..Kf7 11 c6+ Kc7 12 Rg7+ Kf6 13 R77+ Kg6 14 R11 b1=0 15 R×b1 R×b1 16 c7 winning) 11 R3+ Kg6 12 R11 b1=0 13 R×b1 R×b1 (14 Kc7 Kd1 15 Kc7 Kf5 or 14 Kc6 Rd1 15 Kc7 Kf5 to

e6 Ke5 are only draws) Rd1+ (Black has nothing better, as 15 e7 is threatened) 15 Ke5 Re1+ 16 Kf4 Rf1+ 17 Kg3 Rg1+ 18 Kh2 Rd1 19 e7 and White wins.



This is similar to the ending arising in the note to Black's second move, but somewhat better for Black as his king is more actively placed.

11 Qc8+

Black will certainly give perpetual check if White plays the immediate 11 d7, so White must try to get his queen to the most active possible square before playing d7. But he must also preyent the Black king from occupying c6, e.g. 11 Qf8+7 Kc6 12 Qc7+ Kd5, with a draw, as 13 d7 is impossible. Hence the check on c8.

11 ...Kg6?

Black's defeat can be pinned on this casual move. The point is that after d7 White's main weapon in preventing perpetual will be the interposition of the queen with check. With the king on g6 this can take place on a6, and so White can shelter his king by advancing to a7. After 11. Kg51 Black should hold the draw.

12 d7 Qe5+ 13 Kd2!

White's first task is to pick up the pawn on b4 with his king. If 13 Kd3 Qd5+ 14 Kc2 Qa2+ and White cannot approach the pawn, but now Black cannot halt the king march.

Ľ

Or 13...Qd5+ (13...Qb2+ is impossible, due to the position of Black's king) 14 Kc1! Qg5+ 15 Kb2, and so on.

14 Kc2 b3+

The pawn was doomed anyway. Now White heads for a7.

15 K×b3 Qd3+

If 15...Ob6+ 16 Ka4 Qa7+ 17 Kb5, White wins at once.

16 Ka4	Qd4+
17 Ka5	Qd5+
18 Kb6	Qd4+
19 Kb7	Qb4+
20 Ka7	Qd4+

An unsatisfactory check, but with the king on g6 he has no choice. Of course with the king on g5, 20...Qa5+ 21 Kb8 Qb6+ 22 Qb7 Qd8+ 23 Ka7 Qa5+ 24 Qa6 Qc7+ would draw here.

21 Ka8 Qd5+

Or 21...Qa4+ 22 Kb8 Ob4+ (22...Qf4+ 23 Oc7 Ob4+ 24 Ka7 Qa4+ 25 Kb7 wins) 23 Qb7 Qf8+ (23...Qf6+ 24 Qc7 is the same as the last bracket) 24 Ka7, followed by Qb6+ or Qd5+ (if Black moves his king to the 5th rank) and promotion.

22 Kb8	Qe5+
23 Qc7	Qb5+
24 Ka7	

1-0

as 24...Qa4+ 25 Kb7 ends the game.

11 Pawn endings

Because of the limited material in king and pawn endings it is possible to analyse positions to a greater depth than in any other type of position. The ability to determine with certainty the results of a wide class of positions means that determining the best move is often not a matter of judgement, but is reduced to calculation. This does not mean that king and pawn endings are casy; indeed, the amount of calculation required can often exceed that of a complex middlegame position. Another problem is that of knowing when you have finished calculation reduced and the second that of a complex middlegame position. Another problem is that of knowing when you have finished calculating.



Ljubojevic-Browne, Amsterdam 1972

The game concluded 1...f5?? 2 Kbd and the players agreed a draw, since after 2...Kd5 3 Kc3 Kc4 Kd2 Kf3 5 b4 Kg2 both sides promote simultaneously. Having seen this variation Browne probably assumed that he had finished his analysis and that the position was a draw. But if he had looked a little longer. Browne might have seen the win:

1 "....Kd5!

The position is now identical with that after White's first move in a study by Grigoriev published in *Izvestia* in 1928. Perhaps players should pay more attention to endgame studies!

2 b4

If 2 Kb4 Kd4 3 Ka3 f5 4 Kb2 (4 b4 f4 5 b5 loses after both 5...f3 with a skewer and 5...Ke5) f4 5 Kc2 Ke3 6 Kd1 Kf2 7 b4 Kg2 8 b5 f3 and

Black promotes with check.

2	
3 b5	f4
4 b6	Kc6!

The key move. Although it does not prevent White from promoting first it ensures that Black's promotion will be with check.

5 Ka6	f3
6 b7	f2
7 b8=0	f1=0+

when both 8 Ka7 Qa1 mate and 8 Ka5 Qa1+ 9 Kb4 Qb1+ win for Black. The manoeuvre ...Kc6-d5-c6 is rather surprising.

In the following position also a leap of the imagination is required to see the correct plan.



Mandler-Prochazka, Austria 1924

This looks like a straight race between White's queenside pawns and Black's imminent passed h-pawn. But neither 1 b5 K×g2 2 a4 K×h3 3 a5 Kg4 b6 a×b6 5 a×b6 h3 nor 1 b5 K×g2 2 Kb K×h3 3 K×a7 Kg4 4 b6 h3 5 b7 h2 6 h8=Q h1=Q is very promising, since although White could play on with Q+aP v Q the defence only requires a certain amount of caution to hold the draw. The correct plan is to play the White king back to the kingside to imprison Black's king on the h-file.

I	Kd5!	K×g2
2	Ke4	K×h3

If Black refuses to take the pawn White just gains a free tempo on the queenside.

Black's main defensive idea is to stalemate his king by ...Kh1 followed

by ...h3 and ...h2, and then hope to give up the a-pawn. We can see that with the White pawn on b5, Black's ...a5 can be answered by b6, but Black will still immobilise himself with ...a4. Fortunately it takes time to set up the stalemate on the kingside.

4 Kf2!

4 a4? looks bad, as it voluntarily removes one of Black's tempo moves with the a-pawn, and sure enough after 4...h3 5 Kt2 a5! 6 b×a5 Kh1 7 a6 h2 White is one tempo short. 4 b5? also draws after 4...Kg1! 5 Kg4 Kg2! 6 K×h4 Kt3 7 a4 Ke4 8 a5 Kd5.

4 ...h3

Forced, as 4...Kh3 5 b5 promotes the a-pawn.

6

5 b5

Not 4 a4? a5, as above.

5		Kh1
6	Kf1!	

Once again White must avoid touching his a-pawn, as 6 a4 a5 draws, but not 6 a4 h2? 7 a5 a6 8 Kf1! winning.

...h2

Or 6...Kh2 7 a4 Kh1 (7...Kg3 8 Kg1 or 7...a5 8 $b \times a6$) 8 a5 h2 (8... a6 9 Kf2 Kh2 10 $b \times a6$) 9 Kf2 a6 10 Kf1 and mates.

7 b6! a5

Or 7...a×b6 8 a4 b5 9 a5.

8 b7

and White wins easily: 9 Ke2 Kg2 10 b8=Q h1=Q 11 Qb7+ Kg1 and either 12 Q×h1+ K×h1 13 Kd3 or 12 Qb6+ Kg2 13 Qc6+ Kg1 14 Qc5+ Kg2 15 Qg5+ Kh2 16 Qh4+ Kg2 17 Qg4+ Kh2 18 Kf2 wins.

94

In the following example, although the game only lasted for two moves after the diagram, the half-point was handed from Black to White and back again!



Belkadi-Pachman, Munich Olympiad 1958

The game concluded 1...Kc3 2 Kf4 Kb2 0-1. Looks reasonable on the surface, but let's see it again more slowly. . .

1 ...Kc3?

Missing a win by 1... K×c4 2 K/4 and now either 2...a4 3 Ke5 (3 Ke3 Ke4 K×c2 5 Kd5 Kb2 6 K×c5 K×a2 wins, as does 3 a Kc5 K63 Ke4 K×c2 5 Kd5 Kb3 a 3 4 Kd6 Kd4 5 Kc6 c4 6 Kb5 Kc3 7 Kc5 Kb2 8 K×c4 K×a2 9 Kb5 Kb2 10 c4 a2 winning, or 2...Kd3 3 Kf5 Black threatened 3...c4 and 4...Kc3, while the only other defence, 3 Kf3, loses to 3...c4 4 Kc2 Kc3 5 Kd1 Kb2 6 Kd2 K×a2 7 Kc5 a 4 K×c4 Kb2 a 4 4 Kc2 Kc3 5 Kd1 Kb2 6 Kd2 K×a2 7 Kc3 a 4 S K×c4 Kb2 a 4 4 Kc2 Kc3 5 Kd1 Kb2 6 Kd2 K×a2 7 Kc3 a 7 0 kg kc4 r 3 kc4 a 4 Kd5 c4 (4...3 5 K×c5 Kb2 6 K×a2 7 Kd6 Kb3 8 c5 a 2 9 c6 a 1=Q 10 c7, followed by Kd7 and Black's King is one square outside the winning zone) 5 a 3.

2	Kf4	Kb2
3	0-122	

Instead of resigning White could have drawn by 3 Ke4 K×a2 4 Ku5 a4 5 K×c5 a3 6 Kd6 Kb2 7 c5 a2 8 c6 a1=Q 9 c7 (this is a clear draw without the pawn on c2, but as it is White is deprived of his usual stalemate resource) 2a6+10 Kd7 Qb5+11 Kd8 Qd5+12 Ke8 Qc6+ 13 Kd8 Qd6+14 Ke8 Ka3 (4...Kc3 renews the stalemate and allows an easy draw by 15 kd7 Qd7 16 Kb8 Qb5+17 Ka8 Qc6+18 Kb8 Qb6+19 Ka8) 15 c4 Kb4 16 Kb7! (16 c5 K×c5 17 Kb7 Qd7 18 Kb8 Kb6 and Black wins) and draws, since without a check on b5 Black cannot force the White king to c8, while the trick 16...Qc7 17 Kb8 Kc5 18 c8-Q- Kb6 is folled by 19 c5+.

This is a noteworthy example of the complexities hidden in such apparently simple positions, since not only did it prove too much for both players, but Staudte and Milescu, moreover, included the position in [17] and considered both players to have conducted the ending accurately!

Another position which has fooled several annotators is the following famous ending:



Cohn-Rubinstein, St Petersburg 1909

This position is quoted, in Averbakh and Maizelis [7], for example, to demonstrate the plan of liquidating all the pawns on one side (here the kingside) and then using the resulting superior king position to march over to the other side of the board and win. However, one should always take care when liquidating the whole of one side, for this inevitably increases the defender's drawing chances. In Cohn-Rubinstein Black can win, but only by keeping pawns on both sides of the board.

1 Kh1

White is totally tied up and can only await events.

1 ...b5

If White had weakened his queenside pawns any further, by playing a4 for example, the plan of liquidating all the kingside pawns would work, but as it is Black decides to secure a reserve tempo with ...6, although he could also have won by ignoring the queenside.

2 Kg1		f5
3 Kh1		g5
4 Kg1	<i>a</i> r	h5
5 Kh1		g4

At this point Cohn played 6 e4 and after 6...7xe4 7 fxe4 ($7 \times x_4$ h×g4 8 Kg1 e3 9 f×e3 e4 10 Kh1 g3 will pick up the e-pawn) h4 8 Kg1 g3 9 h×g3 h×g3 h×lg4 White resigned, in view of 10 f4 ex/f41 1e5 g2 12 e6 Kg3 13 e7 f3 14 e8=O f2 mate. We continue with the more interesting move. This does not yet throw away the win, but a simpler line is $6, ... (\times gd) 7 \text{ Kg}$ 148 KH 1g3 9 h×g3 (9 fd e×fd 10 e×fd Kg4) h×g3 10 f3 g2 + 11 Kg1 Kg3 12 fd e×fd 13 e×fd K×fd 14 K×g2 Kc3 and Black is a tempo up over the note to Black's 9th move, which cuts out the drawing resource available there. Note that in this line Black won because of his threat to leave a pair of e-pawns on the board after the kingside liquidation.

7 Kg1	f4
8 e×f4	e×f4
9 Kh1	f3!

Annotators generally give \dots _g310 (\times g3 (\times g31 (\times g3 (\times g3) as the consummation of Black's strategy, overlooking 12 Kg1 Kl313 Kf1 Kg314 Ke1 Kd315 ad; ato (or else Black is left with either one or two useless a-pawns) 16 a \times b5 a \times b5 17 Kd1 and White gains the opposition after Black takes the pawn on b4.

10	Kg1	Kh4
11	Kf1	Kh5!

This loses a tempo, so that the White king is on the most inconvenient square when Black arrives at g5.

12	Kel	Kg5
13	Kf1	

Unfortunately White cannot move to the d-file due to 13...Kh4, so the Black king is able to penetrate unchallenged.

13		Kf4
14	Ke1	

If 14 Kg1 Kc4 15 h3 g×h3 16 Kh2 Kd3 17 K×h3 Kc2 18 Kg3 and the reserve tempo 18...a6 comes in handy.

14	Ke4
15 Kd2	Kd4
16 Kc2	Kc4

White must now give way by 17 Kd2, and after 17...Kb3 18 Ke3 K×a3 19 Kf4 K×b4 20 K×g4 a5, Black's promotion stops White's. (Some of the above is based on analysis by Staudte and, independently, by Mestel.)

The time has finally arrived to reveal the mysteries of the position mentioned in the introduction. Despite the scanty material, play is unusually subtle.



Shakhmaty v SSSR 1937

This position can be understood in terms of the opposition. White, at any moment, has the chance to block the kingside by playing g4. He should do so when he has the opposition and then march the kings over to the kingside, all the time maintaining the opposition, finally reaching the position with WK15 v BK13, when Black to move losses after 1...Kg2 2 Kg6 Kh3 3 Kh5! K×h2 4 K×h6. Since White has the opposition at the moment 1 g4! suggests itself, but first let's see why other moves fail:

(B) 1 Kb6? Kb3! (the reasoning is exactly the same as in A) 2 Kc6 Kc3 3 Kd6 Kd3 4 Ke6 Kc3 5 Kf6 g4! and draws as before. So we come to the correct move

1 g4! Ka3!

White is aiming to move over to the kingside, so 1...Kb3 2 Kb5 or 1...Kb2 2 Kb6 falls in with White's wishes.

2 Ka5!

White still cannot move to the b-file as 2 Kb6? Kb4 or 2 Kb5? Kb3 allows Black to draw. If 2...Kb3 then of course 3 Kb5, but if 2...Kb2 White must be careful not to play 3 Kb4? when 3...Kc2 4 Kc4 Kd2 5 Kd4 Kc2 6 Kc4 Kf2 forces 7 Kf5 and 7...Kf3 draws. The right reply to 2..Kb2 is 3 Kb6! and if 3...Kb3 4 Kb5. With regard to 2...Ka2 White is faced with a problem—how is he ever to move on to the b-file without losing the opposition? At first sight 3 Ka4 seems to be the answer, but this allows 3...Kb2 4 Kb4 Kc2, which draws, as we saw above. The solution is rather surprising.

3 Kb6! Kb3

The position after 3...Kb2 is a win for White whoever is to movel. With White to play, for example, 4 Kc6 Kc2 (4...Kc3 5 Kc5) 5 Kd6 Kd2 6 Kc6 Kc2 7 Kf6 Kf2 8 Kg6 Kf3 and now 9 Kh5/ Kf4 10 h3 Kg3 11 K×h6 Kh4 12 Kg6 wins. Summing up, b6 v h2 is a win whoever moves, b4 v b2 is a draw whoever moves, while with b5 v b3 the result depends on who moves first, i.e. it is a position of mutual zugzwang.

4 Kb5

Not 4 Kc6? Kc4 or 4 Kc5? Kc3.

4	Kb2
5 Kc6	Kc3
6 Kc5	Kc2
7 Kd6	Kd3
8 Kd5	Kd2
9 Ke6	Ke3
10 Ke5	Ke2
11 Kf6	Kf3
12 Kf5	Kg2
13 Kg6	

winning, e.g. 13...Kh3 14 Kh5 or 13...Kf3 14 Kh5 Kf4 15 h3 as above.

The following example is taken from an adjourned game in the England–Poland match from the 1978 Olympiad. It too features subtle opposition play, although most of this is in the analysis rather than the game.



Mestel-Sznapik, Buenos Aires Olympiad 1978

At first we were optimistic about the chances of winning this position. White has the straightforward plan of Ke2–d3 followed by c3, creating an outside passed pawn. But it soon became clear that there were many difficulties in the execution of this plan.

1	Ke2	Ke6
2	Kd3	 ' Kd7!

We discovered this move at about 2 a.m.—up to that point we had thought White could force a queen and pawn ending in which White had some winning charces. The other lines are:

(A) 2...Kd6 (the worst of the three moves) 3 Kd4 Kc6 (3...Ke6 4 Kc5 Ke5 5 K×b4 Kd4 6 c4 is hopeless) 4 Ke5 Kc5 5 K×f4 (5 Kf6 Kd6 only draws, since the king ends up bottled in on the h-file) Kd4 6 g4 Kc3 7 Ke5 K×c2 (7...d4 8 f4 d3 9 c×d3 K×b3 10 f5 g×f5 11 g5 Kc2 12 g6 b3 13 g×h7 b2 14 h8=O b1=O 15 Oc8+ Kd2 and now White should win with either 16 h7 or 16 Q×f5) 8 f4 K×b3 (8...d4 9 K×d4 K×b3 10 f5 g×f5 11 g×f5 and wherever Black puts his king White can exchange queens after both sides promote) 9 f5 g×f5 (after any other move White can capture on g6 and h7 to obtain two connected passed pawns on the kingside in the queen ending) 10 g5 Kc2 (10... f4 11 K×f4 and now Black must play 11...Kc4, to avoid a queen exchange or promotion with check, but White still wins by 12 Ke3 Kc3 13 g6 d4+ 14 Kc2 b3 15 g×h7 b2 16 h8=Q b1=Q 17 Qc8+, followed by the exchange of queens, or 14...d3+ 15 Kd1, when White promotes with check) I1 g6 b3 12 g×h7 b2 13 h8=Q b1=Q 14 Qc8+ Kd2 15 h7 and White should win, since the Black pawns interfere with Black's attempts to give perpetual check, e.g. 15...Qe4+ 16 Kd6 Ob4/f4+ 17 Kd7 Oa4+ (17... Ob5+ 18 Oc6 Ob8 19 Oh6+) 18 Ke6 Oc4+ 19 Kf7 and wins.

(B) 2...Ke5 (somewhat better than A, but still not a clear-cut draw) 3 c3 b×c3 4 K×c3 Kd6 (not 4...Ke6 5 Kb4 Kd6 6 Kb5 winning the d_{spawn}) 5 Kd4 Kc6 6 Ke5! (best, as 6 b4 Kb5 7 K×d5 K×b4 would effectively transpose to the game) Kc5 7 K×f4 Kd4 8 b4 Kc4 9 Ke5 (9 Ke3 allows an immediate draw by 9...Kc3 10 Ke2 Kc2 11 Ke3 Kc3) d4 10 b5 d3 11 b6 d2 12 b7 d1=Q 13 b8=Q Qd4+ (it is better to pick up the h-pawn than the g-pawn, since 13...Qe2+ allows the White king to head for the h-pawn) 14 Ke6 Qe3+ 15 Kf7 Q×h6 16 Oc5! and White still has some winning chances, as the Black king is cut off, his queen is very passive and the White king is ideally placed for attacking the enemy pawns. Black should draw objectively, but he must still be careful.

2. Kd7 holds the draw in the king and pawn ending, so is the hetter move.

	3 c4	b×c3
	4 K×c3	Kc6!
4Kd6 transp	oses to B.	
	5 Kd4	Kd6
	6 b4	Kc6
	7 Ke5	Kb5
	8 K×d5	K×b4
	9 Ke5	Kc5
	10 K×f4	

....Kd4!

10 Kf6 Kd6 offers no chances at all for a win. 10

> 122a B

It took some hours of analysis by Mestel, Speelman and myself to discover if this was the only move to draw and since during this period our opinions changed three times, I can only hope that the right answer has been reached!

First we shall look at a related position, which is itself of independent interest.

122b 🖉 👙 🛓 🛓 W

White to play. What result? At first sight this seems a sure draw, since 1 Kb6 Kd6 achieves nothing, while 1 g3 g5! 2 g4 (2 H g × H and 3). Ke6 takes both pawns) Kd6 3 Kc8 Kc6! blocks the White king in indefinitely on the 8th rank. But White can win with the apparently irrelevant

1 Kb8!

Not 1 f4? Ke6 (forces White to give up his reserve tempi) 2 g4 (2 Kc6 Kf5 3 g3 g5) Kf7, regaining the opposition and reaching a drawn position which occurred later on in the game itself.

...g5

If 1...Kd8 2 f4! Ke7 (2...Kd7 3 g4 threatens 4 f5 g×f5 g5, and so Black is forced to retreat on to the e-file by 3...Ke7, when White gains the opposition and wins by 4 Ke7 Ke8 5 Kd6 Kf8 6 Ke6 Ke8 7 f5 g×f5 8 g×f5 Kf8 9 Kf0 3 Ke7 g5 (3...Ke6 4 Kd8 g5 5 g3) 4 g3 g×f4 (it makes no difference if Black postpones this exchange) 5 g×f4 (Kf6 6 Kd6! (a useful position to remember—it is one of mutual zugzwang) Kf7 (6...Kf5 7 Ke7 K×f4 8 Kf6) 7 Kd7 Kf6 (7...Kf8 8 Ke6) 8 Ke8 Ke6 9 Kf8 Kf6 10 Kg8 Kg6 11 15 + and wins.

2 Kb7 g4

Or else Black must allow the White king on to the c-file, which only makes his position worse.

3 f×g4

Not 3 f4 g3.

3 ...Ke7 4 Kc7

White's objective is to force Black to take the h-pawn without expending his reserve tempo by playing g3.

4		Ke6
5	Kd8	Kf6

6 Kd7 (not 6 Ke87 Kg6 7 Kc7 K × h6 and alas 8 Kf6 is stalemate) Kf7 7 Kd6 Kf6 8 Kd5 Kf7 9 Ke6 (now Black must take the h-pawn or White defends his pawns with Kf4 and g3) Kg6 10 Kf4 K×h6 11 Kf5 Kg7 12 Kg5 (with the pawn on g3 instead of g2 this position is a draw) Kf7 (L.h6+ 13 Kt15 and 14 g3) 13 Kh6 Kf6 (L3..Kg81 4 g5 and 15 g6) 14 g31 reaching a position of mutual zugzwang with Black to move. Next move White just captures the h-pawn.

On the basis of the analysis of the previous diagram we felt that White could win in Mestel-Sznapik if Black played 10...Kd6 instead of 10...Kd4, as follows:

10		Kd6
11	Ke4	Ke6
12	Kd4	Kd6

If 12...Kf5 13 Kd5 Kf4 (13...Kg5 14 Ke6 K×h6 15 Kf6 Kh5 16 g3 Kh6 17 g4 g5 18 Kf5 also wins) 14 Ke6 Kg3 15 Kf6 K×g2 16 f4 Kf3 17 f5 g×f5 18 K×f5 and wins.

13 Kc4 Kc6

13...Ke5 14 Kc5 is similar to the last note.

14 Kb4 Kd6

After 14...Kb6 15 f4 Kc6 16 g4 Kd6 17 f5 g×f5 18 g5 White promotes.

15	Kb5	Kd5
16	Kb6	Kd6
17	Kb7	Kd7

reaching the previous diagram. However, there is a flaw in this line. At move 14 Black can ignore the dictates of the opposition and launch a counterattack on the White pawns:

14		Kd5!
15	Kb5	g5!

16 Kb6 (16 g3 Kd4) g4 17 Kc7 (17 f×g4 Kc5 18 g3 Kf6 19 Kc5 Kg5 20 Kd4 K×h6 21 Kc4 Kg5 and 17 f4 g3 arc fine for Black) g3 18 Kd7 Kd4 19 Kc6 Kc3 20 f4 (20 Kf6 is rather unwise!) K×f4 21 Kf6 Kc3 22 Kg7 Kf2 and the game peters out to Q v Q.

So the final verdict is that both 10...Kd6 and 10...Kd4 draw. Returning to the position of diagram 122a we may continue the game:

10 ...Kd4!

White must give up his reserve tempo to extricate his king and this makes Black's defensive task much easier.

11 Kg5	Ke5
12 g3	Ke6
13 Kf4	Kf6
14 Ke4	Ke6
15 Kd4	Kd6
16 Kc4	Kc6
17 f4	

Now that the f3 pawn is subject to attack there is no point in an outflanking manoeuvre, e.g. 17 Kb4 Kd5 18 Kb5 g5, followed by \dots Kd4–e3.

17		Kd6
18	g4	

Again, if 18 Kb5 Kc6 19 Kc5 (19 g4 Kl7 transposes to the game) g5! and Black draws without difficulty.

18 ...Ke6

Although Black is now constrained to keep his king on the e-file or further right by the threat of 15 g×15 g5, Black has only to keep the (distant) opposition to draw, since White has no tempo moves. The game concluded 19 Kc3 Kc7 (the only correct square) 20 Kd4 Kf6 21 Kc5 Kc7 22 Kb5 Kf7 23 Kc5 Kc7 24 Kd5 Kf7 25 Kc4 Kc6 26 Kf3 Kf7 27 Kg3 Kc7 28 Kf2 Kf6 29 Kc3 Kc7 30 Kd4 Kf6 31 Kd5 Kf7 32 Kd6 Kf6 33 Kd7 Kf7 34 Fgx153 g×15 Kf6 $\frac{1}{2}$ -

12 Studies

There are studies sprinkled throughout this book, so it might seen redundant to have a separate chapter devoted to them. The main reason is that there were a number of studies which I felt had to be included in the book, but which did not easily fall under any of the headings of the earlier chapters. I have tended to choose studies which have natural positions and all except one of the positions in this chapter fall under this leading. There is a wealth of interesting tactical play and I hope that the reader will play through the solutions or, if ambitious, try to solve them.



T. Gorgiev, 2nd Pr., Shakhmaty 1929 "

With only six pieces on the board there are a number of surprising tactical turns before White finally wins. The material balance normally leads to a draw, so White must act at once.

1	Bf6+	Kh7
2	Rg7+	Kh6

Forced, because 2...Kh8 3 R×e7+ Kg8 4 Re8+ wins.

3 Rf7! Kg6

The only move, as White threatened 4 $B \times c7$ Kg6 5 Rf6 + and if 3...Nc6 4 $B \times d8$ N×d8 5 Rd7 picks up the knight next move.

4 Rf8

If one looks at this position it is clear that all Black's available moves lose a piece, but despite this unusual zugzwang Black can still fight on

> ...Nc6! 5 B×d8 Kg7

With the idea of a perpetual attack on the White rook, which is restricted to the four squares along the eighth rank. But there is just one way out!

6 Re8	Kf7
7 Rh8	Kg7
8 Bf6+!	-

and wins, since White emerges with a whole extra rook.

The following is one of the most famous endgame studies ever composed, but nevertheless it may be new to some people.





D. Joseph, British Chess Magazine 1922

In fact this is not the position originally published by Joseph, but a version by an unknown Czechoslovak composer which appeared in Ceskoslovenska Republika in 1923.

1 b6 + !

1 b×a6? b5 certainly loses after a skewer, while 1 h4? a×b5 leaves White on the worse side of Q+bP v Q. At first sight 1 b6+ ends the game, since Black's b-pawn is blocked and White's promotion on h8 stops Black promoting on al.

...Kb8!

Playing for stalemate.
3 h5	a4
4 h6	a3
5 h7	a2
6 h8=0	

After 6 h8=B? aI=Q 7 B×al Black can be stalemated but he can never be driven out of the corner, so the position is a draw.

6 ...al=Q

Now the real battle starts. White must move his queen, but where? The only winning chance is to play the queen along the eighth rank to threaten mate by moving the king.

7 Qg8!

Not 7 Qf8? Qa3! and White must abandon the eighth rank, since Black intends 8...Qd6+ and 9...Q×b6. Also, if 7 Qe8? Qg7! and White is in zugzwang.

7 ...Qa2 8 Qe8!

Now this move is possible as Black cannot confine the king.

8 ...Qa4

White can now reveal the point of playing the queen to e8.

9 Qe5+! Ka8 10 Qh8

and wins, since Black has been deprived of his stalemate defence.

The next study depends for its effect on the astonishing final position.



D. Petrov, 1st Pr., Shakhmaty 1959

With both bishops attacked White's first move is certainly forced.

1 Rd8 a2!

If 1...Rí4+ 2 Ke3 (2 Ke5? a2, when both 3 B×a2 Ra4 4 Bb3 Rb4 and 3 Ra8 Rf5+ 4 Ke6 Rf8 5 Ra4+ Kh3 6 Bf7 a1=Q lead to a draw) Ra4 (2...Rí3+ 3 Ke2 or 2...a2 3 Ra8 Rf8 4 Ra4+ Kh5 5 B×a2) 3 Bf6 and 4 Rd1 stopning the pawn.

> 2 B×a2 Rf4+ 3 Ke3!

3 Ke5? transposes to the note to Black's first move.

3 ...Ra4

Not 3...Rf3+ 4 Kc2 Ra3 5 Rd4+, defending the bishop on h4 with gain of tempo.

4 Bb3 Rb4

If 4...Ra3 5 Rd4+ and 6 Rb4 wins. After 4...Rb4 it seems that White has exhausted his resources and must lose one of the bishops.

5 Rd4+!! R×d4 6 Be7

An amazing position! The rook is trapped in mid-board, e.g. 6...Rf4 7 B66+ Rf5 (7...Kg3 & Bd6) 8 Ke4, or 6...Rd7 7 B66+. The move 5 Rd4+ is very hard to see when solving this study, because one just doesn't realise it is possible for two bishops to trap a rook.



L. Kubbel, 1st Pr., 64 1925

This looks almost like a middle-game position from an over-theboard encounter. Material is nearly balanced, but in view of the advanced a-pawn White must press his attack home with all possible speed.

1 Rg6

The only reasonable move, since $1 \text{ Qf5} + \text{ Nf6} 2 \text{ R} \times \text{f6} + e \times \text{f6} 3 \text{ Q} \times \text{f6} + \text{Kg8}$ is only perpetual check.

...Nf6

If 1...Kf7 2 Qf5+ Nf6 3 $R \times f6+ e \times f64$ Qh7+ transposes to the main line, while 1...B×f4+ (1...Be1+ 2 Kd3 only makes matters worse for Black) 2 Q×f4+ Nf6 3 Qh6+ Kf7 4 Rg7+ Ke6 5 Qe3+ and mates in three more moves is no better.

2 Qh6+ Kf7

After 3 Rg7+ Kc6 4 f5+ Kd6 the Black king escapes, but White has a better move.

3 R×f6+!	e×f6
4 Qh7+	Ke6
5 f5+	Kd6
6 c5+	Kd5

All forced up to here. But now how is White to continue? If 7 Kd3 Qa6+ or 7 Qd7+ Kc4, and in both cases Black wins.

7	Qg8+!	Q×g8
8	Kd3	

followed by 9 c4 mate. An excellent combination!

The next study comes as a complete change after such violence.

127

w



J. Vancura, 28 Rijen, 1924

White only needs to get his rook to the eighth rank to finish the game, but this is more difficult than it might seem. There is a threat of 1...Be4 +! which either forces stalemate or wins the pawn on h7. after which the game would be a clear draw, since Black has the 'right' bishop, i.e. one of the opposite colour to the corner square. To defend against this threat, the only moves which come into consideration are 1 Ra7 and moves of the White king. But if 1 Ra7 Be4+ 2 Kh6 Bb7! 3 Kg6 (if 3 Ra1, for instance, 3, ..Be4 forces the rook to return) Be4+ 4 Kr7 Bg6+ draws; 1 Kh6 Be4 2 Ra7 Bb7 is the same, while 1 Kh5 K ×h7 and 1 Kr7 Bd5+ 2 Kg6 Be4+ are simple draws. Two Sightly more difficult lines are 1 Kr5 Bc6 2 Rh4 (2 Ra7 Be4+) Bb5 (intending 3, ..Bd3, with or without check) 3 Ke4 Be8 4 Rh6 (4 Kr5 Bg6+) Ba4 S Kd3 (5 Rh2 Be8 forces 6 Rh6 again) Bd7 8 Bb5 Rb8, with a draw by repetition, and 1 Kf0 Bc6 2 Re4 (2 Ra7 Bb5 3 Rb4 Bb5 3 Rh3 Ba4, with a similar draw to that after 1 Kf5 Bb5 3 Rb4 Bd3 4 Kr7 Bg6+ draw. So we finally come to the only winning move.

1 Kg5!!

White avoids annoying checks and at the same times stays within range of the important h6 square.

1 ...Bc6

White is trying to move his rook off the a-file with gain of tempo and then play Kh6. So 1...Bf3 2 Rf4 and 3 Kh6 or 1...Bf3 2 Rf4 and 3 Kh6 allow White to achieve this at once, while 1...Bg2 2 Rg4 Bc6 (2...Bh3 3 Rf4 and 4 Kh6) 3 Kh6 Bd5 4 Rf4 wins. Finally 1...Bb7 2 Rf4 [kg7] or else 3 Kh6) 3 Rf7+ Kh8 4 Kh6 wins.

2 Rc4	Bb5
3 Rc7	Ba4
4 Kh6	Bd7
5 Ra7	

and now 5...Bc6/c8 6 Rf7 and 5...Be8 6 Rb7 both lead to mate.

The Mitrofanov study which follows admittedly has an unnatural initial position, but this is more than compensated for by the spectacular play.



L. Mitrofanov, Vecherny Leningrad 1971

If at once 1 g7 then 1...Bc7+ prevents the Black king from being driven on to the back rank and wins.

1 b6+ Ka8

Black must be able to interpose the bishop on b8 after 2 g7 h1=Q 3 g8=Q+, so 1...Kb8 would be a mistake.

2 Re1!

If at once 2 g7 h1=Q 3 g8=Q+Bb8 white is unable to continue with a quiet move, due to the threat of 4...Qa1+. The purpose of 2 Re1! is to block the queen's path from h1 to a1.

2	N×e1
3 g7	h1=Q
4 g8=Q+	Bb8
5 97	

The White queen must continue to guard d5, 'so this is the only effective move. Black's plight seems desperate, as 5...Nc4+ 6 Ka6 loses at once; but he keeps his hopes alive with a sacrifice.

5 ...Nc6+! 6 d×c6 Q×h5+

Now it is White who faces difficulties, as after 7 Ka6 Qe2+ Black delivers perpetual check.

7 Qg5!! Q×g5+

Black has no choice, for if the queen moves away $8 b7 + K \times a7 9 Qc5$ is mate.

8 Ka6 B×a7

Or 8...Qa5/b5+ 9 K×a5/b5 B×a7 10 c7 wins.

and despite Black's vast material plus he has no defence, e.g. 9... Qd5 10 c8=Q+ Bb8 11 b7+ or 9...Qa5+ 10 K×a5 Kb7 11 b×a7. It is interesting that without the knight on e1 Black could draw in the final position by 9...Qa5+ 10 K×a5 B×b6+ forcing stalemate.

The following study, which contains a marvellously subtle move, is one of my personal favourites.



R. Missiaen, 2nd Pr., Schakend Nederland 1974

In order to win White must pick up one of the bishops and 1 Rc8+ Kb7 achieves nothing, so White's first move is forced.

1 Bf3+ Ka7

Not 1...Kb8 2 Rb2. After 1...Ka7 it is tempting to try 2 Re8 (2 Rc7 + Ka6 helps Black), attacking the hishop-and threatening Ra8+ followed by Rb8+. But after 2...Bd6 3 Rc3 (3 Rc6 B4') Ba4. Black has consolidated his scattered pieces. The correct plan is first to chase the other bishop.

2 Rc3 Be6

The lines 2...Ba2/a4 3 Rc8 and 4 Ra8+, 2...Bf7 3 Rc7+ and 2...Bg8 3 Rc8 are elementary, so Black's move was forced.

3 Rc6 Bb3

The only new line to add to those above is $3_{--}B15 + Rf_0$. Now White has improved the position of his rook, but where can he go from here? 4 Re8 transposes to the note to Black's first move, while there is nothing else obviously constructive. But suppose it were Black to move in this position. The lines $4_{--}Ba5 < Rc3$ and $4_{--}Rc7/g7 5 Rc7$ show that Black would have to play $4_{--}Bb4$. But then White can exploit the line-up by 5 Rc1, and if $5_{--}Bc6 < Rc7 + ro 5_{--}Bd6/R6$

Ra1 + and 7 Rb1 or, finally, 5...Ba5 6 Ra1 Ka6 7 Be2+ Kb6 8 Rb1, and in each case Black loses a piece, so the move 5...Bg8 would be forced. Alas, then White has no further continuation, e.g. 6 Rc7+ Kb6 or 6 Rc8 Be6 7 Rc6 Bf5, but we must remember that White had a spare tempo at move 4. By an imaginative leap, we can see that if the White king were not obstructing the g-file White could continue to harry the bishop by 6 Rg1 and a short chccK-6...Be3 7 Rg4, 6...Be6 7 Rg7+ and 8 Rg6, and 6...Bb3 7 Rb1 shows that this does in fact work. So, returning the position before White's 4th move, we have only to move the king off the g-file in such a way as to not expose it to check at any point. Thus the right move is...

4 Kh1!!

Giving a position of mutual zugzwang! If it were White to move he could not move rook or bishop without giving a vital extra square to Black's bishops (e.g. d6 or d1), while a king move would either allow a check or block the g-file, when ...Bb4 would draw.

4	Bb4
5 Rcl	Bg8
6 Rg1	Be6
7 Rg7+	

and 8 Rb7+ or 8 Rg6 will pick up a piece.

To end this chapter, here is a game position which could almost be mistaken for a composed study.



Ortueta-Sanz, Madrid 1934

Black initiates a very attractive and well-calculated combination designed to activate his queenside pawns.

1		R×b2!!
2	N×b2	c3

If now 3 Nd3 c4+ 4 R×b6 c×d3 and the two connected pawns

triumph. Since 3 Re7 c×b2 4 Re1 c4+ is hopeless. White's move is forced.

3 R×b6

To reply 4 Nd3 to either 3...a×b6 or 3...c2.

Black threatens 4...c2, and if $4 \text{ N} \times c4 \text{ c2} 5 \text{ Rc6 } c1=Q+6 \text{ Kf2 } Qf4+7 \text{ Kg1 } Qe4!$ threatens mate and attacks the rook. White has just one move to defend against ...c2.

4 Rb4 a5!

The whole combination runs like clockwork—whichever way the rook moves the pawn promotes on cl or b1.

5 Na4		a×b4
	0-1	

13 Practical examples

In the previous chapters we have usually concentrated on one particular tactical element to the exclusion of all others. But in practice, it is rarely so simple. So in this chapter we take a look at some positions from practical games in which many of the elements of earlier chapters are interwoven. Generally the analysis is quite comlex, but don't be put off-the positions are all very interesting.



131

w

Galvenius-Stone, England 1952

This position arose in a county match between Middlesex and Oxfordshire at adjudication time. The position was given as a win for White and Yanofsky published some analysis in *Chess* (January 1953) supporting this verdict. Later a reader wrote in to suggest that the analysis was incorrect. Who was right? Let's see how Yanofsky's analysis continued.

1 g5!

In view of the passive position of his rook, White cannot hope to win by normal means, while 1 Ke5² allows 1...K×h3 (prevented in the original position because of 2 Ra3+ and 3 Rb3) and Black's three pawns will beat White's rook after White promotes.

Of course not $1...K \times g5$? 2 Ra5+ and 3 Rb5, but the reader claimed that $1...h \times g5$ was better. Although the resulting cluster of pawns is

...f×g5

nearer the White king, Black is not troubled by mating threats as in the main line of the analysis. However, White can win, e.g. 1...h×g5 2 Kc3 Rb63 Ra4+ K×h34 Rb4 R×b75 R×b7 15 6 Rg71 (the reader's analysis only considered 6 Kd37 when, after 6...g4, both 7 Kc3 Kg3 8 Rg7 14+ 9 Kc2 Kg2 10 R×g6 T3+ 11 Kc3 g3 and 7 Rg7 Kg3 8 R×g6 Kf21 9 Rg5 g3 10 R×15+ Kc11 lead to draws—however, 6 Kd2 probably wins for White since ...Ke1 in the last line is prevented) g4 7 R×g6 Kg3/g2 (if 7...g3 8 Kd3 wins, as the king reaches 12 in time) 8 Kd2 K12 (or White blockades the pawns with his king) 9 Rg5 g3 10 R×5+

2 Kc3	Rb6
3 Ra4+	K×h3
4 Rb4	R×b7
5 R×b7	h5

Yanofsky concentrated mainly on 5...g4 6 Kd3 g3, when White wins more easily: 5...g4 6 Kd3 g3 (6...h5 7 Ke2 h4 8 Kf1 Kh2 9 Rb41 wins quite easily) 7 Ke2 h5 (if 7...Kg2 8 Rh7 h5 9 Rh6 Kh2 10 $R \times g6$ h4 11 Kf3 Kh3 12 Rh6 g2 13 Rg6 picks up the g-pawn, while 7...Kh2 8 Kf3 h5 9 Rh2+ transposes to A below) 8 Kf3 and now:

(A) 8...Kh2 9 Rb2+ Kh3 10 Rb5 h4 (10...Kh2 11 Rg5 h4 transposes) 11 Rg5 Kh2 12 R×g6 transposes to C.

(B) 8...g2 9 Rb1 g5 10 Kf2 g4 (the only defence to 11 Rg1) 11 Rb3+ Kh2 12 Rg3 winning the pawn.

(C) 8...h4 9 Rg7 Kh2 10 R×g6 Kg1 11 Rg4 Kf1 12 Rb4 Kg1 13 R×h4 g2 14 Rg4 Kh1 15 Kf2 and wins.

(D) 8...g5 9 Rh7 g4+ (9...h4 10 Rg7 Kh2 11 $R \times g5$ is C again) 10 Ke2 h4 (10...g2 11 Kf2! g3+ 12 Kg1 wins) 11 Rh8! g2 12 Kf2 g3+ 13 Kg1 and Black will lose all his pawns.

6 Kd3

6 Kd2 is just as good.

6

....Kg2

Black may as well play this at once, since he will sooner or later have to prevent the White king's approach. Yanofsky didn't mention this move in his published analysis.

7 Ke2! »

White has various tempting paths which fail:

(A) 7 Rg7 h4 8 R×g6 h3 9 R×g5 + Kf3 10 Rh5 Kg2 11 Ke2 h2 12 Rg5 + Kh1 is a draw.

(B) 7 Rb2+ Kf3 8 Kd2 Kf2 9 Kd1+ Kf1 10 Rb6 h4 11 Rf6+ Kg2 12 R×g6h 313 R×g5+ Kf3 14 Rh5 Kg2 15 Ke2 h2 leads to the same draw. (C) 7 Ke3 g4 8 Kf4 g5+! 9 K×g5 g3 10 Kf4 (10 Rb2+ Kh1 and 10 Kh2 Rb2 are immediate draws) h4! (avoiding the trap 10...Kh2? 11 Rb2+ Kh3 12 Kf3 h4 13 Rb4 g2 14 Rg4 and wins) 11 Rb2+ (11 Kg4 is met by 11...Kh2) Kh3 12 Kf3 g2 (playing for stalemate) 13 Rb1 Kh2 14 Kf2 h3 and White cannot prevent Black from stalemating himself by 15...g1=Q+.

7 ...g4

7...h4 8 Rb4 h3 9 Rg4+ Kh1 10 Kf2 h2 11 Rb4 mates.

8 Rh7 g3

8...Kg1 9 Rh6 g3 10 R×g6 h4 11 Kf3 wins.

9 Rh6!!

This move puts Black in zugzwang. He loses after 9... Kl2 (9... Kg | 10 KK3) 10 R × 60 h4 (10... Kg 2 11 Rh6) 11 KF3 Kg | 12 Rg4 Kf | 13 Ra4 Kg | 14 Rxh4 g2 15 Rg4 Kh1 16 Kf2. The truly astonishing thing about the position after 9 Rh6! is that White could not win if it were his turn to move, so this is a position of mutual zugzwang. The variations are 10 R×g6 h4, 10 Kc3 Kf1 and 10 Ke1 Kh2 11 R×g6 h4 12 Kf1 h3 13 Rg8 g2+1 4 Kf2 g1 = 0+ 15 R×g1 stalemate.

Thus the adjudicator's verdict was absolutely correct.

Adjudication is one opportunity for detailed analysis, and adjournments are another. Often the sheer number of possibilities prevents one from determining the likely future course of the game, but occasionally it is possible to see accurately a long way ahead, as in the following example.



Hverekilde-Speelman, Teesside 1979

This is from the England–Denmark match of the 1979 Clare Benedict tournament. The position has many middlegame features, but reductions to various types of endings are possible. Black is a rook down but has immediate perpetual check if he wants it. The only question is, can he win?



This was the scaled move. It makes sense to take the h3 pawn before the d3 pawn (both are doomed) as the White king may escape via d3 if that one is taken first. Most of the English team settled down to analyse the position with Jon Speelman in the two-hour break before the game resumed and to our surprise we were able to determine a lengthy variation which offered White few chances to deviate. This line did in fact occur when the game was resumed, but there was a flaw in the analysis.

3 Kel Qe3+

Attempting to bring the bishop into the attack at once fails after 3...Qg3+ 4 Ke2 Qg2+ 5 Ke1 Be3 6 Rb1 B×d2+ 7 Kd1! and the bishop is lost.

4 Kf1 Q×d3+ 5 Ke1

5 Kf2 Be3+ 6 Ke1 Bg5 transposes to the game, while 5 Kg1 Be3+ 6 Kh1 Qc2 7 Qc2 d×e4 leaves White hopelessly tied up.

5 ...Bg5!

After 5...Og3+ 6 Kf1 Be3 7 Qh7+ Kh6 8 Ql7 d3 9 Qf8+ White forces a draw, since the attempt to avoid perpetual check by 9...Kg5 10 Qe7+ Kg4 11 Qe6+ Kh4 loses to 12 Qf6+ Kh3 13 Qf3 B×d2 14 $Q\times$ g3+ K×g3 15 e×d5 with a winning position for White. The move played not only threatens mate but also gives the Black king a hiding place on h6.

6 Ral

Better than 6 Rc1 (6 Rb1? B×d2+), when Black can simply play 6...d×e4.

6 ...Qg3+

Now 6...d×e4 fails to 7 Ra7+! Kh6 8 Ra3 and 8...B×d2+ is answered by recapturing with check. However, 6...Qe3+ would be just as good as the text.

7 Kd1

0r 7 Kf1 0h3+8 Kc1 0h1+9 Kc2 (9 Nf1 loses at once to 9...Bh4+, while 9 Kf2 Be3+10 Kc2 0g2+11 Kd3 d×c4+12 Kc4 B×c4 transposes to a later point of this note) 0g2+10 Kd3 (10 Kd1 0g1+ transposes to the game, while 10 Kc1 0g1+11 Kc2 d3+12 K×d3 0g4+13 Kc2 0×d2+, followed by 14...0×b2+ and 15...Bf6+ is trivial) d×c4+11 Kc4 B×d2 12 Ra7+ Kh6 13 0×d4 and Black has excellent winning chances in view of the relative safety of his king.

7	Qg1+
8 Kc2	d3+
9 Kb3	Qb6+
10 Ka2	Q×b2+
11 K×b2	Bf6+
12 Kb1	

Of the available endings, this is the only one to offer drawing chances, e.g. 12 e5 B×e5+ 13 Kb1 B×a1 14 K×a1 h4 15 N13 Kh6 16 Kb2 g5 17 Kc3 Kb5 18 K×43 g4, and the h-pawn goes through; or 12 Kb3 B×a1 13 e×d5 Be5 14 N13 Bt4 15 Kc3 d2 16 Kc2 Kg7, and the king matches over to deal with the d-pawn.

12	B×a1
13 K×a1	h4



Better than 13...d×e4 14 N×e4 Kh6, which wastes time and makes it harder for the Black king to emerge.

During our adjournment analysis we had considered this ending an easy win and so had looked mainly at alternative lines for White. But on approaching this position, Jon Speelman suddenly realised that it was far from easy. If, indeed, there was a win at all. The reason for this discerpancy was not hard to discover—we had failed to replace all the pieces after analysing one of the earlier variations and so had been looking at this position with the Black king on h6 rather than h7! Fortunately Hverekilde hadn't looked at this position at all and immediately went astray.

14 Nf3?

Black threatened 14...h3 15 Nf3 d2, but the correct antidote was 14 Kb2! moving into the square of the d-pawn, with the continuation 14...h3 15 Nf3 dx e4 (15...Kg7 16 $e \times d5$ g5 17 Nh2 stops all the pawns) 16 Ng5 + and now:

(A) I6...Kh6 17 N×h3 Kh5 18 Nf2 d2 19 Nd11 Kg4 20 Kc2 or 17... g5 18 Nf2 d2 19 Nd1 g4 20 Kc2 or 17...3 18 Nf4 d2 19 Kc2, followed by 20 Ng2, in each case with a clear draw.

(B) 16...Kg7 17 N×e4 (simplest, but 17 N×h3 also draws after 17...Kf6 18 Kc3 Kc5 19 Kd2! Kd4 20 Nf4 e3+ 21 Kd1 Kc3 22 Nd5+ or 18...Kf5 19 Kd2 Kg4 20 Nf2+ Kf3 21 Nh3 Kg3 22 Ng5 and Black can make no progress) h2 (17...Kh6 18 Nf2) 18 Nf2 with a draw, since Black's king cannot come out, e.g. 18...g5 19 Kc3 g4 20 Nh1 Kg6 21 K×d3 Kh5 22 Kc5 Kh4 23 KK7 Kh3 24 Ng3.

14 ...d×e4 15 Ng5+

Here White cannot take the more dangerous h-pawn, because his king is too far away and Black would promote with ...d2.

15	Kh6
16 N×e4	h3

White is a vital tempo down over B above and this deprives him of the chance to play Nf2.

17	Kb2		h2
18	Nf2		Kg5
19	Kc3		Kf4
20	K×d3		Kf3
		0-1	

as after 21 Nh1 Kg2 etc., the advance of the g-pawn is decisive. The Rio Interzonal in 1979 provided two very interesting endings,

with the unlucky Velimirovic on the wrong end of both of them.



Portisch-Velimirovic, Rio 1979

Although White is two pawns up at the moment, Black threatens to obtain an outside passed pawn by ...Kb4 followed by ...K×a5.

1 f5!

White uses a tactical point to push his own passed pawn as quickly as possible.

>B×f5! 1

Although this should still lose, it is without doubt the best practical chance. After 1...Kb4 2 f6 Be8 3 Nd4 K×a5 4 Kg3 Kb4 5 K×g4 Kc4 (5...a5 6 Nc6+ and 7 N×a5 wins as well) 6 Nf5 Kd5 7 Ne3+ White wins with no trouble at all, but after the move played Black can rely on the fact that knights are particularly helpless against rook's pawns.

2 Nd4+	K×a5
3 N×f5	Kb4
4 Ne3?	

This doesn't throw away the win, but it does make it much more difficult. After 4 Nd4! Kc4 (4 ... a5 5 Nc6+ and 6 N×a5, while 4 ... Kc3 5 Nc6 holds up the pawn for two important tempi) 5 Nc2 Kb3 (5...

a5 transposes, since Black must play ...Kb3 at some point) 6 Na1+! Kb2 7 Kg3 K×a1 8 K×g4 a5 9 f4 a4 10 f5 a3 11 f6 a2 12 f7 both sides promote, whereupon White exchanges queens and wins.

White had an alternative, completely different, winning method starting with 5 Kg1!. In order to understand the play after this move let us look first at the following position:



This position is mutual zugzwang. With Black to play we have 1... Kb3 (1... Kd3 2 Nb4+) 2 Kd2 Ke4 (2... Kb2 3 Kd3 Kb3 4 f4 wins) 3 Na1 Kd4 4 Nb3+ Kc4 (4... Ke4 5 Kc2) 5 Kc2 Kb4 6 Kb2 Kc4 7 Nc1 and 8 K×a2 winning easily. But with White to play the lines 1 Kc1 (1 Na1 Kb2 2 Nc2 Kc3) Kd3 2 Kb2 Kc2 3 f4 gxf3 4 Nd4+ Kt2 5 g4 (5 g×f3 Kc3) Kg3 and 1 g3 Kb3 2 Kb2 Kc2 3 f4 gxf3 4 Nd4+ Kt2 5 g4 (5 g×f3 Kc3) Kg3 and 1 g3 Kb3 2 Kb2 (c2 Kc1 Kc3 3 Na1 Kd3 4 Kd1 Kc3 repeats the position) Kb2 3 Kd3 (White cannot make a passed pawn on the kingside once he has played g3) Kb3 both lead to draws. So in the play after 5 Kg1 both sides attempt to reach the diagram position with the other player on the move: 5 Kg1! a4 6 Kf1 Kb3! (not 6...a3? 7 Nc2+ and 8 N×a3, white 6...Kc3 7 Kc2 a8 Kd1 wins, as ...a8 J Nc2 arout 0 Kd2 wins, as in the analysis of the diagram) 7 Kc1 Kc3 S Nc2 a2 10 Kd2 wins, as in the analysis of the diagram) 7 8 Kc1 Ca 3 10 Nc2 and wins.

The only winning move. Time is important and the pawn would take one move longer to promote after 6 g×f3.

5 ...Kc3!

Not 6...a4 (6...Kb3 allows White to promote with check) 7 g5 Kc3 8 g6 a3 9 g7 a2 10 g8=O a1=Q 11 Qg7+ and White wins.

7 g5 Kd4?!

A weak move, as White has a skewer with the king on d4. 7...a4?! transposes to the last note, while 7...Kd2?! 8 Nf1 + Kel 9 g6 promotes first. The best resistance was offered by 7...Kd3! and now:

1) 8 Nd5... (8 Nd1 a49 g6 a3 10 Nc3 12 11 Kg2 K ×c3 12 g7 f1 = 0 + 13 K ×f1 a2 also draws) a4 (but not 8...f2? 9 Kg2, when 9...Ke2 10 Nf4+ Ke1 11 Nd5 Ke2 12 N×12 a4 13 Ne4 a3 14 Nc3+ Kd3 15 Na2 and 9...a4 10 K×f2 a3 11 g6 Kc4 12 Nc3+ Kd3 13 g7 a 21 4 g8=0 a1=0 15 Oc4+ Kd2 16 Oc2 mate both win for Whitel 9 g6 a3 10 Kg3 (10 g 71 11 Kg2 a2 12 g8=0 f1=0+ is dead drawn) Kc41 (10...a2? 11 Nb4+ Ke2 12 N×a2 f2 13 Nc1+ Kc3 14 Kg2 wins) 11 g7 (11 Nc3+ Kd3 12 Nd5 Kc4 repeats, while 11 Nc3 K×c3 12 g7 12! 13 K×f2 a2 14 g8=0 Kl2 draws, as the White king is one square too far away to win) a2 12 g8=0 a1=0...13 Nc3+ Kd3 and Black draws easily.

2) 8 N(11 (this surprising retreat leads to a study-like win) a49 g6 a3 10 g7 a211 g8=Q a1=Q 12 Qh7+! Ke4 (12...Ke2 13 Qc4+ Kd1 14 Nc3+ Kd2 15 Nc4+ Kd1 16 Qd3+ Kc1 17 Qf1+ wins the queen) 13 Nd2+ Kb4/b5 (13...Kd5 14 Qd7+ also picks up the queen) 14 Qb7+ followed by immediate mate, knight fork or skewer.

8 Ng4!

Not 8 Nc4? K×c4 9 g6 Kd3, promoting the f-pawn; but now Black cannot indulge in a pawn race due to the bad position of his king, e.g. 8...a4 9 g6 a3 10 g7 a2 11 g8=Q and 12 Qg7+.

8		Kd5
9	Kg3	Ke6
10	Ne3!	
		1.0

The final point—White holds up ...Kf5 long enough to take the f3 pawn with his king. After 10...a4 11 K×f3 a3 12 Kg4 a2 13 Ne2 Kf7 14 Kh5 Kg7 15 g6 Kg8 16 Kh6 Kh8 17 g7+ Kg8 18 Na1 White promotes the r_{P} pawn.

The position I have chosen to finish the book is, despite its harmless appearance, far and away the most complex position in the book. Nevertheless, the analysis is quite fascinating and contains many surprising subfleties. Timman-Velimirovic, Rio 1979

This is the position after White's 64th move, which was a capture. Objectively the position is drawn. Black places his bishop on b2 and the resulting control of the e5 and f6 squares renders it impossible for White to drive the Black king to the edge of the board. If it should happen, however, then White wins. It is also possible for White to win if the Black king comes adrift from the top right corner and moves either to the top left or bottom right corner, even if it is not on the edge of the board, since the bishop would then be unable to co-operate in the defence of Black's king. But this cannot be forced.

1	Bf6
2 Rc6+	Ke7
3 Ke4	Bb2
4 Kd5	Kf7

Black can also draw by 4...Kd7 5 Re6 Bc1¹, although this is the only move, e.g.

(A) 5...Ba1 6 Ra6 Bb2 7 Ra7+ driving the king to the back rank, which, as was mentioned above, wins.

(B) 5...Kd8 6 Kd6 (threat Re7) Bg7 7 Re3 Bf8+ 8 Kc6 Bb4 9 Rh3 and 9...Ke7 loses to 10 Rb3; so White can force 10 Rh7, pinning the Black king down.

(C) $S...K^{27}$ 6 Rhó! Ba1 (or 6...Kb7 7 Kc5, threatening Rc6 followed by Kb5, transposing; while if 7...Kc7 then 8 Rh7+) 7 Rc6+ Kb7 (7...Kd7 8 Rad Bb2 9 Ra7+) 8 Kc5 Bd4+ 9 Kb5 Bb2 10 Rd6 Kc7 (or else Rd7+) 11 Rd3! and once again the king is forced back. In this line, as in a great many others to come, zugzwang was the main weapon. After 5...Bc1!, however, White can make no progress, for example 6 Ra6 (6 Re4 Bb2 7 Re1 Bc3) Kc7 7 Re6+ Kd7! (not 7...Kf7' 8 Kc5 and 9 Kf5, transposing to the game at a point where White was winning) and the Black king can maintain itself on the second rank. The losing move. After 5...Ba1 6 Re3 Bb2 7 Kd6 Kf6 8 Rf3+ Kg5 9 Kd5 Kg4 10 Ke4 (threatening 11 Rf5, cutting the king off and winning) Kg5 Black cannot be driven on to the h-file.

6 Ke4

Transferring the king to 15 gives a winning position, since White is then able to force the enemy king on to the back rank.

6		Kf7
7	Kf5	Kf8

Upon 7...Kg7 8 Rc7+ or 7...Bc1 8 Rc6 and 9 Rc7+ the king has to move to the edge of the board in any case.

8 Kg6 Bc3

Whichever move Black makes with his bishop White gains a tempo to transfer his rook to the seventh rank.



Chéron was the first person to prove that White can win in this position and his analysis was published in [9]. The winning line is highly involved and requires more than 50 moves. However, Chéron was more interested in showing that a win was possible than in finding the shortest plan. Consequently Timman and Andersson were able to find a number of improvements and short cuts in Chéron's analysis, with the result that Timman was able to win the game in just under the 50 moves. Timman published his own notes in Schaakbulletin, and in the following we rely substantially on the analyses of Chéron. Timman and Andersson.

The winning plan falls into a number of stages: (1) White forces the Black king on to the h-file and stations his rook

195

on the g-file.

(2) White threatens to play R×b2 by withdrawing his king to b3. Black therefore has to prepare the transfer of his bishop to the a3f8 diagonal, which is far less secure. This, however, involves moving the Black king out of the top right corner, where it is most favourably posted.

(3) If Black actually puts his bishop on the a3-f8 diagonal, White runs it out of squares and wins the a-pawn.

(4) If Black returns it to b2, his king is cut off along a rank and is gradually forced up the board to h2 or g2.

(5) Cutting the king off along the third rank, White marches his king over to the queenside. If the Black bishop is still on b2 then Kb4 and R×a3 wins, while if it has moved to the a3–f8 diagonal it once again runs out of squares and White wins the a-pawn.

10		Ke8
11	Kf5	Kf8

Moving to the queenside loses quickly after 11...Kd8 12 Ke6 Kc8 13 Kd6 Kb8 14 Rd7 Kc8 15 Kc6 Bc1 16 Rd3 Bb2 (or 16...Kb8 17 Kb6 Kc8 18 Rc3+) 17 Kb6 with zugzwang.

12 Ke6	Kg8
13 Rf7	Bc3
14 Rf3	Bb2

Black will remain on this square as long as possible. After 14...Bb4 15 Rg3+ Kf8 (15...Kb7 16 Kf6 Bc5 17 Rg6 Bd4+ 18 Kf7 Bb2 19 Rc6 Bd4 20 Rc4 Bf2 21 Rc2 Bg1 22 Rc1 wins the bishop) 16 Rb3 Bb5 17 Rc3 Bb4 18 Rc7 Bd2 (18...Kg8 19 Kf6 and 20 Rt7 transposes) 19 Rf7+ Kg8 (19...Ke8 20 Ra7) 20 Kf6 Bc4+ 21 Kg6 Bb2 22 Rf3 Bc1 23 Rc3 wins. It is clear from this line jfåt Black must avoid having his king bottled up in the corner. since a position with WK7. Rg6 and BKb7 is a win whoever is to move and wherever the Black bishop is.

15 Ke7 Kh7

15...Kg7 16 Rg3+ Kh6 is the same, so Black cannot prevent the king being forced on to the h-file.

16 Rg3, Kh6

Stage one is completed! If White could somehow obtain a position with WKIS, Rg6 and BKh5 he would already be able to start on stage four, but after 17 Ke6 Kh5 18 Kf5 Kh6 he cannot make any direct progress. So he must make a detour via the queenside!

17 Kd6 Kh5

The following alternatives lose more quickly:

(A) 17...Bg7 18 Kd5 Bf8 (18...Bb2 19 Kc4 followed by 20 Kb4 and 21 R×a3) 19 Ke6 and White is able to start stage three already. (B) 17...Bc1 18 Kd5 Kh5 19 Kc4 Kh4 20 Rg8 and now:

(B1) 20...Be3 (20...Bf4/g5 are similar) 21 Kd3 Bc1 22 Ke4 Kh5 23 Kf5 Kh6 (or else Rg6 reaches the position White is aiming for) 24 Ro6+ Kh7 25 Kf6 and 26 Kf7 winning.

(B2) 20...Bb2 21 Kb3 Kh3 (or else 22 Rg2 and 23 R×b2, or if 22...Bc1 23 Rc2) 22 Rg6 Bc1 (22...Kh2 23 Rg4-a4-×a3 or 22...Kh4 23 Rg2 lose as well) 23 Rc6 Bb2 24 Rc4 followed by Ra4 and R×a3.

18 Kc5

A similar approach is that given by Chéron, namely 18 Ke6 Kh6 (18...Kh4 19 Rg6 and 20 Kf5 or 18...Bc1 19 Kf5 Kh6 20 Rg6+ Kh7 21 Kf6) 19 Kd5 Kh5 20 Kc4 Kh4 21 Rg8, transposing into the game after White's 21st move.

18Kh4

Or else 19 Kb4 and 20 R×a3.

19 Rg8 Be5

Black cannot delay preparing the move to the a3-f8 diagonal any longer, since 19...Kh3 20 Kb4 Kh4 21 Kb3 transposes to B2 in the note to Black's 17th move. If 19...Bf6 20 Rg6 Bc7+ (20...Bb2 21 Kb4 is the same as 19...Kh3) 21 Kd5 Kh5 22 Rg3 and White transposes to the note to Black's 21st move after 24...Be7.





This represents the end of stage two and is the point at which Black has to decide whether to transfer his bishop permanently to the a3f8 diagonal. One line where he does this is 21...Be5 22 Kb3 Bd6 23 Rg6 Bf8 (23...Bc5? 24 Ra6 Kg4 25 Ra4+ Kf3 26 R×a3 wins or 23...Be7 24 Kc4, which is similar to the text) 24 Kc4 Kh5 (24...Be7 25 Kd5 Kh5 26 Rg3 Kh6 27 Ke6 Bb4 28 Kf5 transposes to the note to Black's 23rd move) 25 Rg8 Be7 (25. Bd6 26 Kd5 Bh4 27 Rg3 transposes) 26 Rg21 (not 26 Kd5? Bf6 and White has made no progress) Bd6 (26. .Kh6 27 Kd5 Bf6 28 Rg3 Bh2 29 Kc4. followed by 30 Kb4 and 31 R*a3 wins, as does 26. .Kh4 27 Kd5, followed by Ke6-f5, when the Black king is cut off from the top right corner; while 26. .BK6 Si kas a set as 26. .Bd6 because after either move Black is unable to answer Kd5 with a bishop move on to the a1-h8 diagonal) 27 Kd5 Bb4 28 Rg3 (not 28 Ke6? Bc3) Kh4 29 Rb3 Bf8 (29...Be7 is much the same) 30 Rf3 Be7 31 Ke6 Bc5 (31...Kg4 32 Rc3 BK3 38 Rc48 Bh6 34 Rc4+ Kh5 35 Kfb wins)



32 Rd3!! (a big improvement over Chéron's 32 Rc3) and now: (A) 32...Kg4 33 Rc3 Bf8 34 Rc8 Bh6 35 Rc4+ Kg5 (35...Kh5 36 Ki5

wins) 36 Kf7, followed by 37 Rc3 winning the a-pawn.

(B) 32...B8 33 Kf0 Kg4 (33...Kb 54 Rd4 Bh6 35 Kf5 BB 30 Rd8 Bg7 37 Rd1 wins the bishop, while 33...Bç5 34 Kf7 Kg4 transposes to the main line) 34 Kf7 Bc5 35 Rc3 Bd6 36 Ke6 Bf8 37 Rc8 Bh6 38 Rc4+ Kb5 (38...Kg5 39 Kf7 as before) 39 Kf5 Bf8 40 Rc8 Bg7 41 Rc1 winning.

22 Rg6 Bg5

22...Be7 transposes to the last note, bracket after Black's 24th move.

23 Kd5

In Informator Milic suggests 23 Kb3 Bc1 24 Rg1 Bb2 25 Rg2 as a quicker win, but of course Black plays 23...Be7.

23 ...Bc1

Black decides to return to b2, whereupon White starts on stage four. If Black attempts to bring his king back to the top right corner his bishop cannot return to b2. In this case the main line is 23...Kh5 24 Re6f Bd2 (if 24...Bh6 25 Ke6, when 25...Bg7 26 Kf5 transposes to the game; while 25...Kg6 26 Re3 Bf8 27 Rg3+ Kh6 28 Kf6 Kh5 29 Kf5 Kh6 30 Rg6+ Kh7 31 Kf6 and 32 Kf7 wins for White) 25 Ke6 Kg5 26 Rc4! (zugzwang) and now:

(A) 26...Kg6 27 Rc2 Bč1 (27..Bb4 28 Rg2+ Kh6 29 Rg3 Bc5 30 Kf5 and now 30...Be7 transposes to B1 below, while 30...Kh7 31 Kf6 followed by Rg6 wins) 28 Rg2+ Kh5 (28...Kh6 transposes to B) 29 Kf5 Kh4 (29...Kh6 30 Rg6+ Kh7 31 Kf6 or 30...Kh5 31 Rg1) 30 Rg4+ Kh3 31 Ra4 and wins.

(B) 2c... Kh6 27 Rc2 Be1 (after 27...Bb4 28 K/6 Kh5 29 Rc6/ Black cannot prevent K/5, since 29...Kh6 30 Rc4 Be1 31 Rc1 Bh4 + 32 Kc6 and 33 Rc5 wins the a-pawn; so the Black king is cut off, with the bishop still unable to return to b2) 28 Rh2+ Kg5 29 Rh3 Bh4 30 R(3 Kh5 (zugzwang again—if 30...Kg4 31 Rh3 BR3 22 Rb8 and both 22...Bc5 33 Rc8 and 32...Bh6 33 Rc8! Bd2 34 Rc2 Bh6 35 Rc4+ Kg5 36 K/7, followed by Rc3, lose the a-pawn) 31 Kf5 Kh6 32 Rg3 and now:



(B1) 32...Be7 33 Rg6+ Kh7 34 Re6f Bb4 (or 34...Kg7 35 Rc7 Kf8 36 Ke6, when both 36...Bg5 37 Rc3 and 36...Bb4 37 Rf7+ Kg8 38 Kf6 Bc3+ 39 Kg6 Bb2 40 Rt3 Bc1 41 Rc3 win) 35 Kf6 (threatening Kf7) Kh6 36 Rc4 Be1 37 Rc1 Bb4+ 38 Ke6 and 39 Rc3 wins the pawn.

(B2) 32...Bc5 33 Rg41 (Chéron's 33 Rg6+ Kh5 34 Rc6 Bd4 allows the bishop back to b2 and is much slower) Kh5 (33...Bc7 34 Rg6+ is B1, while 33...Bc3 34 Rg6+ Kh7 35 Kł6 and 33...Kh7 34 Kł6 lose more quickly) 34 Rc4 Bd6 35 Kc6 Bl8 (or else 36 Rc3) 36 Kł7 Bd6 37 Rd4, followed by 38 Rd3 winning the pawn.

(C) 26...Kh5 27 Kf6 Bg5+ (we have seen 27...Bh6 28 Kf5 Bf8 29 Rc8 Bg7 30 Rc1 before!) 28 Kf5 Kh6 29 Rc6+ Kh5 30 Rc3 wins.

24	Ke4	Bb2
25	Kf5	Kh5
26	Rd6!	

The most efficient method of executing stage four.

Forced, since 26...Bc1 27 Rd1 and 26...Bh8 27 Rd3 win at once.

27 Rd3	Bcl
28 Rc3	Bb2
29 Re3	Bcl
30 Re1	Bd2



The alternative 30., Bb2 loses after 31 Rg1 Kh3 32 Ki4 Kh2 33 Rg4 Kh3 34 Ki5 Kh2 (34, Be3 53 Ra4 Bb2 56 Ki21 Bc1 37 Ke2 Kg2 38 Kd1 Bb2 39 Kc2 Ki3 40 Kb3 and 41 R×a3 wins) 35 Ki2! (quicker than Chéron's 35 Rh4+) Bf6 (or 35., Kh3 36 Ra4 Bc1 37 Kc2 transposing to 34., Bc3 above) 36 Rg2+ Kh1 37 Ki1 (37 Kg3 Bc5+ 38 Kh5 Ba1! 39 Rg3 Bb2 is not so clear) Bb2 38 Rg3 Kh2 39 Rb3 Bc1 40 Kc2 Kg2 41 Kc3, Rc3, promoting the appan-

31 Rh1+	Kg3
34 Rd1	Bb4
33 Rd3+	Kf2

Finally White can start on stage five of his plan.

34 Ke4 Ke2

Black can delay matters slightly by 34. .Bc5 35 Kd5 Ke2 36 Kc4 Bc7 37 Kc3 Bf8 (37. .Bf6+ 38 Kc2 Bb2 39 Rb3 and 40 R×b2) 38 Kc2 Bc5 39 Rc3 Bb4 40 Rh3 Bld6 41 Kb3, transposing to the game at move 38, but as White is 5 moves inside the fifty-move limit with the game continuation this could not affect the result of the game.

35 Kd4 Bc5+

35...Kf2 36 Kc4 Kc2 37 Rh3 and 38 Kb3 transposes to the game exactly.

36 Kc4

Be7

37	Rh3	Bd6
38	КЬЗ	Bf8

This position is a win wherever the bishop is on the a3-R diagonal and wherever the Black king is on the second rank. The bishop simply does not have enough squares on the diagonal, for example 38...Kd2 39 Rh6 Bc5 40 Rc6 Bc7 41 Rc7 Bt8 42 Rt7! (rather faster than Chéron's 42 Re8) Bc5 43 Kc4 B moves 44 Kb4 and 45 K \times a3.

39 Rh8 Bd6

Or 39...Bc5 40 Rc8 Bd6 41 Ra8.

40 Ra8

1-0

as 40...Kd2/d3 allows 41 Rd8, while after any other move White plays 41 R×a3. Chess is a difficult game!

Bibliography

- 1. Averbakh, Bishop Endings, B. T. Batsford, 1977.
- 2. Averbakh, Bishop v Knight Endings, B. T. Batsford, 1976.
- 3. Averbakh, Rook v Minor Piece Endings, B. T. Batsford, 1978.
- 4. Averbakh, Queen and Pawn Endings, B. T. Batsford, 1975.
- 5. Averbakh and Chekhover, Knight Endings, B. T. Batsford, 1977.
- Averbakh, Chekhover and Henkin, Queen v Rook/Minor Piece Endings, B. T. Batsford, 1978.
- 7. Averbakh and Maizelis, Pawn Endings, B. T. Batsford, 1974.
- Bán, The Tactics of End-games, Corvina Press, Budapest, 1963.
- Chéron, Lehr- und Handbuch der Endspiele, Siegfried Engelhardt Verlag, Berlin (4 volumes, the most recent edition having appeared over the period 1960–1970).
- 10. Fine, Basic Chess Endings, D. McKay, Philadelphia, 1941.
- Földeák, Turmendspiele in Schachpartien, Falken-Verlag Erich Sicker KG, Wiesbaden, 1976.
- 12. Kasparian, 555 Miniature Studies (in Russian), Erevan, 1975.
- 13. Kasparian, Zauber des Endspieles, Walter Rau Verlag, 1974.
- 14. Levenfish and Smyslov, Rook Endings, B. T. Batsford, 1971.
- 15. Lommer, 1357 End-game Studies, Pitman, 1975.
- 16. Lommer and Sutherland, 1234 Modern End-game Studies, Dover, 1968.
- Milescu and Staudte, Das 1×1 des Endspiels, Walter de Gruyter & Co., Berlin, 1965.
- 18. Roycroft, Test-tube Chess, Faber & Faber, 1972.

In addition to the above, I have consulted many chess magazines and periodicals including Informator, The Chess Player, Chess, British Chess Magazine, Deutsche Schachzeitung and Shakhmaty Bulletin, as well as several tournament books.

A short description of the above books may be useful to those intending further reading on the endgame. [10] is still the best one-volume work on the endgame, although some sections (especially the queen and pawn ending chapter) are distinctly dated. The series of books [1], [2], [3], [4], [5], [6], [7] and [14], translated from the Russian, is justly famuous for its comprehensive coverage of all types of ending. It is rather unfortunate that the commonly occurring ending of $R+B^{\nu}$ R is not to be found in any of these eight books, but such gaps are few. It remains an essential reference work for strong players and correspondence players. [9] is a rather curious work. Although it contains exceptionally complete analysis of many simple endgames of great importance to the over-the-board player, the author sometimes analyses endgame studies of no interest whatsover. However, htere is a good deal of analysis to be found nowhere else (as position 134 in this book makes clearf) and if you like some fantasy mixed in with the instruction you will probably like this work. [11] is an entertaining and ilithe-known book. [17] is probably closest in spirit to the present book and treats very thoroughly the comparison of game and study.

Surprisingly there are a large number of books on studies. [15] and [16] are the standard anthologies in English, although the solutions are often nor sufficiently detailed. [12] will appeal to players, since none of the positions contains more than seven pieces and so they tend to be game-like, while [13] is a collection of studies by one of the greatest composers of all time. However, I feel that [8] is perhaps the best book in English for players who have an interest in studies, since the positions have been deliberately selected to be of relevance to the practical player, [18] contains a well-written account of the development of studies by over the centuries.

Index of Players and Composers

Numbers refer to diagrams.

Alburt 68, 114 Andersson 7, 17 Antos 4 Avni 77 Balogh 20 Bangiev 110 Batuvey 22 Bednarski 8 Belkadi 119 Bellon 19 Benko 2, 94 Blasbelg 87 Bogoliuboy 112 Bonner 67 Borisenko 21 Borkowski 88 Botvinnik 91 Braunstein 37 Bron 92 Bronstein 3 Browne 117 Bruntrup 47 Bukic 98 Ceruteli 59 Cohn 120 Dieks 88 Dommes 89 Donnelly 56 Donner 18 Dorfman 73 Duebail 103 Duriga 74 Dvorecki 85 Emerson 53 Erdy 96 Erlandsson 26 Ermenkov 39 Espig 115 Estrin 116, 116a Evans, L. D. 33 Fischer 24

Foldi 96 Forintos 43 Galvenius 131 Garcia, S. 19 Geller 36 Gil 26 Gligoric 105 Goldstein 35 Golombek 12 Gorgiev 123 Grigoriev 1, 42, 66, 121 Gmenfeld 40 Gubnicki 95 Gufeld 7, 104 Gurgenidze 51 Gutman 114 Haik 33 Halberstadt 75 Hamann 8 Hasek 84 Hazai 61 Hecht 10 Herbstmann 101 Herland 87 Herzog -109, 109a Hindle 41 Honfi 27, 28 Hort 54 Hverekilde 132, 132a Joseph 124 Joycic 9 Kagan 77 , Kalinichenko 52 Kaminski 58 Karpov 69, 83 Kasimov 108 Kasparian 11, 78, 79 Kasparov 69 Keres 24, 54, 62 Klebanov 52 Klovan 32 Kluger 25

Kobaidze 59 Kogan 100 Komay 108 Kopaev 86 Korolkov 13 Kubbel 126 Larsen 50 Lasker, Em. 81 Lazarev 46 Lehmann 31 Lengyel 28 Lerner 68 Lewis 56 Ljubojevic 117 Lukov 74 Makarov 102, 102a Makarychev 99 Mandler 118 Mann 76 Mattison 30 Matulovic 97, 103 Medina 67 Menas 37 Mestel 122, 122a Milenkovic 106 Miles 34 Missiaen[®] 129 Mitrofanov 128 Mohring 41 Moldojanov 14 Mukhin 99 Murei 23 Nadareishvili 64 Najdorf 62 Nenarokov 42 Neustadt 113 Novak 15 Nunn 53 Olmutsky 48 Olssen 17 Ortueta 130 Oszvath 63 Pachman 119 Parma 98, 105 Paulic 60 Pedersen 10 Petrosian, A. 61, 107 Petrosian, T. 83 Petroy 125 Pfeiffer 31

Sec. 1

Pfleger 45 Piasetski 38 Podgajets 32 Portisch 133 Pribyl 71 Prochazka 118 Prokes 20 Pytel 116, 116a Radev 71 Raikovic 9, 38 Reshevsky 36 Reshko 58 Reti 80 Ribli 63 Richter 55 Rittner 47 Rodriguez, R. 50 Roessel 43 Rohde 65 Rubinstein 120 Rvc 15 Sakharov 46 Sallay 27 Salwe 29 Samocanov 14 Sandor 25 Sandro 49 Sanz 130 Savon 100 Sax 39, 111 Schlechter 93 Seirawan 6 Shamkovich 16, 35 Siaperas 97 Sigurjonsson 34 Simagin 3, 21, 22 Smeikal 82 Smysloy 2, 104 Sobolevsky 5 Sosonko 70, 89 Sozin 91 Spanjaard 18 Speelman 132, 132a Stankov 106 Stean 70, 109, 109a Stein 73 Stone 131 Sznapik 122, 122a Tatajev 95 Tchernov 72 Teschner 55 Thomas 112 Timman 134-134e

Titenko 23 Toth 45 Tsheshkovsky 107, 111 Tukmakov 82 Umanski 102, 102a Vancura 127 Van Riemsdijk 40 Valavite 40 Velinitrovic 133, 134–134e Visier 16 Vojte 115 Vojtev 113 Walbrodt 93 Weider 49 Weider 49 Wainstein 65 Yahofsky 12 Zaitsev 85 Zakhodjakin 44 Zepler 90 Zhiber 6 Ziblermann 110 Znosko-Borovsky 29 Zuharey 66

.

Index of positions by material

Numbers refer to diagrams.

I. Kings and pawn: K+PvK+P 117 K+2P v K+P 53 K+2P v K+2P 1, 121, 124 K+3P v K+2P 119, 122a, 122b More then 5 pawns 65, 66, 118, 120, 122 II. Minor pieces B+Ps v Ps 26, 27, 43, 91, 95 B+Ps v B+Ps 41, 52, 57 N+Ps v Ps 4, 37, 132a, 133a N+Ps v N+Ps 12, 67, 68, 75, 92 B+Ps v N+Ps 73, 74, 77, 93, 105, 133 More than 2 minor pieces 5, 17, 59, 69 III. Rooks and pawns R+Ps v Ps 106, 107, 108, 109a, 110, 110a R+PvR 111 R+2P v R 78 R+P v R+P 81, 84, 86, 89, 112, 113 R+2P v R+P 25, 29, 82, 83, 85, 87, 90 R+2P v R+2P 102a, 116 R+3P v R+P 36 R+3P v R+2P 55, 97, 114, 115 R+3P v R+3P 15, 88, 131 R+4P v R+2P 70, 101 More than 6 pawns 23, 100 2R v Ps 48 2R+P v 2R 20 IV. Rooks and minor pieces R+Ps v B+Ps (up to 4 pawns) 35, 94, 127, 134-134e R+Ps v B+Ps (more than 4 pawns) 14, 44, 71, 103, 109 R+B+Ps v R+Ps 6, 11, 30, 64 R+N+Ps v R+Ps 10, 51, 63 R+minor piece v 2 minor pieces 13, 42, 123, 129 R+N+Ps v R+N+Ps 2,8 R+B+Ps v R+B+Ps 45, 47, 49, 50, 79, 102, 130 R+B+Ps v R+N+Ps 28, 38, 56, 62 R+2B+Ps v R+Ps 125 R+Ps v B+2N+Ps 128 2R+Ps v R+N+Ps 9 2R+Ps v R+2 minor pieces+Ps 7, 46 R+2N+Ps v R+N+Ps 104 2R+B+Ps v R+B+Ps 16 2R+B+Ps v 2R+Ps 18

R+2B+Ps v R+B+N+Ps = 602R+N+Ps v 2R+B+Ps = 40

V. Queens and pawns Q+Ps v Q 22, 31, 32, 34 Q+Ps v Q+Ps 21, 33, 58, 96, 116a

VI. Queens and minor pieces Q+B+Ps v Q+Ps 3, 76, 76a Q+minor piece+Ps v Q+minor piece+Ps 61, 72, 98

VII. Queens, rooks and minor pieces $Q+R+P_8 \vee Q+R+P_8$ 39 $Q+P_8 \vee R+minor piece+P_8$ 19, 80 $Q+R+P_8 \vee Q+minor piece+P_8$ 99 $Q+R+P_8 \vee Q+2 minor pieces+P_8$ 24, 126 Other combinations of material 54, 132

FOR CHESS...READ BATSFORD

The endgame is the most important phase of the game where one mistake can prove fatal. However, its study is often neglected by average players and endings are often considered uninteresting.

Grandmaster John Nunn demonstrates in this entertaining work that endings can be exciting and tactical with many points to be gained by the afert playet: "Two examples of missed opportunities will suffice to illustrate the importance of studying endings: for mer Soviet Champion Tsheshkovsky resigned a drawn rook against rook and pawn James position in diagram 111, while sixtimes US Champion Walter Browne missed a win with only king and pay each in diagram 117!

If these great players can misplay simple endings, then all players will benefit from a detailed study of the subject.

Grandhiaster John Nunn has been n the English Olympiad team since 19 + and won 3 gold medals in the 1984 Olympiad. He is author of several books including Secrets of Grandmaste Plav aid Solong in Style.

148/diagrams

Other Titles for the Club Player

Batsford Chess Openings Gary Kasparov & Raymond Keene

Beating the Sicilian John Numi

Fighting Chess Gary Kasparov & Bob Wade

Plan Like a Grandmaster Alexei Suetin

Play Like a Grandmaster Alexander Kotov

Practical Chess Endings Paul Keres

Secrets of Grandmaster Play John Nunn Think Like a Grandmaster Alexander Kotov

Train Like a Grandmaster Alexander-kotov

World Chess Championship: Steinitz in Alekhine Pablo Moran

World Chess Championship: Botvinnik to Kasparov Bob Wade, Andrew Whiteley & Ray Keene

For a complete list of Batsford Che Books please write to B. T. Batsford Lt 4 FitzhardingeStreet, London WIH 0A11

